

CATEGORY OF n -FCP-GR-PROJECTIVE MODULES WITH RESPECT TO SPECIAL COPRESENTED GRADED MODULES

Mostafa Amini, Driss Bennis and Soumia Mamdouhi

Received: 13 August 2021; Revised: 19 October 2021; Accepted: 8 November 2021

Communicated by Tuğçe Pekacar Çalıcı

ABSTRACT. Let R be a ring graded by a group G and $n \geq 1$ an integer. We introduce the notion of n -FCP-gr-projective R -modules and by using of special finitely copresented graded modules, we investigate that (1) there exist some equivalent characterizations of n -FCP-gr-projective modules and graded right modules of n -FCP-gr-projective dimension at most k over n -gr-cocoherent rings, (2) R is right n -gr-cocoherent if and only if for every short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ of graded right R -modules, where B and C are n -FCP-gr-projective, it follows that A is n -FCP-gr-projective if and only if $(gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n, gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n^\perp)$ is a hereditary cotorsion theory, where $gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n$ denotes the class of n -FCP-gr-projective right modules. Then we examine some of the conditions equivalent to that each right R -module is n -FCP-gr-projective.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 16D80, 16E05, 16E30, 16E65, 16P70

Keywords: n -gr-Cocoherent ring, special gr-copresented module, n -FCP-gr-projective module

1. Introduction

In 1969, Jans in [11] gave a definition of finitely cogenerated modules as a dual notion of finitely generated modules when he introduced co-Noetherian rings as a dual notion of Noetherian rings. A right R -module M is said to be *finitely cogenerated* if for every family $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ of submodules of M with $\bigcap_{i \in I} M_i = 0$, there is a finite subset $J \subset I$ such that $\bigcap_{i \in J} M_i = 0$. In 1994, Costa in [7] introduced the notion of n -coherent rings for a nonnegative integer n . A left R -module M is said to be n -presented if it has a finite n -presentation, that is, there exists an exact sequence $F_n \rightarrow F_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with each F_i finitely generated free R -module, and a ring R is called left n -coherent if every n -presented left R -module is $(n+1)$ -presented, for more details see [6,8].

As we know, cocoherent rings as a dual notion of coherent rings have been characterized in various ways, and many nice properties were obtained for such rings in [12,19,22]. In 1999, Weimin Xue in [24] via finitely cogenerated modules

introduced n -copresented modules and n -cocoherent rings as a dual notion of n -presented modules and n -coherent rings, respectively. A right R -module M is said to be n -copresented if there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E^0 \rightarrow E^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E^n$ of right R -modules, where each E^i is finitely cogenerated injective. A ring R is called right n -cocoherent if every n -copresented R -module is $(n + 1)$ -copresented. n -cocoherent rings have been studied by several authors (see, for example [1,2,5,21]).

The homological theory of graded rings and modules is a classical topic in algebra, because of its applications in algebraic geometry, see ([14,15,16]). Several authors have investigated the graded aspect of some notions in relative homological algebra. For example, Asensio et al. in [4] introduced the notions of FP-gr-injective modules, then Yang and Liu in [18] investigated homological behavior of the FP-gr-injective modules on gr-coherent rings. Recently in 2018, Zhao, Gao and Huang [20] gave a definition of n -presented graded modules and n -gr-coherent rings and also, by using of n -presented graded modules, they introduced the concept of n -FP-gr-injective and n -gr-flat modules, and then examined the homological behavior of these modules over n -gr-coherent rings. In case $n = 1$, see [13,18].

The aim of this paper is to introduce and study n -copresented graded right modules, n -gr-cocoherent right rings and n -FCP-gr-projective right modules as a dual notion of n -presented graded left modules, n -gr-coherent left rings and n -FP-gr-injective left modules, respectively. Then, we study the relative homological theory of these modules and also, the properties of special finitely copresented graded modules, defined via finitely cogenerated gr-injective resolutions of n -copresented graded modules, play a crucial role.

This paper is organized in three sections as follows:

In Section 2, some fundamental concepts and some preliminary results are stated.

In Section 3, we first introduce the notions of n -copresented graded right modules, special gr-cogenerated and special gr-copresented modules with respect to any n -copresented graded right module, and also n -cocoherent graded right rings (or, right n -gr-cocoherent rings). Then via n -copresented graded modules, we give a concept of n -FCP-gr-projective right modules and investigate some characterizations of these modules. In this section, examples are given in order to show that m -FCP-gr-projectivity does not imply n -FCP-gr-projectivity for any $m > n$.

In Section 4, we prove that there exist some equivalent characterizations of graded right modules of n -FCP-gr-projective dimension at most k on right n -gr-coherent rings. We obtain some equivalent characterizations of right n -gr-cocoherent rings in terms of n -FCP-gr-projective right modules on the short exact sequences. For example, R is a right n -gr-cocoherent ring if and only if for every exact sequence

$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ of graded right R -modules, where B and C are n -FCP-gr-projective, it follows that A is n -FCP-gr-projective if and only if $(gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n, gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n^\perp)$ is hereditary cotorsion theory, where $gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n$ denotes the class of n -FCP-gr-projective right modules. Moreover, if $gr\text{-}\mathcal{FI}_n$ is a class of n -FP-gr-injective left modules, then $(gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n)^* \subseteq gr\text{-}\mathcal{FI}_n$. Hence, we prove that every graded right R -module is n -FCP-gr-projective if and only if $(gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n, gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n^\perp)$ is a perfect hereditary cotorsion theory and $N \in gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n^\perp$ has an n -FCP-gr-projective cover with the unique mapping property if and only if R is right n -gr-cocoherent and N is n -FCP-gr-projective if and only if $N(\sigma)$ is gr-injective for any $\sigma \in G$.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, all rings considered are associative with identity element and the R -modules are unital. By $\text{Mod-}R$ and $R\text{-Mod}$ we will denote the Grothendieck category of all right R -modules and left R -modules, respectively.

Let G be a multiplicative group with neutral element e . A graded ring R is a ring with identity 1 together with a direct decomposition $R = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} R_\sigma$ (as additive subgroups) such that $R_\sigma R_\tau \subseteq R_{\sigma\tau}$ for all $\sigma, \tau \in G$. Thus, Re is a subring of R , $1 \in Re$ and R_σ is an Re -bimodule for every $\sigma \in G$. A *graded* right (resp. left) R -module is a right (resp. left) R -module M endowed with an internal direct sum decomposition $M = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} M_\sigma$, where each M_σ is a subgroup of the additive group of M such that $M_\tau R_\sigma \subseteq M_{\tau\sigma}$ for all $\sigma, \tau \in G$. For any graded right R -modules M and N , set $\text{Hom}_{gr\text{-}R}(M, N) := \{f : M \rightarrow N \mid f \text{ is } R\text{-linear and } f(M_\sigma) \subseteq N_\sigma \text{ for any } \sigma \in G\}$, which is the group of all morphisms from M to N in the category $gr\text{-}R$ of all graded right R -modules ($R\text{-gr}$ will denote the category of all graded left R -modules). It is well known that $gr\text{-}R$ is a Grothendieck category. An R -linear map $f : M \rightarrow N$ is said to be a *graded morphism of degree* τ with $\tau \in G$ if $f(M_\sigma) \subseteq M_{\sigma\tau}$ for all $\sigma \in G$. Graded morphisms of degree σ build an additive subgroup $\text{HOM}_R(M, N)_\sigma$ of $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$. Then $\text{HOM}_R(M, N) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \text{HOM}_R(M, N)_\sigma$ is a graded abelian group of type G . We will denote by $\text{Ext}_{gr\text{-}R}^i$ and EXT_R^i the right derived functors of $\text{Hom}_{gr\text{-}R}$ and HOM_R , respectively. Given a graded right R -module M , the *graded character module* of M is defined as $M^* := \text{HOM}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$, where \mathbb{Q} is the rational numbers field and \mathbb{Z} is the integers ring. It is easy to see that $M^* = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M_{\sigma^{-1}}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$.

