

## STRONGLY $P$ -CLEAN RINGS AND MATRICES

Huanyin Chen, Handan Köse and Yosum Kurtulmaz

Received: 8 June 2013; Revised: 14 September 2013

Communicated by Sait Halicioğlu

*Dedicated to the memory of Professor Efraim P. Armendariz*

**ABSTRACT.** An element of a ring  $R$  is strongly  $P$ -clean provided that it can be written as the sum of an idempotent and a strongly nilpotent element that commute. A ring  $R$  is strongly  $P$ -clean in case each of its elements is strongly  $P$ -clean. We investigate, in this article, the necessary and sufficient conditions under which a ring  $R$  is strongly  $P$ -clean. Many characterizations of such rings are obtained. The criteria on strong  $P$ -cleanness of  $2 \times 2$  matrices over commutative projective-free rings are also determined.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 16S50, 16U99.

**Keywords:** Strongly  $P$ -clean ring,  $n \times n$  matrix, projective-free ring, uniquely nil-clean ring, Boolean ring

### 1. Introduction

An element  $a \in R$  is *strongly clean* provided that there exist an idempotent  $e \in R$  and an element  $u \in U(R)$  such that  $a = e + u$  and  $eu = ue$ , where  $U(R)$  is the set of all units in  $R$ . A ring  $R$  is strongly clean in case every element in  $R$  is strongly clean. Recently, strong cleanness has been extensively studied in the literature (cf. [1-5],[8],[10],[12],[13]). As is well known by [9] that, every  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $A$  over a field satisfies the conditions:  $A = E + W$ ,  $E$  is similar to a diagonal matrix,  $W \in M_2(R)$  is nilpotent and  $E$  and  $W$  commute. Such a decomposition over a field is called the Jordan-Chevalley decomposition in Lie algebra theory. This motivates us to investigate certain strong cleanness related to nilpotent property. Following Diesl [7], a ring  $R$  is *strongly nil clean* provided that for any  $a \in R$  there exists an idempotent  $e \in R$  such that  $a - e \in R$  is nilpotent and  $ae = ea$ . If such idempotent is unique, we say  $R$  is uniquely nil clean. In [4], the author develop the theory for strongly nil clean matrices. The main purpose of this article is to introduce a subclass of strongly nil cleanness but behaving better than those ones.

---

This research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (LY13A010019) and the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (2221 Visiting Scientists Fellowship Programme).

An element  $a$  of a ring  $R$  is *strongly nilpotent* if every sequence  $a = a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots$  such that  $a_{i+1} \in a_i R a_i$  is ultimately zero. Obviously, every strongly nilpotent element is nilpotent. The prime radical  $P(R)$  of a ring  $R$ , i.e. the intersection of all prime ideals, consists of precisely the strongly nilpotent elements. Replacing nilpotent elements by strongly nilpotent elements, we shall investigate strong  $P$ -cleanness over a ring  $R$ . An element of a ring  $R$  is called *strongly  $P$ -clean* provided that it can be written as the sum of an idempotent and an element in  $P(R)$  that commute. A ring  $R$  is *strongly  $P$ -clean* in case each of its elements is strongly  $P$ -clean. In Section 2, we give several necessary and sufficient conditions under which a ring  $R$  is strongly  $P$ -clean. Many characterizations of such rings are obtained. A ring  $R$  is said to be local if  $R$  has only one maximal right ideal. In Section 3, the strong  $P$ -cleanness of triangular matrix ring over a local ring is determined. Finally, we characterize strongly  $P$ -clean matrix over commutative local rings by means of the solvability of quadratic equations.

Throughout, all rings are associative rings with identity. As usual,  $M_n(R)$  denotes the ring of all  $n \times n$  matrices over a ring  $R$  and  $GL_2(R)$  denotes the 2-dimensional general linear group of a ring  $R$ . An ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$  is locally nilpotent provided that for any  $x \in I$ ,  $RxR$  is nilpotent. Let  $a \in R$ . Then  $\text{ann}_\ell(a) = \{r \in R \mid ra = 0\}$  and  $\text{ann}_r(a) = \{r \in R \mid ar = 0\}$ .  $J(R)$  and  $P(R)$  stand for the Jacobson radical and prime radical of  $R$ , respectively.

## 2. Strongly $P$ -Clean Rings

Recall that a ring  $R$  is *Boolean* provided that every element in  $R$  is an idempotent. Obviously, all Boolean rings are commutative. Let  $R$  be a ring. Then  $P(R) = \{x \in R \mid RxR \text{ is nilpotent}\}$ . We begin with the connection between strong  $P$ -cleanness and strong cleanness.

**Theorem 2.1.** *A ring  $R$  is strongly  $P$ -clean if and only if*

- (1)  $R$  is strongly clean.
- (2)  $R/J(R)$  is Boolean.
- (3)  $J(R)$  is locally nilpotent.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $R$  is strongly  $P$ -clean. Let  $x \in R$ . Then there exist an idempotent  $e \in R$  and a  $w \in P(R)$  such that  $x = e + w$  and  $ew = we$ . Thus,  $x = (1 - e) + ((2e - 1) + w)$ . Since  $w \in P(R) \subseteq J(R)$  and  $2e - 1$  is invertible and  $ew = we$ ,  $(2e - 1) + w \in J(R)$ . Hence,  $x \in R$  is strongly clean. Thus,  $R$  is strongly clean. Clearly,  $P(R) \subseteq J(R)$ . This implies that  $R/J(R)$  is Boolean. Let  $x \in J(R)$ . Then there exist an idempotent  $e \in R$  and an element  $w \in P(R)$  such that  $x = e + w$ . Clearly,  $w \in J(R)$ , and so  $e = x - w \in J(R)$ . This implies that

$e = 0$ . Hence,  $x = w \in P(R)$ , i.e.,  $RxR$  is nilpotent. Therefore  $J(R)$  is locally nilpotent.

