

## SOME CHARACTERIZATIONS OF HOM-LEIBNIZ ALGEBRAS

A. Nourou Issa

Received: 14 September 2011; Revised: 31 January 2013

Communicated by Sergei Silvestrov

**ABSTRACT.** Some basic properties of Hom-Leibniz algebras are found. These properties are the Hom-analogue of corresponding well-known properties of Leibniz algebras. Considering the Hom-Akivis algebra associated to a given Hom-Leibniz algebra, it is observed that the Hom-Akivis identity leads to an additional property of Hom-Leibniz algebras, which in turn gives a necessary and sufficient condition for Hom-Lie admissibility of Hom-Leibniz algebras. A necessary and sufficient condition for Hom-power associativity of Hom-Leibniz algebras is also found.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):**17A30, 17A20, 17A32, 17D99

**Keywords:** Hom-Akivis algebra, Hom-Leibniz algebra, Hom-power associativity

### 1. Introduction

The theory of Hom-algebras originated from the introduction of the notion of a Hom-Lie algebra by J.T. Hartwig, D. Larsson and S.D. Silvestrov [6] in the study of algebraic structures describing some  $q$ -deformations of the Witt and the Virasoro algebras. A Hom-Lie algebra is characterized by a Jacobi-like identity (called the Hom-Jacobi identity) which is seen as the Jacobi identity twisted by an endomorphism of a given algebra. Thus, the class of Hom-Lie algebras contains the one of Lie algebras.

Generalizing the well-known construction of Lie algebras from associative algebras, the notion of a Hom-associative algebra is introduced by A. Makhlouf and S.D. Silvestrov [13] (in fact the commutator algebra of a Hom-associative algebra is a Hom-Lie algebra). The other class of Hom-algebras closely related to Hom-Lie algebras is the one of Hom-Leibniz algebras [13] (see also [9]) which are the Hom-analogue of Leibniz algebras [10]. Extending the Loday's construction ([10]) of Leibniz algebras from dialgebras, D. Yau [14] introduced Hom-dialgebras and proved that every Hom-dialgebra gives rise to a Hom-Leibniz algebra. Roughly, a Hom-type generalization of a given type of algebras is defined by a twisting of the defining identities with a linear self-map of the given algebra. For various Hom-type algebras one may refer, e.g., to [3,8,11,12,16,17]. In [15] D. Yau showed a way of

constructing Hom-type algebras starting from their corresponding untwisted algebras and a self-map.

In [10] (see also [4,5]) the basic properties of Leibniz algebras are given. The main purpose of this note is to point out that the Hom-analogue of some of these properties holds in Hom-Leibniz algebras (section 3). Considering the Hom-Akivis algebra associated to a given Hom-Leibniz algebra, we observe that the property in Proposition 3.3 is the expression of the Hom-Akivis identity. As a consequence we found a necessary and sufficient condition for the Hom-Lie admissibility of Hom-Leibniz algebras (Corollary 3.5). Generalizing power-associativity of rings and algebras [2], the notion of the (right)  $n$ th Hom-power  $x^n$  of an element  $x$  in a Hom-algebra is introduced by D. Yau [18], as well as Hom-power associativity of Hom-algebras. We found that  $x^n = 0$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , for any  $x$  in a left Hom-Leibniz algebra  $(L, \cdot, \alpha)$  and that  $(L, \cdot, \alpha)$  is Hom-power associative if and only if  $\alpha(x)x^2 = 0$ , for all  $x$  in  $L$  (Theorem 3.8). Then we deduce, as a particular case, corresponding characterizations of left Leibniz algebras (Corollary 3.9). Apart of the (right)  $n$ th Hom-power of an element of a Hom-algebra [18], we consider in this note the left  $n$ th Hom-power of the given element. This allows to prove the Hom-analogue (see Theorem 3.11) of a result of D.W. Barnes ([5], Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3) characterizing left Leibniz algebras. In section 2 we recall some basic notions on Hom-algebras. Modules, algebras, and linearity are meant over a ground field  $\mathbb{K}$  of characteristic 0.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some basic notions related to Hom-algebras. These notions are introduced in [6,8,11,13,15].

**Definition 2.1.** A *Hom-algebra* is a triple  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$  in which  $A$  is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space, “ $\cdot$ ” a binary operation on  $A$  and  $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$  is a linear map (the twisting map) such that  $\alpha(x \cdot y) = \alpha(x) \cdot \alpha(y)$  (multiplicativity), for all  $x, y$  in  $A$ .