Let M be a graded right R -module and N a graded left R -module. The abelian group $M \otimes_R N$ may be graded by putting $(M \otimes_R N)_\sigma$ with $\sigma \in G$ to be the additive subgroup generated by elements $x \otimes y$ with $x \in M_\alpha$ and $y \in N_\beta$ such that $\alpha\beta = \sigma$. The object of $\mathbb{Z}\text{-gr}$ thus defined will be called the *graded tensor product* of

M and N . If M is a graded right R -module and $\sigma \in G$, then $M(\sigma)$ is the graded right R -module obtained by putting $M(\sigma)_\tau = M_{\tau\sigma}$ for any $\tau \in G$. The graded module $M(\sigma)$ is called the σ -suspension of M . We may regard the σ -suspension as an isomorphism of categories $T_\sigma : \text{gr-}R \rightarrow \text{gr-}R$, given on objects as $T_\sigma(M) = M(\sigma)$ for any $M \in \text{gr-}R$.

The forgetful functor $U : \text{gr-}R \rightarrow \text{Mod-}R$ associates to M the underlying ungraded R -module. This functor has a right adjoint F which associated to $M \in \text{Mod-}R$ the graded R -module $F(M) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} (\sigma M)$, where each σM is a copy of M written $\{\sigma x : x \in M\}$ with R -module structure defined by $r *^\sigma x = \sigma^\tau (rx)$ for each $r \in R_\sigma$. If $f : M \rightarrow N$ is R -linear, then $F(f) : F(M) \rightarrow F(N)$ is a graded morphism given by $F(f)(\sigma x) = \sigma f(x)$.

The injective (resp. projective) objects of $\text{gr-}R$ will be called *injective graded right* (resp. *projective graded right*) modules because M is gr- injective (resp. gr- projective) in $\text{gr-}R$ if and only if it is a injective (resp. projective) graded right module. Similarly, it is defined for the graded left modules in $R\text{-gr}$. Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer. Then, a graded left R -module F is called *n-presented* [20] if there exists an exact sequence $P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$ in $R\text{-gr}$ with each P_i is finitely generated free R -module. A graded ring R is called *left n-gr-coherent* if each n -presented graded left R -module is $(n+1)$ -presented. A graded left module M is called *n-FP-gr-injective* if $\text{EXT}_R^n(F, M) = 0$ for any n -presented graded left R -module F . A graded left module M is called *n-gr-flat* if $\text{Tor}_n^R(M, F) = 0$ for any n -presented graded left R -module F , see [20].

For a graded ring R , let \mathcal{X} be a class of graded right R -modules and M a graded left R -module. Following [3,20], we say that a graded morphism $f : X \rightarrow M$ is an \mathcal{X} -precover of M if $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\text{HOM}_R(X', X) \rightarrow \text{HOM}_R(X', M) \rightarrow 0$ is exact for all $X' \in \mathcal{X}$. Moreover, if whenever a graded morphism $g : X \rightarrow X$ such that $fg = f$ is an automorphism of X , then $f : X \rightarrow M$ is called an \mathcal{X} -cover of M . The class \mathcal{X} is called (pre)covering if each object in $\text{gr-}R$ has an \mathcal{X} -(pre)cover. \mathcal{X} -envelope and \mathcal{X} -preenvelope are defined dually. Recall that a \mathcal{X} -cover $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ has the unique mapping property if for any homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow N$ with $A \in \mathcal{X}$, there exists a unique $g : A \rightarrow M$ such that $\phi g = f$.

3. n -FCP-gr-projective modules

In this section, we first introduce the special gr- copresented and special gr- cogenerated modules via n -copresented graded right modules. Then by using of these modules, some properties of n -FCP-gr-projective modules are discussed.

Definition 3.1. Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer. Then, a graded right R -module U is called n -copresented if there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow E^0 \longrightarrow E^1 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow E^{n-1} \longrightarrow E^n$$

in $\text{gr-}R$ with each E^i is finitely cogenerated injective. Set $K^{n-1} = \text{Coker}(E^{n-2} \rightarrow E^{n-1})$ and $K^n = \text{Coker}(E^{n-1} \rightarrow E^n)$. Then, we shall say the sequence

$$\Delta' : 0 \longrightarrow K^{n-1} \longrightarrow E^n \longrightarrow K^n \longrightarrow 0$$

in $\text{gr-}R$ is a special short exact sequence. Moreover, we call the objects K^n and K^{n-1} special finitely cogenerated and special finitely copresented graded (special gr- cogenerated and special gr- copresented for short) right R -modules, respectively. Then, it follows that $\text{EXT}_R^1(M, K^{n-1}) \cong \text{EXT}_R^n(M, U)$ for any graded right R -module M . Also, a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{gr-}R$ is called special gr- copure, if for every special gr- copresented K^{n-1} , there exists the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{HOM}_R(C, K^{n-1}) \longrightarrow \text{HOM}_R(B, K^{n-1}) \longrightarrow \text{HOM}_R(A, K^{n-1}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where A is said to be special gr- copure in B . A graded ring R is called right n - gr- cocoherent if each n -copresented graded right R -module is $(n+1)$ -copresented.

The following lemma is the graded version of [23, Theorem 3].

Lemma 3.2. *Let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{gr-}R$ be a short exact sequence. Then the following statements hold for any $n \geq 0$.*

- (1) *If A and C are n -copresented, then so is B .*
- (2) *If C is n -copresented and B is $(n+1)$ -copresented, then A is $(n+1)$ -copresented.*
- (3) *If A and B are $(n+1)$ -copresented, then C is n -copresented.*

Definition 3.3. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. A graded right R -module M is called n -FCP- gr- projective if $\text{EXT}_R^n(M, U) = 0$ for any n -copresented graded right R -module U .

Notice that for ungraded, a right R -module M is called n -FCP-projective if $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, U) = 0$ for any n -copresented right R -module U , and in case $n = 1$, M is called FCP-projective, see [21].

Remark 3.4. (1) Every m -copresented graded right R -module is n -copresented for any $m \geq n$.

(2) If $n = 1$, then every 1-FCP- gr- projective is FCP- gr- projective.

