

## STRONGLY CLEAN ELEMENTS OF A SKEW MONOID RING

A. Karimi Mansoub, A. Moussavi and M. Habibi

Received: 15 June 2016; Revised: 5 September 2016

Communicated by Abdullah Harmanci

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $R$  be an associative ring with an endomorphism  $\sigma$  and  $F \cup \{0\}$  the free monoid generated by  $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_t\}$  with 0 added, and  $M$  a factor of  $F$  setting certain monomial in  $U$  to 0, enough so that, for some  $n$ ,  $M^n = 0$ . Then we can form the skew monoid ring  $R[M; \sigma]$ . An element of a ring  $R$  is strongly clean if it is the sum of an idempotent and a unit that commute. In this paper, we prove that  $\sum_{g \in M} r_g g \in R[M; \sigma]$  is a strongly clean element, if  $r_e$  or  $1 - r_e$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular in  $R$ . As a corollary, we deduce that if  $R$  is a strongly  $\pi$ -regular ring, then the skew monoid ring  $R[M; \sigma]$  is strongly clean. These rings is a new family of non-semiprime strongly clean skew monoid rings.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 16S36, 16N60, 16U80

**Keywords:** Skew monoid ring, strongly clean ring

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this article, all rings are associative with identity and  $e$  will always stand for the identity of the monoid  $M$ . Suppose that  $F \cup \{0\}$  is a free monoid generated by  $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_t\}$  with 0 added, and that  $M$  is a factor of  $F$  setting certain monomial in  $U$  to 0, enough so that, for some  $n$ ,  $\alpha^n = 0$ , for any  $\alpha \neq e$ . Let  $R$  be a ring with an endomorphism  $\sigma$ . Then we can form the skew monoid ring  $R[M; \sigma]$ , by taking its elements to be finite formal combinations  $\sum_{g \in M} r_g g$ , with multiplication subject to the relation  $u_i r = \sigma(r) u_i$ . Notice that, we use  $u_i$  instead of  $\bar{u}_i$ , for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .

According to Nicholson [13], a ring  $R$  is called *clean* if every element of  $R$  can be written as a sum of a unit and an idempotent. Nicholson [14] also defined the notion of strong cleanness. An element of a ring  $R$  is *strongly clean* if it is the sum of an idempotent and a unit that commute. A ring  $R$  is strongly clean if every element of  $R$  is strongly clean. Local rings are obviously strongly clean.

An element  $a \in R$  is called *right  $\pi$ -regular* if the chain  $aR \supseteq a^2R \supseteq \dots$  terminates. The *left  $\pi$ -regular* elements are defined analogously. An element  $a \in R$  is called *strongly  $\pi$ -regular* if it is both left and right  $\pi$ -regular, and  $R$  is called a

strongly  $\pi$ -regular ring if every element is strongly  $\pi$ -regular. According to Burgess and Menal [4, Proposition 2.6] and [14, Theorem 1], strongly  $\pi$ -regular rings are strongly clean. It was a question in [14] whether the matrix ring over a strongly clean ring is again strongly clean. The answer is ‘No’ by [17] where it was shown that for the localization  $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$  at  $(2)$ ,  $M_2(\mathbb{Z}_{(2)})$  is not strongly clean.

Let  $R$  be a ring,  $E_{ij}$  an elementary matrix,  $n$  any positive integer,  $\sigma$  an endomorphism of  $R$  and  $I_n$  the identity matrix in  $M_n(R)$ . In [6] J. Chen, X. Yang and Y. Zhou introduced *skew triangular matrix ring* as a set of all triangular matrices with addition pointwise and a new multiplication subject to the condition  $E_{ij}r = \sigma^{j-i}(r)E_{ij}$ . So  $(a_{ij})(b_{ij}) = (c_{ij})$ , where  $c_{ij} = a_{ii}b_{ij} + a_{i,i+1}\sigma(b_{i+1,j}) + \dots + a_{ij}\sigma^{j-i}(b_{jj})$ , for each  $i \leq j$  and denoted it by  $T_n(R, \sigma)$ .