**Remark 2.2.** A more general notion of a *Hom-algebra* is given (see, e.g., [11], [13]) without the assumption of multiplicativity and  $A$  is considered just as a  $\mathbb{K}$ -module. For convenience, here we assume that a *Hom-algebra*  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$  is always multiplicative and that  $A$  is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$  be a Hom-algebra.

(i) The *Hom-associator* of  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$  is the trilinear map  $as : A \times A \times A \rightarrow A$  defined by  $as(x, y, z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot \alpha(z) - \alpha(x) \cdot (y \cdot z)$ , for all  $x, y, z$  in  $A$ .

(ii)  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$  is said to be *Hom-associative* if  $as(x, y, z) = 0$  (Hom-associativity), for all  $x, y, z$  in  $A$ .

**Remark 2.4.** If  $\alpha = Id$  (the identity map) in  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$ , then its Hom-associator is just the usual associator of the algebra  $(A, \cdot)$ . In Definition 2.1, the Hom-associativity is not assumed, i.e.  $as(x, y, z) \neq 0$  in general. In this case  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$  is said non-Hom-associative [8] (or Hom-nonassociative [15]; in [12],  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$  is also called a nonassociative Hom-algebra). This matches the generalization of associative algebras by the nonassociative ones.

**Definition 2.5.** (i) A (left) Hom-Leibniz algebra is a Hom-algebra  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$  such that the identity

$$\alpha(x) \cdot (y \cdot z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot \alpha(z) + \alpha(y) \cdot (x \cdot z) \quad (2.1)$$

holds for all  $x, y, z$  in  $A$ .

(ii) A Hom-Lie algebra is a Hom-algebra  $(A, [-, -], \alpha)$  such that the binary operation “ $[-, -]$ ” is skew-symmetric and the Hom-Jacobi identity

$$J_\alpha(x, y, z) = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

holds for all  $x, y, z$  in  $A$ , and  $J_\alpha(x, y, z) := [[x, y], \alpha(z)] + [[y, z], \alpha(x)] + [[z, x], \alpha(y)]$  is called the Hom-Jacobian.

**Remark 2.6.** The original definition of a Hom-Leibniz algebra [13] is related to the identity

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot \alpha(z) = (x \cdot z) \cdot \alpha(y) + \alpha(x) \cdot (y \cdot z) \quad (2.3)$$

which is expressed in terms of (right) adjoint homomorphisms  $Ad_y x := x \cdot y$  of  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$ . This justifies the term of “(right) Hom-Leibniz algebra” that could be used for the Hom-Leibniz algebra defined in [13]. The dual of (2.3) is (2.1) and in this note we consider only left Hom-Leibniz algebras. For  $\alpha = Id$  in  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$  (resp.  $(A, [-, -], \alpha)$ ), any Hom-Leibniz algebra (resp. Hom-Lie algebra) is a Leibniz algebra  $(A, \cdot)$  [4], [10] (resp. a Lie algebra  $(A, [-, -])$ ). As for Leibniz algebras, if the operation “ $\cdot$ ” of a given Hom-Leibniz algebra  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$  is skew-symmetric, then  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$  is a Hom-Lie algebra (see [13]).

In terms of Hom-associators, the identity (2.1) is written as

$$as(x, y, z) = -\alpha(y) \cdot (x \cdot z) \quad (2.4)$$

Therefore, from Definition 2.3 and Remark 2.4, we see that Hom-Leibniz algebras are examples of non-Hom-associative algebras.

**Definition 2.7.** [8] A Hom-Akivis algebra is a quadruple  $(A, [-, -], [-, -, -], \alpha)$  in which  $A$  is a vector space, “ $[-, -]$ ” a skew-symmetric binary operation on  $A$ , “ $[-, -, -]$ ” a ternary operation on  $A$  and  $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$  a linear map such that the Hom-Akivis identity

$$J_\alpha(x, y, z) = \circlearrowleft_{(x, y, z)} [x, y, z] - \circlearrowright_{(x, y, z)} [y, x, z] \quad (2.5)$$

holds for all  $x, y, z$  in  $A$ , where  $\circlearrowleft_{(x, y, z)}$  denotes the sum over cyclic permutation of  $x, y, z$ .