(3) If M is n -FCP-gr- projective and U is $(n + 1)$ -copresented graded right R -module, then there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow E^0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{gr-}R$, where E^0 is finitely cogenerated injective and C is n -copresented by Lemma 3.2. So we deduce that the sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{EXT}_R^n(M, C) \rightarrow \text{EXT}_R^{n+1}(M, U) \rightarrow 0$ is exact. But, $\text{EXT}_R^n(M, C) = 0$ since M is n -FCP-gr- projective and C is n -copresented. Hence, $\text{EXT}_R^{n+1}(M, U) = 0$ and consequently M is $(n + 1)$ -FCP-gr- projective. Therefore,

$$\text{gr-FCP}_1 \subseteq \text{gr-FCP}_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \text{gr-FCP}_n \subseteq \text{gr-FCP}_{n+1} \subseteq \cdots .$$

In general, m -FCP-gr-projective right R -modules need not be n -FCP-gr-projective whenever $m > n$, see Example 3.6(1).

(4) Every gr-projective right R -module is n -FCP-gr-projective.

Definition 3.5. (1) The n -FCP-gr-projective dimension of a graded right module M is defined by

$$n.\text{FCP-gr-pd}M = \inf\{k : \text{EXT}_R^{k+1}(M, K^{n-1}) = 0 \text{ for every special gr-copresented } K^{n-1}\}.$$

(2) The n -FCP-gr-projective global dimension of a graded ring R is defined by

$$r.n.\text{FCP-gr-dim}R = \sup\{n.\text{FCP-gr-pd}M \mid M \text{ is a graded right } R\text{-module}\}.$$

A graded ring R is called a right gr- V -ring if every simple graded right R -module is gr-injective. A graded ring R is called right gr-hereditary if every submodule of a projective graded right R -module is projective. A graded ring R is called right gr-cosemihereditary if every submodule of a projective graded right R -module is FCP-gr-projective, see the graded version of [21, Definition 3.6]. It is clear that gr-hereditary rings are gr-cosemihereditary.

Example 3.6. Let R be a gr-cosemihereditary ring but not gr- V -ring, for example gr-hereditary ring $R = k[X]$ where k is field. Then by graded vesion of [21, Theorem 3.9], there exists a graded R -module which is not 1-FCP-gr-projective. Also by graded vesion of [21, Theorem 3.7], $r.\text{FCP-gr-dim}R \leq 1$. So, $\text{FCP-gr-pd}M \leq 1$ for any graded right R -module M . Hence by Lemma 4.2, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{gr-}R$, where P_0 and P_1 are 1-FCP-gr-projective. If U is a 2-copresented graded right module, then $\text{EXT}_R^1(P_1, U) = 0$, since every 2-copresented graded right module is 1-copresented. Therefore from $\text{EXT}_R^1(P_1, U) \cong \text{EXT}_R^2(M, U)$ we get that every graded right R -module M is 2-FCP-gr-projective.

We have the following lemma before the next proposition.

Lemma 3.7. *Let R be a ring graded by a group G , M a graded right R -module and k non-negative integer. Then $\text{EXT}_R^k(M, -)_\sigma \cong \text{Ext}_{\text{gr-}R}^k(M(\sigma^{-1}), -)$ for any $\sigma \in G$.*

Proof. It is clear that for $k = 0$, $\text{HOM}_R(M, -)_\sigma \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{gr-}R}(M(\sigma^{-1}), -)$, see [10]. Let N be a graded right R -module. Then, there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$, where E is gr-injective. Consider the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{EXT}_R^{k-1}(M, L)_\sigma & \longrightarrow & \text{EXT}_R^k(M, N)_\sigma & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\text{gr-}R}^{k-1}(M(\sigma^{-1}), L) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\text{gr-}R}^k(M(\sigma^{-1}), N) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

By induction hypothesis, α is an isomorphism and then so is β . \square

In the following proposition, we give some equivalent characterizations of n -FCP-gr-projective modules with respect to special gr-copure short exact sequences.

Proposition 3.8. *Let R be a ring graded by a group G and $n \geq 1$ an integer. Then the following statements are equivalent for a graded right R -module M .*

- (1) M is n -FCP-gr-projective;
- (2) M is gr-projective with respect to all special short exact sequences in $\text{gr-}R$;
- (3) The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{gr-}R$ is special gr-copure;
- (4) $M(\sigma^{-1})$ is n -FCP-gr-projective for any $\sigma \in G$;
- (5) There exists a special gr-copure short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{gr-}R$, where P is gr-projective;
- (6) There exists a special gr-copure short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{gr-}R$, where P is n -FCP-gr-projective;
- (7) $M(\sigma^{-1})$ is gr-projective with respect to all special short exact sequences in $\text{gr-}R$ for any $\sigma \in G$.

Proof. (1) \implies (2) Let $0 \rightarrow K^{n-1} \rightarrow E^n \rightarrow K^n \rightarrow 0$ be a special short exact sequence with respect to any n -copresented graded right R -module U . Then, $\text{EXT}_R^1(M, K^{n-1}) \cong \text{EXT}_R^n(M, U) = 0$.

(2) \implies (3), (3) \implies (1), (5) \implies (6) and (6) \implies (1) are clear.

(4) \implies (1) It is clear, since by Lemma 3.7, $\text{EXT}_R^n(M, U)_\sigma \cong \text{Ext}_{\text{gr-}R}^n(M(\sigma^{-1}), U)$ for any n -copresented graded right R -module U and any $\sigma \in G$.

(1) \implies (5) Let M be a graded right R -module. Then, there is exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{gr-}R$ with P is gr-projective. By (1), $\text{EXT}_R^1(M, K^{n-1}) \cong \text{EXT}_R^n(M, U) = 0$ for any special gr-copresented K^{n-1} and any n -copresented graded right module U . So, (5) follows.

(2) \iff (7) It is trivial, since $\text{Hom}_{\text{gr-}R}(M(\sigma^{-1}), -) \cong \text{HOM}_R(M, -)_\sigma$.

(2) \implies (4) Assume that U is an n -copresented graded right R -module and $0 \rightarrow K^{n-1} \rightarrow E^n \rightarrow K^n \rightarrow 0$ is a special short exact sequence in $\text{gr-}R$, where E^n is finitely cogenerated injective. Then, we have the following exact sequence for any $\tau \in G$:

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{HOM}_R(M, K^{n-1})_\tau \longrightarrow \text{HOM}_R(M, E^n)_\tau \longrightarrow \text{HOM}_R(M, K^n)_\tau \longrightarrow 0.$$

Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{HOM}_R(M, K^{n-1})_{\tau\sigma} & \longrightarrow & \text{HOM}_R(M, E^n)_{\tau\sigma} & \longrightarrow & \text{HOM}_R(M, K^n)_{\tau\sigma} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\text{gr-}R}(M(\tau\sigma)^{-1}, K^{n-1}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\text{gr-}R}(M(\tau\sigma)^{-1}, E^n) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\text{gr-}R}(M(\tau\sigma)^{-1}, K^n) \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{HOM}_R(M(\sigma^{-1}), K^{n-1})_\tau & \longrightarrow & \text{HOM}_R(M(\sigma^{-1}), E^n)_\tau & \longrightarrow & \text{HOM}_R(M(\sigma^{-1}), K^n)_\tau \end{array}$$

with the upper row exact for every $\tau \in G$. So, we deduce that

$$0 \rightarrow \text{HOM}_R(M(\sigma^{-1}), K^{n-1})_\tau \rightarrow \text{HOM}_R(M(\sigma^{-1}), E^n)_\tau \rightarrow \text{HOM}_R(M(\sigma^{-1}), K^n)_\tau \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, which gives rise to the exactness of

$$0 \rightarrow \text{HOM}_R(M(\sigma^{-1}), K^{n-1}) \rightarrow \text{HOM}_R(M(\sigma^{-1}), E^n) \rightarrow \text{HOM}_R(M(\sigma^{-1}), K^n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, $0 = \text{EXT}_R^1(M(\sigma^{-1}), K^{n-1}) \cong \text{EXT}_R^n(M(\sigma^{-1}), U)$ and consequently, $M(\sigma^{-1})$ is n -FCP-gr-projective. \square

Transfer result of n -FCP-gr-projective modules with respect to the functor F is given in the following result.

Proposition 3.9. *Let R be a ring graded by a group G . If M is an n -FCP-projective right R -module, then $F(M)$ is n -FCP-gr-projective.*

Proof. Let $0 \longrightarrow K^{n-1} \longrightarrow E^n \xrightarrow{g} K^n \longrightarrow 0$ be a special short exact sequence in $\text{gr-}R$ and $f : F(M) \rightarrow K^n$ a graded morphism. Since F is a right adjoint functor of the forgetful functor, we have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & M & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K^{n-1} & \longrightarrow & E^n & \longrightarrow & K^n \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Now, again by the adjoint situation between the forgetful functor and F we have a graded morphism $F(M) \rightarrow E^n$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & F(M) & & & \\ & & & \downarrow & \searrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K^{n-1} & \longrightarrow & E^n & \xrightarrow{g} & K^n \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

which shows that $F(M)$ is gr-projective with respect to all the special short exact sequences in gr- R . Let $f : F(M)(\sigma^{-1}) \rightarrow K^n$ be a graded morphism for any $\sigma \in G$. Since, the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K^{n-1}(\sigma) \longrightarrow E^n(\sigma) \xrightarrow{T_\sigma(g)} K^n(\sigma) \longrightarrow 0$$

exists and $K^{n-1}(\sigma)$ is special gr-copresented, there exists a graded morphism $h : F(M) \rightarrow E^n(\sigma)$ such that $T_\sigma(g)h = T_\sigma(f)$, and so $gT_{\sigma^{-1}}(h) = f$ for $T_{\sigma^{-1}}(h) : F(M)(\sigma^{-1}) \rightarrow E^n$. Therefore for any $\sigma \in G$, $F(M)(\sigma^{-1})$ is gr-projective with respect to all the special short exact sequences and consequently by Proposition 3.8, $F(M)$ is n -FCP-gr-projective. \square

Also, as for the classical projective notion, the class $gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n$ is closed under direct limits.

Proposition 3.10. *Let R be a graded ring by a group G , K^{n-1} a special gr-copresented and $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ a direct system of graded right R -modules with I directed. Then:*

- (1) $\text{HOM}_R(\varinjlim M_i, K^{n-1}) \cong \varinjlim \text{HOM}_R(M_i, K^{n-1})$.
- (2) $\text{EXT}_R^1(\varinjlim M_i, K^{n-1}) \cong \varinjlim \text{EXT}_R^1(M_i, K^{n-1})$.

Proof. (1) For any $\sigma \in G$, we have

$$\text{HOM}_R(\varinjlim M_i, K^{n-1}) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \text{HOM}_R(\varinjlim M_i, K^{n-1})_\sigma \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \text{Hom}_{\text{gr-}R}(\varinjlim M_i(\sigma^{-1}), K^{n-1}).$$

By [17, Proposition 5.26],

$$\bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \text{Hom}_{\text{gr-}R}(\varinjlim M_i(\sigma^{-1}), K^{n-1}) \cong \varprojlim \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \text{Hom}_{\text{gr-}R}(M_i(\sigma^{-1}), K^{n-1}).$$

So,

$$\varprojlim \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \text{Hom}_{\text{gr-}R}(M_i(\sigma^{-1}), K^{n-1}) \cong \varprojlim \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \text{HOM}_R(M_i, K^{n-1})_\sigma = \varprojlim \text{HOM}_R(M_i, K^{n-1}).$$

(2) Let $0 \rightarrow K^{n-1} \rightarrow E^n \rightarrow K^n \rightarrow 0$ be a special short exact sequence in $\text{gr-}R$.

Then by (1), the following commutative diagram exists:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{HOM}_R(\varinjlim M_i, E^n) & \longrightarrow & \text{HOM}_R(\varinjlim M_i, K^n) & \longrightarrow & \text{EXT}_R^1(\varinjlim M_i, K^{n-1}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow & & \\ \varinjlim \text{HOM}_R(M_i, E^n) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim \text{HOM}_R(M_i, K^n) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim \text{EXT}_R^1(M_i, K^{n-1}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Therefore, $\text{EXT}_R^1(\varinjlim M_i, K^{n-1}) \cong \varinjlim \text{EXT}_R^1(M_i, K^{n-1})$. \square

Corollary 3.11. *Let R be a graded ring. Then, the class gr-FCP_n is closed under direct limits.*

Proof. Let U be an n -copresented graded right module and let $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of n -FCP- gr -projective right modules. Then by Proposition 3.10,

$$\text{EXT}_R^n(\varinjlim M_i, U) \cong \text{EXT}_R^1(\varinjlim M_i, K^{n-1}) \cong \varprojlim \text{EXT}_R^1(M_i, K^{n-1}) \cong \varprojlim \text{EXT}_R^n(M_i, U),$$

where K^{n-1} is special gr -copresented. \square

4. n - gr -cocoherent rings

In this section, some characterizations of n -FCP- gr -projective right modules on right n - gr -cocoherent rings are given.

We state the following lemmas that are derived from [21, Theorem 2.12].

Lemma 4.1. *Let R be a right n - gr -cocoherent ring and M a graded right R -module. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) n -FCP- gr - $\text{pd}M \leq k$;
- (2) $\text{EXT}_R^{k+1}(M, K^{n-1}) = 0$ for any special gr -copresented K^{n-1} .

Proof. (2) \implies (1) is trivial by Definition 3.5.