The subring of the skew triangular matrices with constant main diagonal is denoted by  $S(R, n, \sigma)$ . Also, the subring of the skew triangular matrices with constant diagonals is denoted by  $T(R, n, \sigma)$ . We can denote  $A = (a_{ij}) \in T(R, n, \sigma)$  by  $(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$ . Then  $T(R, n, \sigma)$  is a ring with addition pointwise and multiplication given by:

$(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})(b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}) = (a_0b_0, a_0 * b_1 + a_1 * b_0, \dots, a_0 * b_{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} * b_0)$ , with  $a_i * b_j = a_i\sigma^i(b_j)$ , for each  $i$  and  $j$ . On the other hand, there is a ring isomorphism  $\varphi : R[x; \sigma]/(x^n) \rightarrow T(R, n, \sigma)$ , given by  $\varphi(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i) = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ , with  $a_i \in R, 0 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . So  $T(R, n, \sigma) \cong R[x; \sigma]/(x^n)$ , where  $R[x; \sigma]$  is the skew polynomial ring with multiplication subject to the condition  $xr = \sigma(r)x$  for each  $r \in R$ , and  $(x^n)$  is the ideal generated by  $x^n$ . We have

$$T(R, n, \sigma) = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cccccc} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \dots & a_n \\ 0 & a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & a_1 & \dots & a_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & a_1 \end{array} \right) \mid a_i \in R \right\}.$$

We also consider two following subrings of  $S(R, n, \sigma)$ :

$$A(R, n, \sigma) := \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{i=1}^{n-j+1} a_j E_{i,i+j-1} + \sum_{j=\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{n-j+1} a_{i,i+j-1} E_{i,i+j-1} \mid a_j, a_{i,k} \in R \right\}$$

$$B(R, n, \sigma) := \{ A + rE_{1k} \mid A \in A(R, n, \sigma), r \in R \} \quad n = 2k \geq 4.$$

For example:

$$A(R, 4, \sigma) = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cccc} a_1 & a_2 & a & b \\ 0 & a_1 & a_2 & c \\ 0 & 0 & a_1 & a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_1 \end{array} \right) \mid a_1, a_2, a, b, c \in R \right\}.$$

In the special case, when  $\sigma = id_R$ , we use  $S(R, n)$ ,  $A(R, n)$ ,  $B(R, n)$  and  $T(R, n)$  (see [11]) instead of  $S(R, n, \sigma)$ ,  $A(R, n, \sigma)$ ,  $B(R, n, \sigma)$  and  $T(R, n, \sigma)$ , respectively.

The rings  $S_n(R, \sigma)$  and  $T_n(R, \sigma)$  fit into the structure introduced above with  $U = \{E_{12}, E_{23}, \dots, E_{n-1, n}\}$  and  $U = \{E_{12} + E_{23} + \dots + E_{n-1, n}\}$ , respectively. Therefore, all the results obtained in this note are also true for these important classes of rings.

Useful ring constructions for building examples and counterexamples in the ring theory literature are the skew monoid rings. This article investigates a variety of conditions and related properties that  $R[M; \sigma]$  might inherit from a ring  $R$ . Our results generate new families of examples of rings (with zero-divisors) subject to a given condition. These rings are perhaps the most interesting class of non-semiprime rings. In this paper, we prove that for  $\sum_{g \in M} r_g g \in R[M; \sigma]$ , if  $r_e$  or  $1 - r_e$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular in  $R$ , then  $\sum_{g \in M} r_g g$  is a strongly clean element in the skew monoid ring  $R[M; \sigma]$ . As a corollary, we deduce that if  $R$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular, then  $R[M; \sigma]$  is strongly clean.

## 2. Strongly clean elements of skew monoid rings

A ring  $R$  is *strongly  $\pi$ -regular* if for each  $a \in R$  there exist a positive integer  $n$  and  $x \in R$  such that  $a^n = a^{n+1}x$ . By results of Azumaya [2] and Dischinger [7], the element  $x$  can be chosen to commute with  $a$ . In particular, this definition is left-right symmetric. Strongly  $\pi$ -regular rings were introduced by Kaplansky [10] as a common generalization of algebraic algebras and Artinian rings. Following [18], a ring  $R$  is an exchange ring if  ${}_R R$  satisfies the (finite) exchange property. By [18, Corollary 2], this definition is left-right symmetric. Every strongly  $\pi$ -regular ring is an exchange ring [14, Example 2.3]. The strong  $\pi$ -regularity has roles in module theory and ring theory as we see in Ara [1], Azumaya [2], Birkenmeier et al. [3], Burgess and Menal [4], Hirano [9], [14], Rowen [15], [16], and so on.