Note that when  $\alpha = Id$  in a Hom-Akivis algebra  $(A, [-, -], [-, -, -], \alpha)$ , then one gets an **Akivis algebra**  $(A, [-, -], [-, -, -])$ . Akivis algebras were introduced in [1] (see also references therein), where they were called  $W$ -algebras. The term “Akivis algebra” for these objects is introduced in [7].

In [8], it is observed that to each non-Hom-associative algebra is associated a Hom-Akivis algebra (this is the Hom-analogue of a similar relationship between nonassociative algebras and Akivis algebras [1]). In this note, we use the specific properties of the Hom-Akivis algebra associated to a given Hom-Leibniz algebra to derive a property characterizing Hom-Leibniz algebras.

### 3. Characterizations

In this section, Hom-versions of some well-known properties of left Leibniz algebras are displayed. Considering the specific properties of the binary and ternary operations of the Hom-Akivis algebra associated to a given Hom-Leibniz algebra, we infer a characteristic property of Hom-Leibniz algebras (Proposition 3.3). This property in turn allows to give a necessary and sufficient condition for the Hom-Lie admissibility of these Hom-algebras (Corollary 3.5). The Hom-power associativity of Hom-Leibniz algebras is considered.

Let  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$  be a Hom-Leibniz algebra and consider on  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$  the operations

$$[x, y] := x \cdot y - y \cdot x \quad (3.1)$$

$$[x, y, z] := as(x, y, z) \quad (3.2)$$

Then the operations (3.1) and (3.2) define on  $A$  a Hom-Akivis structure ([8]). We have the following proposition:

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$  be a Hom-Leibniz algebra. Then*

- (i)  $(x \cdot y + y \cdot x) \cdot \alpha(z) = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\alpha(x) \cdot [y, z] = [x \cdot y, \alpha(z)] + [\alpha(y), x \cdot z]$ , for all  $x, y, z$  in  $A$ .

**Proof.** The identity (2.1) implies that  $(x \cdot y) \cdot \alpha(z) = \alpha(x) \cdot (y \cdot z) - \alpha(y) \cdot (x \cdot z)$ . Likewise, interchanging  $x$  and  $y$ , we have  $(y \cdot x) \cdot \alpha(z) = \alpha(y) \cdot (x \cdot z) - \alpha(x) \cdot (y \cdot z)$ . Then, adding memberwise these equalities above, we come to the property (i). Next we have

$$\begin{aligned} [x \cdot y, \alpha(z)] + [\alpha(y), x \cdot z] &= (x \cdot y) \cdot \alpha(z) - \alpha(z) \cdot (x \cdot y) \\ &+ \alpha(y) \cdot (x \cdot z) - (x \cdot z) \cdot \alpha(y) \\ &= \alpha(x) \cdot (y \cdot z) - \alpha(z) \cdot (x \cdot y) - (x \cdot z) \cdot \alpha(y) \text{ (by (2.1))} \\ &= \alpha(x) \cdot (y \cdot z) - (z \cdot x) \cdot \alpha(y) - \alpha(x) \cdot (z \cdot y) \\ &- (x \cdot z) \cdot \alpha(y) \text{ (by (2.1))} \\ &= \alpha(x) \cdot (y \cdot z) - \alpha(x) \cdot (z \cdot y) \text{ (by (i))} \\ &= \alpha(x) \cdot [y, z] \end{aligned}$$

and so we get (ii).  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** If set  $\alpha = Id$  in Proposition 3.1, then one recovers the well-known properties of Leibniz algebras:  $(x \cdot y + y \cdot x) \cdot z = 0$  and  $x \cdot [y, z] = [x \cdot y, z] + [y, x \cdot z]$  (see [4], [10]).

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$  be a Hom-Leibniz algebra. Then

$$J_\alpha(x, y, z) = \circlearrowleft_{(x,y,z)}(x \cdot y) \cdot \alpha(z), \quad (3.3)$$

for all  $x, y, z$  in  $A$ .

**Proof.** Considering (2.5) and then applying (3.2) and (2.4), we get

$$J_\alpha(x, y, z) = \circlearrowleft_{(x,y,z)}[-\alpha(y) \cdot (x \cdot z)] - \circlearrowleft_{(x,y,z)}[-\alpha(x) \cdot (y \cdot z)] = \circlearrowleft_{(x,y,z)}[\alpha(x) \cdot (y \cdot z) - \alpha(y) \cdot (x \cdot z)] = \circlearrowleft_{(x,y,z)}(x \cdot y) \cdot \alpha(z) \text{ (by (2.1)).} \quad \square$$

One observes that (3.3) is the specific form of the Hom-Akivis identity (2.5) in case of Hom-Leibniz algebras.