Conversely, assume that conditions (1), (2) and (3) hold. Let  $x \in R$ . Since  $R$  is strongly clean, we can find an idempotent  $e \in R$  and an invertible  $u \in R$  such that  $x = e + u$  and  $ex = xe$ . Thus,  $x = (1 - e) + (2e - 1 + u)$  and  $(1 - e)^2 = 1 - e$ . As  $R/J(R)$  is Boolean, we see that  $\bar{u}^2 = \bar{u}$ , and so  $u - 1 \in J(R)$ . As  $\bar{2}^2 = \bar{2} \in R/J(R)$ , we deduce that  $2 \in J(R)$ ; hence,  $2e - 1 + u \in J(R)$ . Since  $J(R)$  is locally nilpotent,  $R(2e - 1 + u)R$  is nilpotent; hence,  $2e - 1 + u \in P(R)$ , as required.  $\square$

Recall that a ring  $R$  is *strongly  $J$ -clean* provided that for any  $x \in R$ , there exists an idempotent  $e \in R$  such that  $x - e \in J(R)$  and  $xe = ex$  (cf.[5]). One easily checks that a ring  $R$  is strongly  $P$ -clean if and only if  $R$  is strongly  $J$ -clean and  $J(R)$  is locally nilpotent.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be a local ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  is strongly  $P$ -clean.
- (2)  $R/J(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $J(R)$  is locally nilpotent.

**Proof.** It is immediate from Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

The following example shows that strongly clean rings may be not strongly  $P$ -clean.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $R = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ . For each  $n$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$  is a local ring with the Jacobson radical  $2\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ . One easily checks that  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$  is strongly clean. Thus,  $R$  is strongly clean. Choose  $r = (0, 2, 2, 2, \dots)$ . It is easy to check that  $r \in R$  is not strongly  $P$ -clean. Therefore  $R$  is not a strongly  $P$ -clean ring.

Let  $\text{comm}(x) = \{r \in R \mid xr = rx\}$  and  $\text{comm}^2(x) = \{r \in R \mid ry = yr \text{ for all } y \in \text{comm}(x)\}$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  is strongly  $P$ -clean.
- (2)  $R/P(R)$  is Boolean.
- (3) For any  $x \in R$ , there exists an idempotent  $e \in R$  such that  $x - e \in P(R)$ .
- (4) For any  $x \in R$ , there exists an idempotent  $e \in \text{comm}^2(x)$  such that  $x - e \in P(R)$ .
- (5) For any  $x \in R$ , there exists a unique idempotent  $e \in R$  such that  $x - e \in P(R)$  and  $xe = ex$ .

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) is trivial.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is clear.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) By hypothesis,  $R/P(R)$  is Boolean. For any  $x \in R$ , then  $\bar{x} \in R/P(R)$  is an idempotent. Hence,  $x - x^2 \in P(R)$ , i.e.,  $x(1-x) \in P(R)$ . Write  $x^n(1-x)^n = 0$ . Let  $f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{2n}{i} t^{2n-i}(1-t)^i \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ . Then  $f(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{t^n}$ . It follows from

$$f(t) + \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} \binom{2n}{i} x^{2n-i}(1-t)^i = (t + (1-t))^n = 1$$

that  $f(t) \equiv 1 \pmod{(1-t)^n}$ . Thus,  $f(t)(1-f(t)) \equiv 0 \pmod{t^n(1-t)^n}$ . Let  $e = f(x)$ . We see that  $e(1-e) = 0$ ; hence,  $e \in R$  is an idempotent. For any  $y \in \text{comm}(x)$ , we have  $yx = xy$ , and then  $ye = yf(x) = f(x)y = ey$ . This implies that  $y \in \text{comm}^2(x)$ . Further,  $x - e \in P(R)$ .

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5) For any  $x \in R$ , there exists an idempotent  $e \in \text{comm}^2(x)$  such that  $x - e \in P(R)$ . As  $x \in \text{comm}(x)$ , we get  $ex = xe$ . If there is an idempotent  $f \in R$  such that  $x - f \in P(R)$  and  $xf = fx$ , then  $f \in \text{comm}(x)$ . This implies that  $ef = fe$ , and so  $e - f = (x - f) - (x - e) \in P(R)$ . But  $(e - f)^3 = e - f$ , and then  $(e - f)(1 - (e - f)^2) = 0$ . Therefore  $e = f$ , as desired.

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is trivial.  $\square$

Immediately, we see that every Boolean ring is strongly  $P$ -clean. As every Boolean ring has stable range one, it follows from Theorem 2.4 that every strongly  $P$ -clean ring has stable range one. As usual, we call  $R$  *periodic* if for each  $x \in R$ , there exist distinct positive integers  $m, n$  such that  $x^m = x^n$ .

**Corollary 2.5.** *A ring  $R$  is strongly  $P$ -clean if and only if*

- (1)  $R$  is periodic.
- (2) Every element in  $1 + U(R)$  is strongly nilpotent.

**Proof.** Suppose  $R$  is strongly  $P$ -clean. For any  $x \in R$ , it follows by Theorem 2.4 that  $x - x^2 \in P(R)$ . Thus,  $(x - x^2)^n = 0$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This shows that  $x^n = x^{n+1}f(x)$ , where  $f(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ . By using Herstein's Theorem,  $R$  is periodic. Let  $x \in 1 + U(R)$ . Write  $x = e + w$  with  $e = e^2, w \in P(R)$  and  $we = ew$ . Then  $1 - x = (1 - e) - w$ , and so  $1 - e = (1 - x) + w \in U(R)$ . It follows that  $e = 0$ , and therefore  $x = w \in P(R)$  is strongly nilpotent.

Conversely, assume that (1) and (2) hold. Since  $R$  is periodic, it is strongly  $\pi$ -regular. In view of [3, Proposition 13.1.8], there exist  $e = e^2 \in R, u \in U(R)$  and a nilpotent  $w \in R$  such that  $x = eu + w$ , where  $e, u, w$  commute. By hypothesis,  $1 - u \in P(R)$ , and then  $u \in 1 + P(R)$ . Moreover, we see that  $w = 1 - (1 - w) \in P(R)$ . Accordingly,  $x = e + (w - x(1 - u))$  with  $w - x(1 - u) \in P(R)$ . Therefore  $R$  is strongly  $P$ -clean.  $\square$

Let  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}[i] = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}, i^2 = -1\}$  ( $n \geq 2$ ). Then we claim that  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}[i]$  is strongly  $P$ -clean. One easily checks that  $P(\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}[i]) = (1 + i)$ . Further,  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}[i]/P(\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}[i]) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  is Boolean, and we are through by Theorem 2.4.