**Lemma 2.1.** *An element  $r \in R$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular if and only if there exists  $m \geq 1$  such that  $r^m = fw = wf$ , where  $f^2 = f \in R$ ,  $w \in U(R)$  and  $r, f$  and  $w$  all commute.*

**Proof.** By [2] or [14, Proposition 1] hold. □

We adapt similar techniques which have been employed in [5].

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and*

$$\gamma = r_e + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq t} r_{i_1} u_{i_1} + \sum_{1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq t} r_{i_1 i_2} u_{i_1} u_{i_2} + \cdots + \sum_{1 \leq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-1} \leq t} r_{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}} u_{i_1} \cdots u_{i_{n-1}},$$

*be an element in  $R[M; \sigma]$ . If either  $r_e$  or  $1 - r_e$  is a strongly  $\pi$ -regular element of  $R$ , then  $\gamma$  is a strongly clean element of  $R[M; \sigma]$ .*

**Proof.** We first note that  $r_e$  is strongly clean in  $R[M, \sigma]$  if and only if  $1 - r_e$  is strongly clean in  $R[M; \sigma]$ , so we need only to prove the claim for the case where  $r_e$  is a strongly  $\pi$ -regular element of  $R$ . Thus, by Lemma 2.1, there exists  $m \geq 1$  such that

$$r_e^m = f_e w_e = w_e f_e,$$

where  $f_e^2 = f_e \in R$ ,  $w_e \in U(R)$  and  $r_e$ ,  $w_e$  and  $f_e$  all commute. Next we show that there exist

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= a_e + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq t} a_{i_1} u_{i_1} + \sum_{1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq t} a_{i_1 i_2} u_{i_1} u_{i_2} + \cdots + \sum_{1 \leq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-1} \leq t} a_{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}} u_{i_1} \cdots u_{i_{n-1}}, \\ \beta &= b_e + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq t} b_{i_1} u_{i_1} + \sum_{1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq t} b_{i_1 i_2} u_{i_1} u_{i_2} + \cdots + \sum_{1 \leq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-1} \leq t} b_{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}} u_{i_1} \cdots u_{i_{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

in  $R[M; \sigma]$  such that,

$$\gamma = \alpha + \beta, \quad \alpha^2 = \alpha, \quad \beta \in U(R[M; \sigma]) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha\beta = \beta\alpha.$$

Choose  $a_e = 1 - f_e$  and  $b_e = r_e - (1 - f_e)$ . Then  $b_e \in U(R)$  by the proof of [14, Theorem 1] and hence  $r_e = a_e + b_e$  is a strongly clean expression of  $r_e$  in  $R$ . Let  $p = 2m$ , then  $r_e^p = f_e w_e^2 = w_e^2 f_e$ . Now let  $w = w_e^2$ , then we have

$$r_e^m = (1 - a_e)w_e = w_e(1 - a_e), \quad (1)$$

$$r_e^p = (1 - a_e)w = w(1 - a_e). \quad (2)$$

Thus  $r_e, a_e$  and  $w_e$  all commute and

$$r_e^m a_e = a_e r_e^m = 0, \quad a_e r_e^{p-1} = r_e^{p-1} a_e = r_e^{m-1} r_e^m a_e = 0. \quad (3)$$

Note that  $\alpha^2 = \alpha$  is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} a_e^2 &= a_e, \\ a_{i_1 \dots i_j} &= a_e a_{i_1 \dots i_j} + \sum_{h=1}^{j-1} a_{i_1 \dots i_h} \sigma^h(a_{i_{h+1} \dots i_j}) + a_{i_1 \dots i_j} \sigma^j(a_e) \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n-1, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and  $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha$  is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} a_e b_e &= b_e a_e, \\ a_e b_{i_1 \dots i_j} + \sum_{h=1}^{j-1} a_{i_1 \dots i_h} \sigma^h(b_{i_{h+1} \dots i_j}) + a_{i_1 \dots i_j} \sigma^j(b_e) &= \\ b_e a_{i_1 \dots i_j} + \sum_{h=1}^{j-1} b_{i_1 \dots i_h} \sigma^h(a_{i_{h+1} \dots i_j}) + b_{i_1 \dots i_j} \sigma^j(a_e) &\quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n-1. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

And  $\gamma = \alpha + \beta$  is the same as

$$r_e = a_e + b_e, \quad r_{i_1 \dots i_j} = a_{i_1 \dots i_j} + b_{i_1 \dots i_j} \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (6)$$