**Definition 3.4.** [13] A Hom-algebra  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$  is said to be *Hom-Lie admissible* if  $(A, [-, -], \alpha)$  is a Hom-Lie algebra, where  $[x, y] := x \cdot y - y \cdot x$  for all  $x, y$  in  $A$ .

The skew-symmetry of the operation “ $\cdot$ ” of a Hom-Leibniz algebra  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$  is a condition for  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$  to be a Hom-Lie algebra [13]. From Proposition 3.3 one gets the following necessary and sufficient condition for the Hom-Lie admissibility [13] of a given Hom-Leibniz algebra.

**Corollary 3.5.** A Hom-Leibniz algebra  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$  is Hom-Lie admissible if and only if  $\circlearrowleft_{(x,y,z)}(x \cdot y) \cdot \alpha(z) = 0$ , for all  $x, y, z$  in  $A$ .

In [18] D. Yau introduced Hom-power associative algebras which are seen as a generalization of power-associative algebras. It is shown that some important properties of power-associative algebras are reported to Hom-power associative algebras.

Let  $A$  be a Hom-Leibniz algebra with a twisting linear self-map  $\alpha$  and the binary operation on  $A$  denoted by juxtaposition. We recall the following definition.

**Definition 3.6.** [18] Let  $x \in A$  and denote by  $\alpha^m$  the  $m$ -fold composition of  $m$  copies of  $\alpha$  with  $\alpha^0 := Id$ .

(1) The  $n$ th Hom-power  $x^n \in A$  of  $x$  is inductively defined by

$$x^1 = x, \quad x^n = x^{n-1} \alpha^{n-2}(x) \quad (3.4)$$

for  $n \geq 2$ .

(2) The Hom-algebra  $A$  is  $n$ th Hom-power associative if

$$x^n = \alpha^{n-i-1}(x^i) \alpha^{i-1}(x^{n-i}) \quad (3.5)$$

for all  $x \in A$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ .

(3) The Hom-algebra  $A$  is up to  $n$ th Hom-power associative if  $A$  is  $k$ th Hom-power associative for all  $k \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ .

(4) The Hom-algebra  $A$  is *Hom-power associative* if  $A$  is  $n$ th Hom-power associative for all  $n \geq 2$ .

The following result provides a characterization of third Hom-power associativity of Hom-Leibniz algebras.

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$  be a Hom-Leibniz algebra. Then*

- (i)  $x^3 = 0$ , for all  $x \in A$ ;
- (ii)  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$  is third Hom-power associative if and only if  $\alpha(x)x^2 = 0$ , for all  $x \in A$ .

**Proof.** From (3.4) we have  $x^3 := x^2\alpha(x)$ . Therefore, the assertion (i) follows from Proposition 3.1(i) if set  $y = x = z$ . Next, from (3.5) we note that the  $i = 2$  case of  $n$ th Hom-power associativity is automatically satisfied since this case is  $x^3 = \alpha^0(x^2)\alpha^1(x^1) = x^2\alpha(x)$ , which holds by definition. The  $i = 2$  case says that  $x^3 = \alpha^1(x)\alpha^0(x^2) = \alpha(x)x^2$ . Therefore, since  $x^2\alpha(x) = 0$  naturally holds by Proposition 3.1 (i), we conclude that the third Hom-power associativity of  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$  holds if and only if  $\alpha(x)x^2 = 0$  for all  $x \in A$ , which proves the assertion (ii).  $\square$

The following result shows that the condition in Lemma 3.7 is also necessary and sufficient for the Hom-power associativity of  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$ . To prove this, we rely on the main result of [18] (see Corollary 5.2).

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$  be a Hom-Leibniz algebra. Then*

- (i)  $x^n = 0$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , for all  $x \in A$ ;
- (ii)  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$  is Hom-power associative if and only if  $\alpha(x)x^2 = 0$ , for all  $x \in A$ .

**Proof.** The proof of (i) is by induction on  $n$ : the first step  $n = 3$  holds by Lemma 3.7(i); now if suppose that  $x^n = 0$ , then  $x^{n+1} := x^{(n+1)-1}\alpha^{(n+1)-2}(x) = x^n\alpha^{n-1}(x) = 0$  so we get (i).