Let  $R = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z}_2 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}_2 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $P(R) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{Z}_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Hence,  $R/P(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ , and so  $R/P(R)$  is Boolean. Therefore  $R$  is strongly  $P$ -clean.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Every homomorphic image of strongly  $P$ -clean rings is strongly  $P$ -clean.*

**Proof.** Let  $I$  be an ideal of a strongly  $P$ -clean ring  $R$ . Let  $M$  be a prime ideal of  $R/I$ . Then  $M = P/I$ , where  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $R$ . Let  $\bar{x} \in R/I$ . In light of Theorem 2.4,  $x - x^2 \in P$ ; hence,  $\bar{x} - \bar{x}^2 \in M$ . This shows that  $\bar{x} - \bar{x}^2 \in P(R/I)$ . Thus  $R/I/P(R/I)$  is Boolean, and we therefore complete the proof by Theorem 2.4.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $I$  be a nilpotent ideal of a ring  $R$ . Then  $R$  is strongly  $P$ -clean if and only if  $R/I$  is strongly  $P$ -clean.*

**Proof.** If  $R$  is strongly  $P$ -clean, then so is  $R/I$  by Lemma 2.6. Write  $I^n = 0$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Suppose  $R/I$  is strongly  $P$ -clean. For any  $x \in R$ , it suffices to show that  $x - x^2 \in P(R)$  by Theorem 2.4. Given  $x - x^2 = a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_n + \cdots$  with each  $a_{i+1} \in a_i R a_i$ , we have  $\overline{x - x^2} = \overline{a_0} + \overline{a_1} + \cdots + \overline{a_n} + \cdots$  with each  $\overline{a_{i+1}} \in \overline{a_i}(R/I)\overline{a_i}$ . As  $R/I$  is strongly  $P$ -clean, it follows by Theorem 2.4 that  $\overline{a_m} = \overline{0}$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,  $a_m \in I$ . This shows that  $a_{n+m} \in \underbrace{(a_m R)(a_m R) \cdots (a_m R)}_n \subseteq I^n = 0$ .

Therefore  $x - x^2 \in P(R)$ , hence the result.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $I$  be an ideal of a ring  $R$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R/I$  is strongly  $P$ -clean.
- (2)  $R/I^n$  is strongly  $P$ -clean for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (3)  $R/I^n$  is strongly  $P$ -clean for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) It is easy to verify that

$$R/I \cong (R/I^n)/(I/I^n).$$

As  $(I/I^n)^n = 0$ , we see that  $R/I$  is strongly  $P$ -clean, by Lemma 2.7.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is trivial.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Clearly,

$$R/I \cong (R/I^n)/(I/I^n).$$

Therefore the proof is completed in terms of Lemma 2.6.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.9.** *Every finite subdirect product of strongly  $P$ -clean rings is strongly  $P$ -clean.*

**Proof.** Let  $R$  be the subdirect product of  $R_1, \dots, R_n$ , where each  $R_i$  is strongly  $P$ -clean. Then  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n R_i$  is strongly  $P$ -clean. Furthermore,  $R$  is a subring of  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n R_i$ . Let  $x \in R$ . Then  $x - x^2 \in P\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n R_i\right)$ . Given  $x - x^2 = a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m, \dots$  in  $R$  and each  $a_{i+1} \in a_i R a_i$ , we see that  $x - x^2 = a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m, \dots$  in  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n R_i$  and each  $a_{i+1} \in a_i \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n R_i\right) a_i$ . In view of Theorem 2.4,  $x - x^2 \in P\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n R_i\right)$ . Hence, we can find some  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a_s = 0$ . This implies that  $x - x^2 \in P(R)$ . That is,  $R/P(R)$  is Boolean. In light of Theorem 2.4,  $R$  is strongly  $P$ -clean, as required.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.10.** *Let  $I$  and  $J$  be ideals of a ring  $R$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R/I$  and  $R/J$  are strongly  $P$ -clean.
- (2)  $R/(IJ)$  is strongly  $P$ -clean.
- (3)  $R/(I \cap J)$  is strongly  $P$ -clean.

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Construct maps  $f : R/(I \cap J) \rightarrow R/I, x + (I \cap J) \mapsto x + I$  and  $g : R/(I \cap J) \rightarrow R/J, x + (I \cap J) \mapsto x + J$ . Then  $\ker(f) \cap \ker(g) = 0$ . Therefore  $R/(I \cap J)$  is the subdirect product of  $R/I$  and  $R/J$ . Thus,  $R/(I \cap J)$  is strongly  $P$ -clean, by Lemma 2.9.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Obviously,  $R/(I \cap J) \cong (R/IJ)/((I \cap J)/IJ)$ , and  $((I \cap J)/IJ)^2 = 0$ . In view of Lemma 2.7,  $R/(IJ)$  is strongly  $P$ -clean.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) As  $R/I \cong (R/IJ)/(I/IJ)$ , it follows from Lemma 2.6 that  $R/I$  is strongly  $P$ -clean. Likewise,  $R/J$  is strongly  $P$ -clean.  $\square$

We say that a ring  $R$  is *uniquely  $P$ -clean* provided that for any  $x \in R$  there exists a unique idempotent  $e \in R$  such that  $x - e \in P(R)$ , and that  $R$  is *uniquely nil-clean* provided that for any  $x \in R$  there exists a unique idempotent  $e \in R$  such that  $x - e$  is nilpotent. Every uniquely  $P$ -clean ring is uniquely nil-clean.

**Theorem 2.11.** *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then  $R$  is uniquely  $P$ -clean if and only if*

- (1)  $R$  is abelian.
- (2)  $R$  is strongly  $P$ -clean.

**Proof.** Suppose  $R$  is uniquely  $P$ -clean. For all  $x \in R$  there exists a unique idempotent  $e \in R$  such that  $x - e \in P(R)$ . Thus,  $R/P(R)$  is Boolean. In view of Theorem 2.4,  $R$  is strongly  $P$ -clean. Furthermore,  $\overline{ex - exe^2} = \overline{ex - exe} = 0$ . Hence,  $ex - exe \in P(R)$ . Clearly,  $e$  and  $e + ex - exe \in R$  are idempotents, and that  $e - e, e - (e + ex - exe) \in P(R)$ . By the uniqueness, we get  $ex = exe$ . Likewise,

$xe = exe$ , and so  $ex = xe$ . That is, every idempotent in  $R$  is central. Therefore  $R$  is abelian.

Conversely, assume that (1) and (2) hold. For any  $x \in R$ , there exists an idempotent  $e \in R$  such that  $x - e \in P(R)$ . Suppose that  $x - f \in P(R)$  where  $f \in R$  is an idempotent. Then  $e - f = (x - f) - (x - e) \in P(R)$ . Hence, we can find some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(e - f)^{2n+1} = e - f = 0$ . This implies that  $e = f$ , as required.  $\square$

In light of Theorem 2.11, one directly verifies that  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  is uniquely  $P$ -clean. Recall that a ring  $R$  is *uniquely clean* provided that each element in  $R$  has a unique representation as the sum of an idempotent and a unit (cf. [12]). Let  $R = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z}_2 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}_2 \end{pmatrix}$ . By [12, Example 21],  $R$  is not uniquely clean. But it is strongly  $P$ -clean.