Clearly  $a_e$  and  $b_e$  satisfy 4, 5 and 6. Since  $b_e \in U(R)$ , by [8],  $\beta$  is a unit of  $R[M; \sigma]$  no matter how we choose  $b_{i_1 \dots i_j}$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . Thus it suffices to show that there exist  $a_{i_1 \dots i_j}, b_{i_1 \dots i_j}$  such that 4, 5 and 6 are satisfied for all  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ . By induction assume that  $a_e, a_{i_1}, a_{i_1 i_2}, \dots, a_{i_1 \dots i_k}$  and  $b_e, b_{i_1}, b_{i_1 i_2}, \dots, b_{i_1 \dots i_k}$  have been obtained so that 4, 5 and 6 are satisfied for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . We next find  $a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}}$  and  $b_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}}$  that satisfy 4, 5 and 6. Let

$$\begin{aligned} s_0 &= l_0 = m_0 = 0, \\ s_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} &= \sum_{h=1}^k a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_h} \sigma^h(b_{i_{h+1} \dots i_{k+1}}), \\ l_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} &= \sum_{h=1}^k b_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_h} \sigma^h(a_{i_{h+1} \dots i_{k+1}}), \\ m_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} &= \sum_{h=1}^k a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_h} \sigma^h(a_{i_{h+1} \dots i_{k+1}}), \\ t_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} &= a_e r_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} - r_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} \sigma^{k+1}(a_e) + s_{i_1 \dots i_k} - l_{i_1 \dots i_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $s_k, l_k, m_k$  and  $t_k$  are well-defined elements of  $R$ .

**Step 1.**

$$m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k+1}(a_e) = a_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k}.$$

**Proof of Step 1.** Using 4 for  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k+1}(a_e) - a_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \\
&= [a_{i_1} \sigma(a_{i_2 \dots i_{k+1}}) + a_{i_1 i_2} \sigma^2(a_{i_3 \dots i_{k+1}}) + \dots + a_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^k(a_{i_{k+1}})] \sigma^{k+1}(a_e) \\
&- a_e [a_{i_1} \sigma(a_{i_2 \dots i_{k+1}}) + a_{i_1 i_2} \sigma^2(a_{i_3 \dots i_{k+1}}) + \dots + a_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^k(a_{i_{k+1}})] \\
&= a_{i_1} \sigma[a_{i_2 \dots i_{k+1}} \sigma^k(a_e)] + a_{i_1 i_2} \sigma^2[a_{i_3 \dots i_{k+1}} \sigma^{k-1}(a_e)] + \dots + a_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^k[a_{i_{k+1}} \sigma(a_e)] \\
&- a_e a_{i_1} \sigma(a_{i_2 \dots i_{k+1}}) - \dots - a_e a_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^k(a_{i_{k+1}}) \\
&= a_{i_1} \sigma[a_{i_2 \dots i_{k+1}} - a_e a_{i_2 \dots i_{k+1}} - a_{i_2} \sigma(a_{i_3 \dots i_{k+1}}) - \dots - a_{i_2 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k-1}(a_{i_{k+1}})] \\
&+ a_{i_1 i_2} \sigma[a_{i_3 \dots i_{k+1}} - a_e a_{i_3 \dots i_{k+1}} - a_{i_3} \sigma(a_{i_4 \dots i_{k+1}}) - \dots - a_{i_3 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k-2}(a_{i_{k+1}})] \\
&+ \dots + a_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^k[a_{i_{k+1}} - a_e a_{i_{k+1}}] - a_e a_{i_1} \sigma(a_{i_2 \dots i_{k+1}}) - \dots - a_e a_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^k(a_{i_{k+1}}) \\
&= (a_{i_1} - a_{i_1} \sigma(a_e) - a_e a_{i_1}) \sigma(a_{i_2 \dots i_k}) \\
&+ (-a_{i_1} \sigma(a_{i_2}) + a_{i_1 i_2} - a_{i_1 i_2} \sigma^2(a_e) - a_e a_{i_1 i_2}) \sigma^2(a_{i_3 \dots i_{k+1}}) \\
&+ \dots + (-a_{i_1} \sigma(a_{i_2 \dots i_k}) - a_{i_1 i_2} \sigma^2(a_{i_3 \dots i_k}) - \dots + a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}) \sigma^k(a_{i_{k+1}}) \\
&= 0 \sigma(a_{i_2 \dots i_k}) + 0 \sigma^2(a_{i_3 \dots i_{k+1}}) + \dots + 0 \sigma^k(a_{i_{k+1}}) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