(1) \implies (2) Use induction on k . Clear if n -FCP- gr - $\text{pd}M = k$. Let n -FCP- gr - $\text{pd}M \leq k - 1$. If $0 \rightarrow K^{n-1} \rightarrow E^n \rightarrow K^n \rightarrow 0$ is a special short exact sequence in $\text{gr-}R$ with respect to any n -copresented graded right R -module U , then from the n - gr -cocoherence of R we deduce that K^n is special gr -copresented. Also, we have $\text{EXT}_R^k(M, K^n) \cong \text{EXT}_R^{k+1}(M, K^{n-1})$. So by induction hypothesis, $\text{EXT}_R^k(M, K^n) = 0$ and consequently $\text{EXT}_R^{k+1}(M, K^{n-1}) = 0$ which completes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let R be a right n - gr -cocoherent ring, M a graded right R -module and k a non-negative integer. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) n -FCP- gr - $\text{pd}M \leq k$;

- (2) $\text{EXT}_R^{k+l}(M, K^{n-1}) = 0$ for any special gr-copresented K^{n-1} and all positive integers l ;
- (3) $\text{EXT}_R^{k+1}(M, K^{n-1}) = 0$ for any special gr-copresented K^{n-1} ;
- (4) There exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow P_k \xrightarrow{f_k} P_{k-1} \xrightarrow{f_{k-1}} \cdots P_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} M \longrightarrow 0$$

in gr- R with P_0, P_1, \dots, P_k are n -FCP-gr-projective;

- (5) n -FCP-gr-pd $M(\sigma^{-1}) \leq k$ for any $\sigma \in G$.

Proof. (1) \implies (2) If n -FCP-gr-pd $M \leq k$, then n -FCP-gr-pd $M \leq k + l - 1$. So by Lemma 4.1, $\text{EXT}_R^{k+l}(M, K^{n-1}) = 0$.

(4) \implies (1) Since R is right n -gr-cocoherent, by Lemma 4.1, $\text{EXT}_R^j(P_i, K^{n-1}) = 0$ for any special gr-copresented K^{n-1} , all positive integers j and any $0 \leq i \leq k$. So by (4), we have:

$$\text{EXT}_R^{k+1}(M, K^{n-1}) \cong \text{EXT}_R^k(\ker(f_0), K^{n-1}) \cong \cdots \cong \text{EXT}_R^1(P_k, K^{n-1}).$$

Hence by Lemma 4.1, n -FCP-gr-pd $M \leq k$.

(2) \implies (3) It is obvious.

(3) \implies (4) For every graded right R -module M , there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow P_k \longrightarrow P_{k-1} \longrightarrow \cdots P_1 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

in gr- R with P_0, P_1, \dots, P_{k-1} are gr-projective. Therefore for any positive integers l , we have $\text{EXT}_R^l(P_i, K^{n-1}) = 0$ for all special gr-copresented modules K^{n-1} and any $0 \leq i \leq k - 1$. Let $K_i = \ker(P_i \rightarrow P_{i-1})$. Then,

$$\text{EXT}_R^{k+1}(M, K^{n-1}) \cong \text{EXT}_R^k(K_0, K^{n-1}) \cong \text{EXT}_R^{k-1}(K_1, K^{n-1}) \cong \text{EXT}_R^1(P_k, K^{n-1}).$$

By (3), $\text{EXT}_R^{k+1}(M, K^{n-1}) = 0$, and so $\text{EXT}_R^1(P_k, K^{n-1}) = 0$, which means that P_k is n -FCP-gr-projective.

(1) \iff (5) Use induction on k . If $k = 0$, then by Proposition 3.8, M is n -FCP-gr-projective if and only if $M(\sigma^{-1})$ is n -FCP-gr-projective for any $\sigma \in G$. Assume that $k > 0$. There is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ in gr- R , where P is gr-projective. For any $\sigma \in G$, it follows that the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L(\sigma^{-1}) \xrightarrow{T_{\sigma^{-1}}(f)} P(\sigma^{-1}) \longrightarrow M(\sigma^{-1}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $P(\sigma^{-1})$ is gr-projective exists. So by Lemma 3.7, for every special gr-copresented K^{n-1} and any $\tau \in G$, we have:

$$\text{EXT}_R^{k+1}(M(\sigma^{-1}), K^{n-1})_\tau \cong \text{EXT}_R^k(L(\sigma^{-1}), K^{n-1})_\tau \cong \text{Ext}_{\text{gr-}R}^k(L(\tau\sigma)^{-1}, K^{n-1}) \cong$$

$\text{EXT}_R^k(L, K^{n-1})_{\tau\sigma} \cong \text{EXT}_R^{k+1}(M, K^{n-1})_{\tau\sigma}$. By induction hypothesis, $n\text{-FCP-gr-pd}L(\sigma^{-1}) \leq k-1$ if and only if $n\text{-FCP-gr-pd}L \leq k-1$. So, we deduce that $n\text{-FCP-gr-pd}M(\sigma^{-1}) \leq k$ if and only if $n\text{-FCP-gr-pd}M \leq k$. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Let R be a right $n\text{-gr-cocoherent}$ ring of type G . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *If $\phi : N \rightarrow M$ is an $n\text{-FCP-gr-projective}$ preenvelope, then N has an epic $n\text{-FCP-gr-projective}$ preenvelope;*
- (2) *The cokernel of any $n\text{-FCP-gr-projective}$ preenvelope of a graded right R -module is $n\text{-FCP-gr-projective}$;*
Moreover, if every submodule of an $n\text{-FCP-gr-projective}$ graded right R -module has an $n\text{-FCP-gr-projective}$ preenvelope, the above are equivalent to:
- (3) *$r.n\text{-FCP-gr-dim}R \leq 1$.*

Proof. (1) \implies (2) Let $\phi : N \rightarrow M$ be an $n\text{-FCP-gr-projective}$ preenvelope. Then $f : \text{Im}(\phi) \rightarrow M$ is an $n\text{-FCP-gr-projective}$ preenvelope. By (1), there is an epic $n\text{-FCP-gr-projective}$ preenvelope $g : \text{Im}(\phi) \rightarrow C$. Consider the following commutative diagram, where D is a pushout of two maps f and g :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Im}(\phi) & \xrightarrow{f} & M & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker}(\phi) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow id \\ & & C & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & D & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker}(\phi) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

By [17, Exercise 5.10], α is injective and β is surjective. On the other hand, $D = \alpha(C) + \beta(M)$. Since β is surjective, $D = \alpha(C) + D$ and so, $\alpha(C) \subseteq D$. Also, by using of preenvelopes f and g , there is a graded morphism $h : D \rightarrow C$ such that $h\alpha = 1_C$. Hence, $D \cong C \oplus \text{Coker}(\phi)$. Similarly $D \cong M$. Therefore from $n\text{-FCP-gr-projectivity}$ M , we deduce that $\text{Coker}(\phi)$ is $n\text{-FCP-gr-projective}$.

(2) \implies (1) Let $\phi : N \rightarrow M$ be an $n\text{-FCP-gr-projective}$ preenvelope. It is enough to show that $\text{Im}(\phi)$ is $n\text{-FCP-gr-projective}$. Consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Im}(\phi) \rightarrow M \rightarrow \text{Coker}(\phi) \rightarrow 0$. By hypothesis, $\text{Coker}(\phi)$ is $n\text{-FCP-gr-projective}$, and so for every special gr-copresented K^{n-1} , we have:

$$0 = \text{EXT}_R^1(M, K^{n-1}) \longrightarrow \text{EXT}_R^1(\text{Im}(\phi), K^{n-1}) \longrightarrow \text{EXT}_R^2(\text{Coker}(\phi), K^{n-1}).$$

Hence by Lemm 4.2 and (2), $\text{EXT}_R^2(\text{Coker}(\phi), K^{n-1}) = 0$. Hence,

$$0 = \text{EXT}_R^1(\text{Im}(\phi), K^{n-1}) \cong \text{EXT}_R^n(\text{Im}(\phi), U)$$

for any n -copresented graded right module U , and then it follows that $\text{Im}(\phi)$ is n -FCP-gr-projective.