**Corollary 2.12.** *Every uniquely  $P$ -clean ring is uniquely clean.*

**Proof.** In view of Theorem 2.1,  $R$  is strongly clean. Write  $x = e + u$  where  $e = e^2 \in R$  and  $u \in U(R)$ . Then  $(1 - e) - x = (1 - 2e) - u$ . Clearly,  $(1 - 2e)^2 = 1$ . As  $R/P(R)$  is Boolean, we see that  $\bar{u} = \overline{1 - 2e} = \bar{1}$ . Thus,  $(1 - 2e) - u \in P(R)$ . This implies that  $(1 - e) - x \in P(R)$ . Write  $x = f + v$  where  $f = f^2 \in R$  and  $v \in U(R)$ . Likewise,  $(1 - f) - x \in P(R)$ . By the uniqueness, we get  $1 - e = 1 - f$ , and then  $e = f$ . Therefore  $R$  is uniquely clean.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.13.** *Let  $R$  be uniquely  $P$ -clean. Then  $T = \{(a_{ij}) \in T_n(R) \mid a_{11} = \cdots = a_{nn}\}$  is strongly  $P$ -clean.*

**Proof.** Let  $S = \{(a_{ij}) \in T_n(R) \mid a_{11} = \cdots = a_{nn} = 0\}$ . Then  $S$  be a ring (not necessary unitary), and  $S$  is a  $R$ - $R$ -bimodule in which  $(s_1 s_2)r = s_1(s_2 r)$ ,  $r(s_1 s_2) = (r s_1)s_2$  and  $(s_1 r)s_2 = s_1(rs_2)$  for all  $s_1, s_2 \in S, r \in R$ . Construct  $I(R; S) = \{(r, s) \mid r \in R, s \in S\}$ . Define  $(r_1, s_1) + (r_2, s_2) = (r_1 + r_2, s_1 + s_2)$ ;  $(r_1, s_1)(r_2, s_2) = (r_1 r_2, s_1 s_2 + r_1 s_2 + s_1 r_2)$ . Then  $I(R; S)$  is a ring with an identity  $(1, 0)$ . Obviously,  $T \cong I(R; S)$ . Let  $(r, s) \in I(R; S)$ . Since  $R$  is strongly  $P$ -clean, write  $r = e + w$ ,  $ew = we$ ,  $e = e^2 \in R, w \in P(R)$ . Hence,  $(r, s) = (e, 0) + (w, s)$ . Clearly,  $(e, 0)^2 = (e, 0)$ . In light of Proposition 2.10, every idempotent in  $R$  is central, we see that  $es = se$ , and so  $(e, 0)(w, s) = (w, s)(e, 0)$ . As  $w \in P(R)$ , we can find some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(RwR)^m = 0$ . This implies that  $(I(R; S)(w, s)I(R; S))^{m+n} = (0, 0)$ . Hence,  $(w, s) \in P(I(R; S))$ . Therefore  $I(R; S)$  is strongly  $P$ -clean, as required.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.14.** *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then  $R$  is uniquely  $P$ -clean if and only if*

- (1)  $R$  is strongly  $P$ -clean.
- (2)  $R$  is uniquely nil clean.

**Proof.** Suppose  $R$  is uniquely  $P$ -clean. It follows by Proposition 2.10 that  $R$  is strongly  $P$ -clean. Additionally,  $R$  is abelian. Let  $w \in R$  is nilpotent. Then we

have an idempotent  $e \in R$  such that  $w - e \in P(R)$  and  $we = ew$ . This shows that  $e = w - (w - e) \in R$  is nilpotent. Hence,  $e = 0$ , and so  $w \in P(R)$ . Therefore  $R$  is uniquely nil clean.

Conversely, assume that (1) and (2) hold. Then  $R$  is abelian. Therefore we complete the proof by Proposition 2.10.  $\square$

We note that  $\{ \text{uniquely } P\text{-clean rings} \} \subsetneq \{ \text{strongly } P\text{-clean rings} \} \subsetneq \{ \text{strongly clean rings} \}$ .

### 3. Triangular Matrix Rings

We use  $T_n(R)$  to denote the ring of all upper triangular  $n \times n$  matrix over a ring  $R$ . The aim of this section is to investigate the conditions under which  $T_n(R)$  is strongly  $P$ -clean for a local ring  $R$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $R$  be a ring, and let  $a = e + w$  be a strongly  $P$ -clean decomposition of  $a$  in  $R$ . Then  $\text{ann}_\ell(a) \subseteq \text{ann}_\ell(e)$  and  $\text{ann}_r(a) \subseteq \text{ann}_r(e)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $r \in \text{ann}_\ell(a)$ . Then  $ra = 0$ . Write  $a = e + w, e = e^2, w \in P(R)$  and  $ew = we$ . Then  $re = -rw$ ; hence,  $re = -rwe = -rew$ . It follows that  $re(1+w) = 0$  as  $1+w \in U(R)$ , and so  $re = 0$ . That is,  $r \in \text{ann}_\ell(e)$ . Therefore  $\text{ann}_\ell(a) \subseteq \text{ann}_\ell(e)$ . A similar argument shows that  $\text{ann}_r(a) \subseteq \text{ann}_r(e)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $R$  be a ring, and let  $f \in R$  be an idempotent. Then  $a \in fRf$  is strongly  $P$ -clean in  $R$  if and only if  $a \in fRf$  is strongly  $P$ -clean in  $fRf$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $a = e + w, e = e^2 \in fRf, w \in P(fRf)$  and  $ew = we$ . Then there exists some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(fRfwfRf)^n = 0$ , and so  $(RfwfR)^{n+4} = 0$ . That is,  $(RwR)^{n+4} = 0$ . This infers that  $w \in P(R)$ . Hence,  $a \in fRf$  is strongly  $P$ -clean in  $R$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $a = e + w, e = e^2 \in R, w \in P(R)$  and  $ew = we$ . As  $a \in fRf$ , it follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - f &\in \text{ann}_\ell(a) \cap \text{ann}_r(a) \\ &\subseteq \text{ann}_\ell(e) \cap \text{ann}_r(e) \\ &= R(1 - e) \cap (1 - e)R \\ &= (1 - e)R(1 - e). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $ef = e = fe$ . We observe that  $a = fef + fwf, (fef)^2 = fef$ . Furthermore,  $fef \cdot fwf = fefw = fwe = fwf \cdot fef$ . As  $w \in P(R)$ , there exists some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(RwR)^n = 0$ . Thus,  $(fRfwfRf)^n \subseteq (RwR)^n = 0$ , and so  $fwf \in P(fRf)$ . Therefore we complete the proof.  $\square$



As is well known, every corner of a strongly clean ring is strongly clean. Analogously, we can derive the following.

