

**CORRIGENDUM TO
“ON RINGS WHERE LEFT PRINCIPAL IDEALS ARE LEFT
PRINCIPAL ANNIHILATORS”**

V. Camillo and W. K. Nicholson

[Int. Electron. J. Algebra, 17(2015), 199-214]

ABSTRACT. We provide here correct versions of both Lemma 5.6 and Theorem 5.7 in the paper [Int. Electron. J. Algebra, 17(2015), 199-214]. Both Lemma 5.6 and Theorem 5.7 are false as stated, a counterexample in both cases being any regular ring that is not semisimple.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 16L60, 16D50, 16P60, 16U99

Keywords: Regular rings, morphic rings, quasi-morphic rings, pseudo-morphic rings, Artinian principal ideal rings, quasi-Frobenius rings

Unless otherwise noted, every ring R is associative with unity. The left and right socles of R are denoted by S_l and S_r , and the left and right singular ideals by Z_l and Z_r . We write the left and right annihilators in R of a set X as $\mathbf{l}(X)$ and $\mathbf{r}(X)$, respectively.

There is an error in the paper [1] and both Lemma 5.6 and Theorem 5.7 are false as stated. These errors can be corrected as follows.

[1, Lemma 5.6] becomes true if we replace “left finitely Kasch” by “left Kasch”. Hence it reads as

Lemma 5.6 Every left nonsingular, left Kasch ring R is semisimple.

Proof. Assume that $Z_l = 0$. If L is any left ideal of R we show that L is a direct summand of R . By Zorn’s Lemma choose a left ideal M such that $L \oplus M$ is an essential left ideal in R ; we show that $\mathbf{r}(L \oplus M) \subset Z_l$. If $a \in \mathbf{r}(L \oplus M)$, then $L \oplus M \subset \mathbf{l}(a)$. It follows that $\mathbf{l}(a)$ is essential left ideal in R ; that is $a \in Z_l$. Hence $a = 0$ and so $\mathbf{r}(L \oplus M) = 0$. If $L \oplus M$ is a proper left ideal in R , $\mathbf{r}(L \oplus M) = 0$ is in contradiction with [2, Corollary 8.28]. Thus $L \oplus M = R$, as required. \square

In 1968, Yohe [3, Theorem II] proved that a semiprime ring in which every one-sided ideal is principal is semisimple. The following Theorem 5.7 extends this if we add the hypothesis that the ring has the ascending chain condition on left principal

annihilators $\mathfrak{l}(a)$ for $a \in R$. Hence it reads as

Theorem 5.7 Let R be a semiprime, left pseudo-morphic ring. If R has the ascending chain condition on left principal annihilators $\mathfrak{l}(a)$ for $a \in R$, then R is semisimple.

Proof. By Lemma 6.5 in [1], R becomes left Noetherian in this case and so it is left Kasch, being left finitely Kasch by Theorem 5.4 in [1]. Hence by Lemma 5.6, it suffices to show that $Z_l = 0$. Suppose that $0 \neq a \in Z_l$. Then $\mathfrak{l}(a)$ is an essential left ideal in R , so $Ra \cap \mathfrak{l}(a) \neq 0$. But $[Ra \cap \mathfrak{l}(a)]^2 \subset (Ra)\mathfrak{l}(a) = 0$, a contradiction because R is semiprime. \square

References

- [1] V. Camillo and W. K. Nicholson, *On rings where left principal ideals are left principal annihilators*, Int. Electron. J. Algebra, 17 (2015), 199-214.
- [2] T. Y. Lam, *Lectures on Modules and Rings*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 189, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1999.
- [3] C. R. Yohe, *On rings in which every ideal is the annihilator of an element*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 19 (1968), 1346-1348.

V. Camillo

Department of Mathematics
University of Iowa
Iowa City Iowa, 52242
e-mail: camillo@math.uiowa.edu

W. K. Nicholson

Department of Mathematics
University of Calgary
Calgary T2N 1N4, Canada
e-mail: wknichol@ucalgary.ca