(2) \implies (3) Let M be a graded right R -module. Then, there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{gr-}R$, where P is gr-projective. If K is n -FCP-gr-projective, then $r.n.$ FCP-gr-dim(R) ≤ 1 . So, we show that K is n -FCP-gr-projective. If $\phi : K \rightarrow N$ is an n -FCP-gr-projective preenvelope, then ϕ is injective. So similar to proof of (2) \implies (1), we get that K is n -FCP-gr-projective.

(3) \implies (1) Let $\phi : N \rightarrow M$ be an n -FCP-gr-projective preenvelope. Then by Lemma 4.2, the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Im}(\phi) \rightarrow M \rightarrow \text{Coker}(\phi) \rightarrow 0$ implies that $\text{Im}(\phi)$ is n -FCP-gr-projective, and so $N \rightarrow \text{Im}(\phi)$ is an epic n -FCP-gr-projective preenvelope of N . \square

In the following, we present one of the main results in this paper.

Theorem 4.4. *Let R be a graded ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) R is right n -gr-cocoherent;
- (2) For every exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{gr-}R$, A is n -FCP-gr-projective if B and C are n -FCP-gr-projective.

Proof. (1) \implies (2) Let U be an n -copresented graded right R -module. Then by Lemm 4.2(2), we have: $0 = \text{EXT}_R^n(B, U) \rightarrow \text{EXT}_R^n(A, U) \rightarrow \text{EXT}_R^{n+1}(C, U) = 0$, since B and C are n -FCP-gr-projective. So $\text{EXT}_R^n(A, U) = 0$, and hence A is n -FCP-gr-projective.

(2) \implies (1) Let U be an n -copresented graded right R -module and $0 \rightarrow K^{n-1} \rightarrow E^n \rightarrow K^n \rightarrow 0$ a special short exact sequence in $\text{gr-}R$ with respect to U . We show that U is $(n+1)$ -copresented. For this, enough to say that K^n is special gr-copresented. Let M be a 1-FCP-projective right R -module and $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ an exact sequence in $\text{Mod-}R$ with P is projective. Then $0 \rightarrow F(K) \rightarrow F(P) \rightarrow F(M) \rightarrow 0$ is exact in $\text{gr-}R$, where by Proposition 3.9, $F(P)$ and $F(M)$ are 1-FCP-gr-projective. Hence, Remark 3.4 imply that $F(P)$ and $F(M)$ are n -FCP-gr-projective. So by hypothesis, it follows that $F(K)$ is n -FCP-gr-projective. Since E^n is gr-injective, we have:

$$0 = \text{Ext}_{\text{gr-}R}^1(F(M), E^n) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{gr-}R}^1(F(M), K^n) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{gr-}R}^2(F(M), K^{n-1}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

So, $\text{Ext}_{\text{gr-}R}^1(F(M), K^n) \cong \text{Ext}_{\text{gr-}R}^2(F(M), K^{n-1})$. Also, we have:

$$0 = \text{Ext}_{\text{gr-}R}^1(F(P), K^{n-1}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{gr-}R}^1(F(K), K^{n-1}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{gr-}R}^2(F(M), K^{n-1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Consequently $\text{Ext}_{\text{gr-}R}^1(F(K), K^{n-1}) \cong \text{Ext}_{\text{gr-}R}^2(F(M), K^{n-1})$ and so by Lemma 3.7, n -FCP-gr-projectivity $F(K)$ imply that $\text{Ext}_{\text{gr-}R}^1(F(K)(\sigma^{-1}), K^{n-1}) = 0$ for

any $\sigma \in G$. Thus,

$$0 = \text{Ext}_{\text{gr-}R}^1(F(K), K^{n-1}) \cong \text{Ext}_{\text{gr-}R}^2(F(M), K^{n-1}) \cong \text{Ext}_{\text{gr-}R}^1(F(M), K^n).$$

Now, consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\text{gr-}R}(F(M), K^n) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\text{gr-}R}(F(P), K^n) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\text{gr-}R}(F(K), K^n) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(M, K^n) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(P, K^n) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(K, K^n) \end{array}$$

with the upper row exact. Therefore, $\text{Ext}_{\text{gr-}R}^1(F(M), K^n) \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(M, K^n) = 0$ for any 1-FCP-projective R -module M , and it follows that K^n is 1-copresented. Hence, U is $(n+1)$ -copresented. \square

Corollary 4.5. *Let R be a right n -gr-cocohesive ring. Then, graded right R -module M is n -FCP-gr-projective if and only if every copure epimorphic image and copure submodule of M is n -FCP-gr-projective.*

Proof. (\implies) Let N be a copure submodule of n -FCP-gr-projective right R -module M . Then, the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow \frac{M}{N} \rightarrow 0$ is special gr-copure. So by Proposition 3.8, $\frac{M}{N}$ is n -FCP-gr-projective and hence by Theorem 4.4, N is n -FCP-gr-projective.

(\impliedby) It is clear. \square

Before the next results, we first introduce the following symbols and definitions given in [9,20].

For every class \mathcal{Y} of graded right R -modules, denote the classes

$$\mathcal{Y}^\perp = \{X \in \text{gr-}R : \text{Ext}_{\text{gr-}R}^1(Y, X) = 0 \text{ for all } Y \in \mathcal{Y}\}$$

and

$${}^\perp\mathcal{Y} = \{X \in \text{gr-}R : \text{Ext}_{\text{gr-}R}(X, Y) = 0 \text{ for all } Y \in \mathcal{Y}\}.$$

Given two classes of graded right R -modules \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{C} , then we say that $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ is a cotorsion theory in $\text{gr-}R$ if $\mathcal{F}^\perp = \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{F} = {}^\perp\mathcal{C}$. A cotorsion theory $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ is called hereditary if whenever $0 \rightarrow F' \rightarrow F \rightarrow F'' \rightarrow 0$ is exact in $\text{gr-}R$ with $F, F'' \in \mathcal{F}$ then F' is also in \mathcal{F} .

A duality pair over a graded ring R is a pair $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$, where \mathcal{F} is a class of graded right (resp. left) R -modules and \mathcal{C} is a class of graded left (resp. right) R -modules, subject to the following conditions: (1) For any graded module F , one has $F \in \mathcal{F}$ if and only if $F^* \in \mathcal{C}$. (2) \mathcal{C} is closed under direct summands and finite direct sums.

A duality pair $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ is called (co)product-closed if the class of \mathcal{F} is closed under graded direct (co)products, and a duality pair $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ is called perfect if it is coproduct-closed, \mathcal{F} is closed under extensions and R belongs to \mathcal{F} .