**Corollary 3.3.** *A ring  $R$  is strongly  $P$ -clean if and only if so is  $eRe$  for all idempotents  $e \in R$ .*

Let  $a \in R$ . Then  $l_a : R \rightarrow R$  and  $r_a : R \rightarrow R$  denote, respectively, the abelian group endomorphisms given by  $l_a(r) = ar$  and  $r_a(r) = ra$  for all  $r \in R$ . Thus,  $l_a - r_b$  is an abelian group endomorphism such that  $(l_a - r_b)(r) = ar - rb$  for any  $r \in R$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $R$  be a local ring and suppose that  $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_n(R)$ . Then for any set  $\{e_{ii}\}$  of idempotents in  $R$  such that  $e_{ii} = e_{jj}$  whenever  $l_{a_{ii}} - r_{a_{jj}}$  is not a surjective abelian group endomorphism of  $R$ , there exists an idempotent  $E \in T_n(R)$  such that  $AE = EA$  and  $E_{ii} = e_{ii}$  for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .*

**Proof.** See [1, Lemma 7]. □

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $R$  be a local ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  is strongly  $P$ -clean.
- (2)  $R$  is uniquely  $P$ -clean.
- (3)  $R/J(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $J(R)$  is locally nilpotent.
- (4)  $T_n(R)$  is strongly  $P$ -clean.

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is obvious from Theorem 2.11.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) In view of Theorem 2.1,  $R/J(R)$  is Boolean, and  $J(R)$  is locally nilpotent. As  $R$  is local, we get  $R/J(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) Let  $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_n(R)$ . We need to construct an idempotent  $E \in T_n(R)$  such that  $EA = AE$  and such that  $A - E \in P(T_n(R))$ . By hypothesis,  $R/J(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $J(R)$  is locally nilpotent. Thus,  $R = J(R) \cup (1 + J(R))$ . Begin by constructing the main diagonal of  $E$ . Set  $e_{ii} = 0$  if  $a_{ii} \in J(R)$ , and set  $e_{ii} = 1$  otherwise. Thus,  $a_{ii} - e_{ii} \in J(R)$  for every  $i$ . If  $e_{ii} \neq e_{jj}$ , then it must be the case (without loss of generality) that  $a_{ii} \in U(R)$  and  $a_{jj} \in J(R)$ . Thus,  $a_{jj} \in P(R)$  is nilpotent. Write  $a_{jj}^m = 0$ . Construct a map  $\varphi = l_{a_{ii}^{-1}} + l_{a_{ii}^{-2}}r_{a_{jj}} + \dots + l_{a_{ii}^{-m}}r_{a_{jj}^{m-1}} : R \rightarrow R$ . For any  $r \in R$ , it is easy to verify that  $(l_{a_{ii}} - r_{a_{jj}})(\varphi(r)) = r$ . Thus,  $l_{a_{ii}} - r_{a_{jj}} : R \rightarrow R$  is surjective. According to Lemma 3.4, there exists an idempotent  $E \in T_n(R)$  such that  $AE = EA$  and  $E_{ii} = e_{ii}$  for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Further,  $a_{ii} - e_{ii} \in P(R)$ . Write  $(R(a_{ii} - e_{ii})R)^{m_i} = 0$ . Then one easily checks that

$$(T_n(R)(A - E)T_n(R))^{\sum_{i=1}^n m_i + n + 1} = 0.$$

This implies that  $A - E \in P(T_n(R))$ . Therefore  $T_n(R)$  is strongly  $P$ -clean.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is clear by Corollary 3.3. □

We close this section by considering a single  $2 \times 2$  strongly  $P$ -clean triangular matrix over a local ring.

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $R$  be a local ring, let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & v \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \in T_2(R)$ . Then  $A$  is strongly  $P$ -clean if and only if  $a$  and  $b$  are in  $P(R)$  or  $1 + P(R)$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $A$  is strongly  $P$ -clean and  $A, I_2 - A \notin P(T_2(R))$ . Then there exists some  $E = \begin{pmatrix} e & w \\ 0 & f \end{pmatrix} \in R$  such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & v \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} - E \in P(T_2(R)) \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} a & v \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} E = E \begin{pmatrix} a & v \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $A$  and  $B$  are local rings, we see that  $e = 0, 1$  and  $f = 0, 1$ . Thus,  $E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  or  $E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  where  $x \in R$ . This implies that  $a \in P(R), b \in 1 + P(R)$  or  $a \in 1 + P(R), b \in P(R)$ , as desired.

Suppose that  $a, b \in P(R)$  or  $a, b \in 1_A + P(R)$ , then  $A \in M_2(R)$  is strongly  $P$ -clean. Assume that  $a \in 1 + P(R), b \in P(R)$ . As  $P(R)$  is locally nilpotent, we may write  $b^m = 0$ . Construct a map  $\varphi = l_{a^{-1}} + l_{a^{-2}r_b} + \dots + l_{a^{-m}r_b^{m-1}} : R \rightarrow R$ . Choose  $x = \varphi(v)$ . Then one easily checks that  $(l_a - r_b)(\varphi(v)) = v$ . Hence,  $ax - xb = v$ . Choose  $E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $E = E^2, A - E \in P(T_2(R))$  and

$$AE = \begin{pmatrix} a & ax \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & v + xb \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = EA.$$

Assume that  $a \in P(R), b \in 1 + P(R)$ . Analogously, we can find an idempotent  $E \in T_2(R)$  such that  $AE = EA$  and  $A - E \in P(T_2(R))$ . Therefore  $A \in T_2(R)$  is strongly  $P$ -clean.  $\square$

**Example 3.7.** *Let  $\mathbb{Z}_{3^n}[\alpha] = \{a + b\alpha \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{3^n}, \alpha^2 + \alpha + 1 = 0\} (n \geq 1)$ . Then  $P(\mathbb{Z}_{3^n}[\alpha]) = (1 - \alpha)$ , i.e., the principal generated by  $1 - \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{3^n}[\alpha]$ . Therefore  $\mathbb{Z}_{3^n}[\alpha]$  is local. Additionally,  $T_2(\mathbb{Z}_{3^n}[\alpha])$  is not strongly  $P$ -clean, by Theorem 3.5. But, we see from Proposition 3.6 that  $\begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} \in T_2(\mathbb{Z}_{3^n}[\alpha])$  is strongly  $P$ -clean if and only if  $x, y \in (1 - \alpha)$  or  $1 + (1 - \alpha)$ .*

#### 4. Strongly $P$ -Clean Matrices

The main purpose of this section is to investigate the strong  $P$ -cleanness of a single matrix over commutative local rings. We start with a well known result.