Corollary 5.2 of [18] says that, for a multiplicative Hom-algebra, the Hom-power associativity is equivalent to both of the conditions

$$x^2\alpha(x) = \alpha(x)x^2 \text{ and } x^4 = \alpha(x^2)\alpha(x^2). \quad (3.6)$$

In the situation of multiplicative left Hom-Leibniz algebras, the first equality of (3.6) is satisfied by Lemma 3.7(i) and the hypothesis  $\alpha(x)x^2 = 0$ . We have the following from (3.5):

$$\text{Case } i = 1: x^4 := \alpha^{4-2}(x)\alpha^0(x^3) = \alpha^2(x)x^3,$$

$$\text{Case } i = 2: x^4 := \alpha(x^2)\alpha(x^2),$$

$$\text{Case } i = 3: x^4 := \alpha^0(x^3)\alpha^2(x) = x^3\alpha^2(x).$$

Because of the assertion (i) above, only the case  $i = 2$  is of interest here. From one side we have  $x^4 = 0$  (by (i)) and, from the other side we have  $\alpha(x^2)\alpha(x^2) =$

$[\alpha(x)]^2\alpha(x^2) = 0$  (by multiplicativity and Proposition 3.1(i)). Therefore, Corollary 5.2 of [18] now applies and we conclude that (3.6) holds (i.e.  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$  is Hom-power associative) if and only if  $\alpha(x)x^2 = 0$ , which proves (ii).  $\square$

Let  $A$  be an algebra (over a field of characteristic 0). For an element  $x \in A$ , the **right powers** are defined by

$$x^1 = x, \text{ and } x^{n+1} = x^n x \quad (3.7)$$

for  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $A$  is power-associative if and only if

$$x^n = x^{n-i} x^i \quad (3.8)$$

for all  $x \in A$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ . By a theorem of Albert [2],  $A$  is power-associative if only if it is third and fourth power-associative, which in turn is equivalent to

$$x^2 x = x x^2 \text{ and } x^4 = x^2 x^2. \quad (3.9)$$

for all  $x \in A$ .

Some consequences of the results above are the following simple characterizations of (left) Leibniz algebras.

**Corollary 3.9.** *Let  $(A, \cdot)$  be a left Leibniz algebra. Then*

- (i)  $x^n = 0$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , for all  $x \in A$ ;
- (ii)  $(A, \cdot)$  is power-associative if and only if  $xx^2 = 0$ , for all  $x \in A$ .

**Proof.** The part (i) of this corollary follows from (3.7) and Theorem 3.8(i) when  $\alpha = Id$  (we used here the well-known property  $(xy + yx)z = 0$  of left Leibniz algebras). The assertion (ii) is a special case of Theorem 3.8(ii) (when  $\alpha = Id$ ), if keep in mind the assertion (i), (3.8), and (3.9).  $\square$

**Remark 3.10.** *Although the condition  $xx^2 = 0$  does not always hold in a left Leibniz algebra  $(A, \cdot)$ , we do have  $xx^2 \cdot z = 0$  for all  $x, z \in A$  (again, this follows from the property  $(xy + yx)z = 0$ ). In fact,  $b \cdot z = 0$ ,  $z \in A$ , where  $b \neq 0$  is a left  $m$ th power of  $x$  ( $m \geq 2$ ), i.e.  $b = x(x(\dots(xx)\dots))$  ([5], Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3).*

Let us call the  $n$ th right Hom-power of  $x \in A$  the power defined by (3.4), where  $A$  is a Hom-algebra. Then one may consider the  $n$ th left Hom-power of  $a \in A$  defined by

$$a^1 = a, \quad a^n = \alpha^{n-2}(a)a^{n-1} \quad (3.10)$$

for  $n \geq 2$ . In this setting of left Hom-powers, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.11.** *Let  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$  be a Hom-Leibniz algebra and let  $a \in A$ . Then  $L_{a^n} \circ \alpha = 0$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , where  $L_z$  denotes the left multiplication by  $z$  in  $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$ , i.e.  $L_z x = z \cdot x$ ,  $x \in L$ .*