Theorem 4.6. *The pair $(gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n, gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n^\perp)$ is hereditary cotorsion theory if and only if R is a right n -gr-cocoherent ring.*

Proof. (\implies) Let M be an n -FCP-gr-projective right R -module. Then, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ in $gr\text{-}R$, where P is gr-projective. Thus by Remark 3.4, P is n -FCP-gr-projective, too. Since $(gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n, gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n^\perp)$ is a hereditary cotorsion theory, we deduce that K is n -FCP-gr-projective, and then by Theorem 4.4, it follows that R is right n -gr-cocoherent.

(\impliedby) Note that we have to show that ${}^\perp(gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n^\perp) = gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n$. Let K^{n-1} be a special gr-copresented with respect to any n -copresented graded right R -module U and $M \in {}^\perp(gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n^\perp)$. Then, $K^{n-1} \in gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n^\perp$ and $M(\sigma^{-1}) \in {}^\perp(gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n^\perp)$ for all $\sigma \in G$ by analogy with the proof of Proposition 3.9. Therefore by Lemma 3.7, $\text{EXT}_R^1(M, K^{n-1})_\sigma \cong \text{Ext}_{gr\text{-}R}^1(M(\sigma^{-1}), K^{n-1}) = 0$ and consequently by Lemma 4.2, M is n -FCP-gr-projective, and hence $M \in gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n$. Let $0 \rightarrow F' \rightarrow F \rightarrow F'' \rightarrow 0$ be a exact sequence of modules in $gr\text{-}R$, where F and F'' are n -FCP-gr-projective. Then by Theorem 4.4, F' is n -FCP-gr-projective, since R is n -gr-cocoherent. So, it follows that $(gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n, gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n^\perp)$ is a hereditary cotorsion theory. \square

We denote $(gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n)^* = \{M^* \mid M \in gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n\}$. The following lemma shows the connection between n -FCP-gr-projective and n -FP-gr-injective modules.

Lemma 4.7. *Let R be a graded ring of type G .*

- (1) *If U is an n -presented graded left R -module, then U^* is an n -copresented graded right R -module.*
- (2) *$(gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n)^* \subseteq gr\text{-}\mathcal{FI}_n$.*

Proof. (1) Let U be an n -presented graded left R -module. Then, there exists an exact sequence

$$F_n \longrightarrow F_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow 0$$

in $R\text{-gr}$ with each F_i is finitely generated free. So by graded version of [17, Lemma 3.53], there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow U^* \longrightarrow F_0^* \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_{n-1}^* \longrightarrow F_n^*$$

with R -modules in $gr\text{-}R$. It suffices to show that every F_i^* is finitely cogenerated injective. It is clear that any F_i^* is finitely cogenerated. So, we prove that every F_i^* is injective, too. Consider the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ in $gr\text{-}R$. Then, there exists the following commutative diagram with the upper row

exact for any $\sigma \in G$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{HOM}_R(C, F_i^*) & \longrightarrow & \text{HOM}_R(B, F_i^*) & \longrightarrow & \text{HOM}_R(A, F_i^*) \\
& & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \text{Hom}_{\text{gr-}R}(C(\sigma^{-1}), F_i^*) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \text{Hom}_{\text{gr-}R}(B(\sigma^{-1}), F_i^*) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \text{Hom}_{\text{gr-}R}(A(\sigma^{-1}), F_i^*) \\
& & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \text{Hom}_{R\text{-gr}}(F_i, C^*(\sigma)) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \text{Hom}_{R\text{-gr}}(F_i, B^*(\sigma)) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \text{Hom}_{R\text{-gr}}(F_i, A^*(\sigma)) \\
& & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{HOM}_R(F_i, C^*) & \longrightarrow & \text{HOM}_R(F_i, B^*) & \longrightarrow & \text{HOM}_R(F_i, A^*) \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

So, $\text{EXT}_R^1(C, F_i^*) = 0$ and hence any F_i^* is injective.

(2) By [20, Definition 3.1], let $0 \rightarrow K_{n-1} \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow K_n \rightarrow 0$ be a special short exact sequence in $R\text{-gr}$ with respect to any n -presented graded left R -module U . Then by (1), K_{n-1}^* is special gr -copresented in $\text{gr-}R$. So if M is n -FCP- gr -projective right R -module, then similar to the proof (1), $0 = \text{EXT}_R^1(M, K_{n-1}^*) \cong \text{EXT}_R^1(K_{n-1}, M^*)$. On the other hand, $\text{EXT}_R^n(U, M^*) \cong \text{EXT}_R^1(K_{n-1}, M^*)$ by [20, Definition 3.1]. Therefore, M^* is an n -FP- gr -injective left R -module, and then we conclude that $(\text{gr-}\mathcal{FCP}_n)^* \subseteq \text{gr-}\mathcal{FI}_n$. \square

In the following theorem, by using the previous results, we present some equivalent characterizations to that each graded right R -module is n -FCP- gr -projective.

Theorem 4.8. *Let R be a graded ring of type G . Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *Every graded right module is n -FCP- gr -projective;*
- (2) *$\text{gr-id}(U) \leq n - 1$ for any n -copresented graded right R -module U ;*
- (3) *Every special gr -copresented right module is gr -injective;*
- (4) *$(\text{gr-}\mathcal{FCP}_n, \text{gr-}\mathcal{FCP}_n^\perp)$ is perfect hereditary cotorsion theory and N has an n -FCP- gr -projective cover with the unique mapping property for any $N \in \text{gr-}\mathcal{FCP}_n^\perp$;*
- (5) *N is gr -injective for any $N \in \text{gr-}\mathcal{FCP}_n^\perp$;*
- (6) *$N(\sigma)$ is gr -injective for any $N \in \text{gr-}\mathcal{FCP}_n^\perp$ and any $\sigma \in G$;*
- (7) *Every graded right module has an n -FCP- gr -projective cover with the unique mapping property;*
- (8) *R is right n - gr -cocoherent and N is n -FCP- gr -projective for any $N \in \text{gr-}\mathcal{FCP}_n^\perp$.*

Proof. (1) \implies (2), (2) \implies (3) and (3) \implies (1) are clear by Proposition 3.8.

(1) \implies (5) and (5) \implies (3) are obvious.

(5) \iff (6) it follows from $\text{HOM}_R(-, N)_\sigma \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{gr-}R}(-, N(\sigma))$.

(1) \implies (7) First, we show that the class $\text{gr-}\mathcal{FCP}_n$ is covering. If $M \in \text{gr-}\mathcal{FCP}_n$, then by Lemma 4.7, $M^* \in \text{gr-}\mathcal{FP}_n$. Contrary, if $M^* \in \text{gr-}\mathcal{FP}_n$, then [20, Proposition 3.8] implies that M is n -gr-flat, and hence by (1), $M \in \text{gr-}\mathcal{FCP}_n$. On the other hand, the class $\text{gr-}\mathcal{FP}_n$ is closed under direct summands and direct sums by [20, Propositions 3.7 and 3.16]. So, we obtain that $(\text{gr-}\mathcal{FCP}_n, \text{gr-}\mathcal{FI}_n)$ is a duality pair. Also By (1), it follows that the class $\text{gr-}\mathcal{FCP}_n$ is closed under gr-pure submodules, gr-pure quotients and gr-pure extensions. Therefore by Corollary 3.11 and [20, Theorem 4.2], the class $\text{gr-}\mathcal{FCP}_n$ is covering and hence by hypothesis, (7) follows.