**Lemma 4.1.** [11, Theorem 4.29] *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then  $P(M_n(R)) = M_n(P(R))$ .*

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $R$  be a local ring. Then  $A \in M_2(R)$  is strongly  $P$ -clean if and only if  $A \in M_2(P(R))$  or  $I_2 - A \in M_2(P(R))$  or  $A$  is similar to a matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\lambda \in 1 + P(R), \mu \in P(R)$ .*

**Proof.** If  $A \in M_2(P(R))$  or  $I_2 - A \in M_2(P(R))$ , it follows by Lemma 4.1 that either  $A$  or  $I_2 - A$  is in  $P(M_2(R))$ , and so  $A$  is strongly  $P$ -clean. For any  $w_1, w_2 \in P(R)$ , we see that  $\begin{pmatrix} 1+w_1 & 0 \\ 0 & w_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} w_1 & 0 \\ 0 & w_2 \end{pmatrix}$ . In light of Lemma 4.1,  $\begin{pmatrix} w_1 & 0 \\ 0 & w_2 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(P(R))$ . Thus, one direction is clear.

Conversely, assume that  $A \in M_2(R)$  is strongly  $P$ -clean, and that  $A, I_2 - A \notin M_2(P(R))$ . Then there exist an idempotent  $E \in M_2(R)$  and a  $W \in P(M_2(R))$  such that  $A = E + W$  with  $EW = WE$ . This implies that the idempotent  $E \neq 0, I_2$ . In view of [3, Lemma 16.4.11],  $E$  is similar to  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & w_1 \\ 1 & 1+w_2 \end{pmatrix}$ . As  $E = E^2$ , we deduce that  $w_1 = w_2 = 0$ ; hence,  $E$  is similar to  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Obviously,  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Thus, we have an  $H \in GL_2(R)$  such that  $HEH^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Thus,  $HAH^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + HWH^{-1}$ . Set  $V = (v_{ij}) := HWH^{-1}$ . It follows from  $EW = WE$  that  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} V = V \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ; hence,  $v_{12} = v_{21} = 0$  and  $v_{11}, v_{22} \in P(R)$ . Therefore  $A$  is similar to  $\begin{pmatrix} 1+v_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & v_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $R$  be a local ring, and let  $A \in M_2(R)$  be strongly  $P$ -clean. Then  $A \in M_2(P(R))$  or  $I_2 - A \in M_2(P(R))$  or  $A$  is similar to a matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ 1 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\lambda \in P(R), \mu \in 1 + P(R)$ .*

**Proof.** If  $A, I_2 - A \notin M_2(P(R))$ , it follows from Theorem 4.2 that there exists a  $P \in GL_2(R)$  such that  $P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\alpha \in 1 + P(R), \beta \in P(R)$ . One computes that  $[\alpha - \beta, 1]B_{12}(-\alpha(\alpha - \beta)^{-1})B_{21}(1)P^{-1}APB_{21}(-1)B_{12}(\alpha(\alpha - \beta)^{-1})[(\alpha - \beta)^{-1}, 1]$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(\alpha - \beta)\alpha(\alpha - \beta)^{-1}\beta \\ 1 & (\alpha - \beta)\alpha(\alpha - \beta)^{-1} + \beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here,  $[\xi, \eta] = \text{diag}(\xi, \eta)$  and  $B_{ij}(\xi) = I_2 + \xi E_{ij}$  where  $E_{ij}$  is the matrix with 1 on the place  $(i, j)$  and 0 on other places. Let  $\lambda = -(\alpha - \beta)\alpha(\alpha - \beta)^{-1}\beta$  and  $\mu = (\alpha - \beta)\alpha(\alpha - \beta)^{-1} + \beta$ . Therefore  $A$  is similar to  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ 1 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\lambda \in P(R), \mu \in 1 + P(R)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative local ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $A \in M_2(R)$  is strongly  $P$ -clean.
- (2)  $A - A^2 \in M_2(P(R))$ .
- (3)  $A \in M_2(P(R))$  or  $I_2 - A \in M_2(P(R))$  or the equation  $x^2 - \text{tr}A \cdot x + \det A = 0$  has a root in  $P(R)$  and a root in  $1 + P(R)$ .

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Write  $A = E + W$  with  $EW = WE, W \in P(M_2(R))$ . Then  $A - A^2 = W - EW - WE - W^2 \in P(M_2(R))$ . Therefore,  $A - A^2 \in M_2(P(R))$ , by Lemma 4.1.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Since  $A - A^2 \in M_2(P(R))$ , we get  $A - A^2 \in P(M_2(R))$  by Lemma 4.1. As  $P(M_2(R))$  is locally nilpotent, we can find an idempotent  $E \in M_2(R)$  such that  $A - E \in P(M_2(R))$ . Explicitly,  $AE = EA$ , as required.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Let  $A \in M_2(R)$  be strongly  $P$ -clean and  $A, I_2 - A \notin M_2(P(R))$ . By virtue of Theorem 4.2,  $A$  is similar to the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(R)$ , where  $\lambda \in 1 + P(R), \mu \in P(R)$ . Thus,  $x^2 - \text{tr}A \cdot x + \det A = \det(xI_2 - A) = (x - \lambda)(x - \mu)$ , which has a root  $\lambda \in 1 + P(R)$  and a root  $\mu \in P(R)$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $A \in M_2(R)$ . If  $A \in M_2(P(R))$  or  $I_2 - A \in M_2(P(R))$ , it follows from Lemma 4.1 that  $A \in M_2(R)$  is strongly  $P$ -clean. Otherwise, it follows by the hypothesis that the equation  $x^2 - \text{tr}A \cdot x + \det A = 0$  has a root  $x_1 \in P(R)$  and a root  $x_2 \in 1 + P(R)$ . Clearly,  $x_1 - x_2 \in -1 + P(R) \subseteq U(R)$ . In addition,  $\text{tr}A = x_1 + x_2 \in 1 + P(R)$  and  $\det A = x_1 x_2 \in P(R)$ . As  $\det A \in P(R)$ ,  $A \notin GL_2(R)$ . It follows from  $\det(I_2 - A) = 1 - \text{tr}A + \det A \in P(R)$  that  $I_2 - A \notin GL_2(R)$ . In light of [10, Lemma 4], there are some  $\lambda \in J(R), \mu \in 1 + J(R)$  such that  $A$  is similar to  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ 1 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$ . Further,  $x^2 - \text{tr}B \cdot x + \det B = \det(xI_2 - B) = \det(xI_2 - A) = x^2 - \text{tr}A \cdot x + \det A$ ; and so  $x^2 - \text{tr}B \cdot x + \det B = 0$  has a root in  $1 + P(R)$  and a root in  $P(R)$ . As in the proof of Lemma 4.3, there exists a  $P \in GL_2(R)$  such that  $P^{-1}BP = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}$  for some  $\alpha_1 \in 1 + P(R), \alpha_2 \in P(R)$ . By virtue of Lemma