**Proof.** We proceed by induction on  $n$  and the repeated use of Proposition 3.1(i). From Proposition 3.1(i), we get  $a^2\alpha(z) = 0$ ,  $\forall a, z \in A$  and thus the first step  $n = 2$

is verified. Now assume that, up to the degree  $n$ , we have  $a^n\alpha(z) = 0$ ,  $\forall a, z \in A$ . Then Proposition 3.1(i) implies that  $(a^n\alpha^{n-1}(a) + \alpha^{n-1}(a)a^n)\alpha(z) = 0$ , i.e.  $(a^n\alpha(\alpha^{n-2}(a)) + \alpha^{n-1}(a)a^n)\alpha(z) = 0$ . The application of the induction hypothesis to  $a^n\alpha(\alpha^{n-2}(a))$  leads to  $(\alpha^{n-1}(a)a^n)\alpha(z) = 0$ , i.e.  $(\alpha^{(n+1)-2}(a)a^{(n+1)-1})\alpha(z) = 0$  which means (by (3.10)) that  $a^{n+1}\alpha(z) = 0$ . Therefore, we conclude that  $a^n\alpha(z) = 0$ ,  $\forall n \geq 2$ , i.e.  $L_{a^n} \circ \alpha = 0$ ,  $n \geq 2$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.12.** *We observe that Theorem 3.11 above is an  $\alpha$ -twisted version of a result of D.W. Barnes ([5], Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3), related to left Leibniz algebras. Indeed, setting  $\alpha = Id$  in Theorem 3.11 we get the result of Barnes.*

### References

- [1] M.A. Akivis, *Local algebras of a multidimensional three-web*, Siberian Math. J., 17 (1976), 3-8.
- [2] A.A. Albert, *On the power-associativity of rings*, Summa Brasil. Math., 2 (1948), 21-32.
- [3] H. Ataguema, A. Makhlouf, and S.D. Silvestrov, *Generalization of  $n$ -ary Nambu algebras and beyond*, J. Math. Phys., 50(8) (2009), 083501.
- [4] Sh.A. Ayupov and B.A. Omirov, *On Leibniz algebras*, in: Algebras and Operator Theory, Proceedings of the Colloquium in Tashkent, Kluwer (1998), 1-13.
- [5] D.W. Barnes, *Engel subalgebras of Leibniz algebras*, arXiv:0810.2849v1.
- [6] J.T. Hartwig, D. Larsson and S.D. Silvestrov, *Deformations of Lie algebras using  $\sigma$ -derivations*, J. Algebra, 295 (2006), 314-361.
- [7] K.H. Hofmann and K. Strambach, *Lie's fundamental theorems for local analytical loops*, Pacific J. Math., 123 (1986), 301-327.
- [8] A.N. Issa, *Hom-Akivis algebras*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, 52(4) (2011), 485-500.
- [9] D. Larsson and S.D. Silvestrov, *Quasi-Lie algebras*, Contemp. Math., 391 (2005), 241-248.
- [10] J.-L. Loday, *Une version non commutative des algèbres de Lie: les algèbres de Leibniz*, Enseign. Math., 39 (1993), 269-293.
- [11] A. Makhlouf, *Hom-alternative algebras and Hom-Jordan algebras*, Int. Electron. J. Algebra, 8 (2010), 177-190.
- [12] A. Makhlouf, *Paradigm of nonassociative Hom-algebras and Hom-superalgebras*, Proceedings Jordan Structures in Algebra and Analysis Meeting, eds: J. Carmona Tapia, A. Morales Campoy, A. M. Peralta Pereira, M. I. Ramirez Ivarez. Publishing House: Circulo Rojo (2010), 145-177.
- [13] A. Makhlouf and S.D. Silvestrov, *Hom-algebra structures*, J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl., 2 (2008), 51-64.



- [14] D. Yau, *Enveloping algebras of Hom-Lie algebras*, J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl., 2 (2008), 95-108.
- [15] D. Yau, *Hom-algebras and homology*, J. Lie Theory, 19 (2009), 409-421.
- [16] D. Yau, *Hom-Novikov algebras*, J. Phys. A, 44 (2011), 085202.
- [17] D. Yau, *Hom-Maltsev, Hom-alternative and Hom-Jordan algebras*, Int. Electron. J. Algebra, 11 (2012), 177-217.
- [18] D. Yau, *Hom-power associative algebras*, arXiv:1007.4118v1.

**A. Nourou Issa**

Département de Mathématiques

Université d'Abomey-Calavi

01 BP 4521 Cotonou 01, Benin

e-mail: woraniss@yahoo.fr