(7) \implies (1) Let N be a graded right R -module. Then there is a commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & M' & & & \\
 & & \phi \swarrow & \downarrow \alpha\phi & \searrow 0 & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & M & \xrightarrow{\psi} & N \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & 0 & & & &
 \end{array}$$

where, ψ and ϕ are n -FCP-gr-projective cover with the unique mapping property. Since $\psi\alpha\phi = 0 = \psi$, we have $\alpha\phi = 0$ by (7). Therefore, $K = \text{im}(\phi) \subseteq \ker(\alpha) = 0$ and so $K = 0$. Thus $N \cong M$ and hence every graded right module N is n -FCP-gr-projective.

(1) \implies (8) Let M be an n -FCP-gr-projective right R -module. Then, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{gr-}R$, where P and K are n -FCP-gr-projective by (1). So by Theorem 4.4, it follows that R is right n -gr-cocoherent. Also by hypothesis, N is n -FCP-gr-projective for any $N \in \text{gr-}\mathcal{FCP}_n^\perp$.

(8) \implies (3) Consider the special short exact sequence $\Delta' : 0 \rightarrow K^{n-1} \rightarrow E^{n-1} \rightarrow K^n \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{gr-}R$ with respect to any n -copresented graded right module U , where K^{n-1} is gr-copresented and K^n is n -gr-cogenerated. But K_n is gr-copresented, too, since R is n -gr-cocoherent. Thus $K^n \in \text{gr-}\mathcal{FCP}_n^\perp$ and consequently $0 = \text{EXT}_R^n(K^n, U) \cong \text{EXT}_R^1(K^n, K^{n-1})$, since K_n is n -FCP-gr-projective by (8). Therefore Δ' is split and we deduce that K^{n-1} is gr-injective.

(4) \implies (8) By Theorem 4.6, R is right n -gr-cocoherent. Let $N \in \text{gr-}\mathcal{FCP}_n^\perp$. If $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ is an n -FCP-gr-projective cover with the unique mapping property, then $\ker\phi \in \text{gr-}\mathcal{FCP}_n^\perp$. Thus, similar to the proof of (7) \implies (1), we get that N is n -FCP-gr-projective.

(8) \implies (4) By Theorem 4.6, $(gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n, gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n^\perp)$ is hereditary cotorsion theory. Also $R \in gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n$ and by Corollary 3.11 and (8) \implies (3) \implies (1), $gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n$ is closed under direct sum and extensions. Therefore, we deduce that $(gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n, gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n^\perp)$ is a perfect hereditary cotorsion theory. If N is n -FCP-gr-projective for any $N \in gr\text{-}\mathcal{FCP}_n^\perp$, then it is clear that N has an n -FCP-gr-projective cover with the unique mapping property. \square

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank the referee for the helpful suggestions and valuable comments.

References

- [1] M. Amini, *Gorenstein $\pi[T]$ -projectivity with respect to a tilting module*, Int. Electron. J. Algebra, 27 (2020), 114-126.
- [2] M. Amini and F. Hassani, *Copresented dimension of modules*, Iran. J. Math. Sci. Inform., 14(2) (2019), 139-151.
- [3] M. J. Asensio, J. A. López Ramos and B. Torrecillas, *Covers and envelopes over gr-Gorenstein rings*, J. Algebra, 215 (1999), 437-459.
- [4] M. J. Asensio, J. A. López Ramos and B. Torrecillas, *FP-gr-injective modules and gr-FC-ring*, Algebra and number theory (Fez), 1–11, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 208, Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [5] D. Bennis, H. Bouzraa and A. Kaed, *On n -copresented modules and n -cocoherent rings*, Int. Electron. J. Algebra, 12 (2012), 162-174.
- [6] J. Chen and N. Ding, *On n -coherent rings*, Comm. Algebra, 24(10) (1996), 3211-3216.
- [7] D. L. Costa, *Parameterizing families of non-Noetherian rings*, Comm. Algebra, 22(10) (1994), 3997-4011.
- [8] D. E. Dobbs, S. Kabbaj and N. Mahdou, *n -coherent rings and modules*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 185 (1997), 269-281.
- [9] J. R. García Rozas, J. A. López- Ramos and B. Torrecillas, *On the existence of flat covers in R -gr*, Comm. Algebra, 29(8) (2001), 3341-3349.
- [10] R. Hazrat, *Graded Rings and Graded Grothendieck Groups*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 2016.
- [11] J. P. Jans, *On co-Noetherian rings*, J. London Math. Soc., (2)1 (1969), 588-590.
- [12] M. Kleiner and I. Reiten, *Abelian categories, almost split sequences, and comodules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 357(8) (2005), 3201-3214.
- [13] L. Mao, *Ding-graded modules and gorenstein gr-flat modules*, Glasg. Math. J., 60(2) (2018), 339-360.

- [14] C. Nastasescu, *Some constructions over graded rings*, J. Algebra, 120(1) (1989), 119-138.
- [15] C. Nastasescu and F. Van Oystaeyen, *Graded Ring Theory*, North-Holland Mathematical Library 28, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1982.
- [16] C. Nastasescu and F. Van Oystaeyen, *Methods of Graded Rings*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1836, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [17] J. Rotman, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Second edition, Universitext, Springer, New York, 2009.
- [18] X. Yang and Z. Liu, *FP-gr-injective modules*, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 53 (2011), 83-100.
- [19] J. Zhan and Z. Tan, *Finitely copresented and cogenerated dimensions*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 35(6) (2004), 771-781.
- [20] T. Zhao, Z. Gao and Z. Huang, *Relative FP-gr-injective and gr-flat modules*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput., 28(6) (2018), 959-977.
- [21] Z. Zhu, *n-cocoherent rings, n-cosemihereditary rings and n-V-rings*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc., 40(4) (2014), 809-822.
- [22] R. Wisbauer, *Foundations of Module and Ring Theory*, Revised and translated from the 1988 German edition. Algebra, Logic and Applications, 3, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Philadelphia, PA, 1991.
- [23] W. Xue, *On almost excellent extensions*, Algebra Colloq., 3(2) (1996), 125-134.
- [24] W. Xue, *On n-presented modules and almost excellent extensions*, Comm. Algebra, 27(3) (1999), 1091-1102.

Mostafa Amini (Corresponding Author)

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science

Payame Noor University

Tehran, Iran

e-mail: amini.pnu1356@gmail.com

Driss Bennis and Soumia Mamdouhi

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science

Mohammed V University in Rabat

Rabat, Morocco

e-mails: driss.bennis@um5.ac.ma; driss_bennis@hotmail.com (D. Bennis)

soumiamamdouhi@yahoo.fr (S. Mamdouhi)