4.1,  $P^{-1}BP = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 - 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}$  is a strongly  $P$ -clean expression. Consequently,  $A \in M_2(R)$  is strongly  $P$ -clean.  $\square$

**Example 4.5.** Let  $R = \{\frac{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Q} \mid 2 \nmid n\}$ . Then  $R$  is a commutative local ring. Choose  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(R)$ . Clearly,  $A, I_2 - A \notin M_2(P(R))$ . Further, the equation  $x^2 - \text{tr}A \cdot x + \det A = 0$  has a root 4 and a root  $-1$ . But  $4, -1 \notin P(R)$ . Thus,  $A \in M_2(R)$  is not strongly  $P$ -clean from Theorem 4.4. But  $A \in M_2(R)$  is strongly clean by [6, Corollary 2.2]. It is worth noting that every strongly  $P$ -clean  $2 \times 2$  matrix over integral domains must be an idempotent by Theorem 4.4.

Recall that  $a \in R$  is strongly nil clean provided that  $a$  is the sum of an idempotent and a nilpotent element that commute.

**Corollary 4.6.** Let  $R$  be a commutative local ring, and let  $A \in M_2(R)$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $A \in M_2(R)$  is strongly nil clean.
- (2)  $A \in N(M_2(R))$  or  $I_2 - A \in N(M_2(R))$ , or  $A \in M_2(R)$  is strongly  $P$ -clean.

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) If  $A, I_2 - A \notin N(M_2(R))$ , then the equation  $x^2 - \text{tr}A \cdot x + \det A = 0$  has a root in  $N(R)$  and a root in  $1 + N(R)$ , by [4, Corollary 3.6]. As  $R$  is commutative,  $N(R) = P(R)$ . In light of Theorem 4.4.,  $A \in M_2(R)$  is strongly  $P$ -clean, as required.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is obvious.  $\square$

**Example 4.7.** Let  $\mathbb{Z}_4 = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}\}$ , and let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{1} & \bar{2} \\ \bar{2} & \bar{2} \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_4)$ . Then  $A - A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{0} & \bar{0} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{2} \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(P(\mathbb{Z}_4))$ . Thus,  $A \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_4)$  is strongly  $P$ -clean. In fact, we have the strongly  $P$ -clean decomposition:  $A = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{1} & \bar{2} \\ \bar{2} & \bar{0} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \bar{0} & \bar{0} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{2} \end{pmatrix}$ . In this case,  $A, I_2 - A \notin N(M_2(\mathbb{Z}_4))$ .

## 5. Characteristic Criteria

For several kinds of  $2 \times 2$  matrices over commutative local rings, we can derive accurate characterizations.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $R$  be a commutative local ring, and let  $A \in M_2(R)$ . If  $A$  is strongly  $P$ -clean, then either  $A \in M_2(P(R))$ , or  $I_2 - A \in M_2(P(R))$ , or  $\text{tr}A \in 1 + P(R)$  and  $\text{tr}^2 A - 4\det A = u^2$  for some  $u \in 1 + P(R)$ .

**Proof.** According to Corollary 4.6,  $A \in M_2(P(R))$  or  $I_2 - A \in M_2(P(R))$ , or  $\text{tr}A \in 1 + P(R)$  and the equation  $x^2 - x = \frac{\det A}{-\text{tr}^2 A}$  has a root  $a \in P(R)$ . Then  $\det A \in P(R)$  and  $2a - 1 \in -1 + P(R)$ . Further,  $(2a - 1)^2 = 4(a^2 - a) + 1 = \frac{4\det A}{-\text{tr}^2 A} + 1 = \frac{\text{tr}^2 A - 4\det A}{\text{tr}^2 A}$ , and therefore  $\text{tr}^2 A - 4\det A = (\text{tr}A \cdot (2a - 1))^2$ . Set  $u = \text{tr}A \cdot (2a - 1)$ . Then  $u \in 1 + P(R)$ , as required.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.2.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative local ring. If  $\frac{1}{2} \in R$ , then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $A \in M_2(R)$  is strongly  $P$ -clean.
- (2)  $A \in M_2(P(R))$  or  $I_2 - A \in M_2(P(R))$ , or  $\text{tr}A \in 1 + P(R)$  and  $\text{tr}^2 A - 4\det A = u^2$  for a  $u \in 1 + P(R)$ .

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is clear by Theorem 5.1.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) If  $\text{tr}A \in 1 + P(R)$  and  $\text{tr}^2 A - 4\det A = u^2$  for some  $u \in 1 + P(R)$ , then  $u \in U(R)$  and the equation  $x^2 - \text{tr}A \cdot x + \det A = 0$  has a root  $\frac{1}{2}(\text{tr}A - u)$  in  $P(R)$  and a root  $\frac{1}{2}(\text{tr}A + u)$  in  $1 + P(R)$ . Therefore we complete the proof by Theorem 4.4.  $\square$

**Example 5.3.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative local ring, and let  $p \in P(R), q \in R$ . Then  $\begin{pmatrix} p+1 & p \\ q & p \end{pmatrix}$  is strongly  $P$ -clean if and only if  $1 + 4pq = u^2$  for a  $u \in 1 + P(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $A = \begin{pmatrix} p+1 & p \\ q & p \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $A, I_2 - A \notin M_2(P(R))$ . As  $\text{tr}^2 A - 4\det A = 1 + 4pq$ , the result follows by Theorem 5.1.

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative local ring, and let  $A \in M_2(R)$ . Then  $A$  is strongly  $P$ -clean if and only if*

- (1)  $A \in M_2(P(R))$ , or
- (2)  $I_2 - A \in M_2(P(R))$ , or
- (3)  $A \in M_2(R)$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular and  $A$  is similar to a matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ 1 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\lambda \in P(R), \mu \in 1 + P(R)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $A \in M_2(R)$  be strongly  $P$ -clean. Assume that  $A, I_2 - A \notin M_2(P(R))$ . In view of Lemma 4.3, there exists a  $P \in GL_2(R)$  such that  $P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ 1 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\lambda \in 1 + P(R), \mu \in P(R)$ . According to Theorem 4.4, the equation  $x^2 - \text{tr}A \cdot x + \det A = 0$  has a root in  $P(R)$  and a root in  $1 + P(R)$ . As  $\text{tr}A = \mu$  and  $\det A = -\lambda$ , we see that  $h(x) = x^2 - \mu x - \lambda$  has two roots, one is in  $U(R)$  and the other one is nilpotent. In light of [13, Lemma 20], we conclude that  $P^{-1}AP$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular. Thus, we can find some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $B \in M_2(R)$  such that

$(P^{-1}AP)^m = (P^{-1}AP)^{m+1}B$  and  $(P^{-1}AP)B = B(P^{-1}AP)$ . It follows that  $A^m = A^{m+1}(PBP^{-1})$  and  $A(PBP^{-1}) = (PBP^{-1})A$ , and thus  $A \in M_2(R)$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular.

Conversely, assume that  $A \in M_2(R)$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular and  $A$  is similar to a matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ 1 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\lambda \in P(R), \mu \in 1 + P(R)$ . Then  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ 1 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular. In light of [13, Lemma 20],  $x^2 - \mu x - \lambda$  has two roots, one  $\alpha \in U(R)$  and one  $\beta \in R$  which is nilpotent. Obviously,  $\alpha^2 - \mu\alpha - \lambda = 0$  and  $\beta^2 - \mu\beta - \lambda = 0$ ; hence,  $\alpha + \beta = \mu$ . As  $R$  is commutative, we see that  $\beta \in P(R)$ , and then  $\alpha = \mu - \beta \in 1 + P(R)$ . Obviously,  $\text{tr}A = \mu$  and  $\det A = -\lambda$ . Therefore the equation  $x^2 - \text{tr}A \cdot x + \det A = 0$  has two roots, one in  $1 + P(R)$  and the other one is in  $P(R)$ . According to Theorem 4.4,  $A$  is strongly  $P$ -clean.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.5.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring, and let  $A \in M_2(R)$ . If  $R/J(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $J(R)$  is nilpotent, then  $A$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular if and only if  $A \in GL_2(R)$  or  $A$  is nilpotent, or  $A$  is strongly  $P$ -clean.*

**Proof.** If  $A \in GL_2(R)$  or  $A$  is nilpotent, then  $A$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular. If  $A$  is strongly  $P$ -clean, it follows from Theorem 5.4 that  $A$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular. Conversely, assume that  $A$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular,  $A \notin GL_2(R)$  and  $A \in M_2(R)$  is not nilpotent. As  $J(R) = P(R)$ , we see that  $A \notin M_2(J(R))$ . By virtue of [10, Lemma 19],  $A$  is similar to  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ 1 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\lambda \in P(R), \mu \in R$ . If  $\mu \in 1 + P(R)$ , it follows from Theorem 5.4 that  $A \in M_2(R)$  is strongly  $P$ -clean. If  $\mu \in P(R)$ , then  $A^2$  is isomorphic to  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \lambda\mu \\ \mu & \mu + \mu^2 \end{pmatrix}$ . This implies that  $A^2 \in M_2(P(R))$ . Hence,  $A \in M_2(R)$  is nilpotent, a contradiction. Therefore the result follows.  $\square$

**Example 5.6.** *Let  $A \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}[i]) (n \geq 1)$ . Then  $A$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular if and only if  $A \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}[i])$  or  $A$  is nilpotent, or  $A$  is strongly  $P$ -clean.*

**Proof.** Clearly,  $J(\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}[i]) = (1 + i)$ , and that  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}[i]/J(\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}[i]) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Thus,  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}[i]$  is a commutative local ring with the nilpotent Jacobson radical. Therefore we complete the proof by Proposition 5.5.  $\square$

## References

- [1] G. Borooh, A.J. Diesl and T.J. Dorsey, *Strongly clean triangular matrix rings over local rings*, J. Algebra, 312 (2007), 773–797.
- [2] G. Borooh, A.J. Diesl and T.J. Dorsey, *Strongly clean matrix rings over commutative local rings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 212 (2008), 281–296.

- [3] H. Chen, *Rings Related Stable Range Conditions*, Series in Algebra 11, World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 2011.
- [4] H. Chen, *On strongly nil clean matrices*, *Comm. Algebra*, 41(3) (2013), 1074–1086.
- [5] J. Chen, X. Yang and Y. Zhou, *When is the  $2 \times 2$  matrix ring over a commutative local ring strongly clean?*, *J. Algebra*, 301 (2006), 280–293.
- [6] J. Chen, X. Yang and Y. Zhou, *On strongly clean matrix and triangular matrix rings*, *Comm. Algebra*, 34(10)(2006), 3659–3674.
- [7] A. J. Diesl, *Nil clean rings*, *J. Algebra*, 383 (2013), 197–211.
- [8] L. Fan and X. Yang, *A note on strongly clean matrix rings*, *Comm. Algebra*, 38(3) (2010), 799–806.
- [9] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie Algebra and Representation Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Beijing, 2006.
- [10] Y. Li, *Strongly clean matrix rings over local rings*, *J. Algebra*, 312(1) (2007), 397–404.
- [11] N. H. McCoy, *The Theory of Rings*, Chealsea Publ.Comp., New York, 1973.
- [12] W. K. Nicholson and Y. Zhou, *Rings in which elements are uniquely the sum of an idempotent and a unit*, *Glasgow Math. J.*, 46 (2004), 227–236.
- [13] X. Yang and Y. Zhou, *Strongly cleanness of the  $2 \times 2$  matrix ring over a general local ring*, *J. Algebra*, 320 (2008), 2280–2290.

**Huanyin Chen**

Department of Mathematics,  
Hangzhou Normal University  
Hangzhou 310036, China,  
e-mail: huanyinchen@aliyun.com

**Handan Köse**

Department of Mathematics,  
Ahi Evran University  
Kirsehir, Turkey,  
e-mail: handankose@gmail.com

**Yosum Kurtulmaz**

Department of Mathematics,  
Bilkent University  
Ankara, Turkey,  
e-mail: yosum@fen.bilkent.edu.tr