**Step 2.**

$$a_e t_{i_1 \dots i_k} + t_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k+1}(a_e) = t_{i_1 \dots i_k} + m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k+1}(r_e) - r_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k}.$$

**Proof of Step 2.** Because of 4 and 5 for all  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& s_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k+1}(a_e) + a_e s_{i_1 \dots i_k} \\
&= \left( \sum_{h=1}^k a_{i_1 \dots i_h} \sigma^h(b_{i_{h+1} \dots i_{k+1}}) \right) \sigma^{k+1}(a_e) + a_e \left( \sum_{h=1}^k a_{i_1 \dots i_h} \sigma^h(b_{i_{h+1} \dots i_{k+1}}) \right) \\
&= \sum_{h=1}^k a_{i_1 \dots i_h} \sigma^h(b_{i_{h+1} \dots i_{k+1}}) \sigma^{k+1}(a_e) + \sum_{h=1}^k a_e a_{i_1 \dots i_h} \sigma^h(b_{i_{h+1} \dots i_{k+1}}) \\
&= \sum_{h=1}^k a_{i_1 \dots i_h} \sigma^h(b_{i_{h+1} \dots i_{k+1}}) \sigma^{k+1}(a_e) \\
&\quad + \sum_{h=1}^k (a_{i_1 \dots i_h} - \sum_{h'=1}^{h-1} a_{i_1 \dots i_{h'}} \sigma^{h'}(a_{i_{h'+1} \dots i_h}) - a_{i_1 \dots i_h} \sigma^h(a_e)) \sigma^h(b_{i_{h+1} \dots i_{k+1}}) \text{ (by 4)} \\
&= a_{i_1} \sigma[b_{i_2 \dots i_{k+1}} + b_{i_2 \dots i_{k+1}} \sigma^k(a_e) - a_e b_{i_2 \dots i_{k+1}} - a_{i_2} \sigma(b_{i_3 \dots i_{k+1}}) \\
&\quad - \dots - a_{i_2 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k-1}(b_{i_{k+1}})]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + a_{i_1 i_2} \sigma^2 [b_{i_3 \dots i_{k+1}} + b_{i_3 \dots i_{k+1}} \sigma^{k-1}(a_e) - a_e b_{i_3 \dots i_{k+1}} - \dots - a_{i_3 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k-2}(b_{i_{k+1}})] \\
& + \dots \\
& + a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{k-1}} \sigma^{k-1} [b_{i_k i_{k+1}} + b_{i_k i_{k+1}} \sigma^2(a_e) - a_e b_{i_k i_{k+1}} - a_{i_k} \sigma(b_{i_{k+1}})] \\
& + a_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^k [b_{i_{k+1}} + b_{i_{k+1}} \sigma(a_e) - a_e b_{i_{k+1}}] \\
= & a_{i_1} \sigma(b_{i_2 \dots i_{k+1}}) + a_{i_1 i_2} \sigma^2(b_{i_3 \dots i_{k+1}}) \\
& + \dots \\
& + a_{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}} \sigma^{k-1}(b_{i_k i_{k+1}}) + a_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^k(b_{i_{k+1}}) \\
& - a_{i_1} \sigma [a_{i_2} \sigma(b_{i_3 \dots i_{k+1}})] \\
& + \dots \\
& + a_{i_2 i_3 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k-1}(b_{i_{k+1}}) + a_e b_{i_2 \dots i_{k+1}} - b_{i_2 \dots i_{k+1}} \sigma^k(a_e)] \\
& - a_{i_1 i_2} \sigma^2 [a_{i_3} \sigma(b_{i_4 \dots i_{k+1}}) + \dots + a_{i_3 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k-2}(b_{i_{k+1}}) \\
& + a_e b_{i_3 \dots i_{k+1}} - b_{i_3 \dots i_{k+1}} \sigma^{k-1}(a_e)] \\
& \vdots \\
& - a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{k-1}} \sigma^{k-1} [a_{i_k} \sigma(b_{i_{k+1}}) + a_e b_{i_k i_{k+1}} - b_{i_k i_{k+1}} \sigma^2(a_e)] \\
& - a_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^k [a_e b_{i_{k+1}} - b_{i_{k+1}} \sigma(a_e)] \\
= & s_{i_1 \dots i_k} - a_{i_1} \sigma [b_{i_2} \sigma(a_{i_3 \dots i_{k+1}}) + \dots + b_{i_2 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k-1}(a_{i_{k+1}})] \\
& + b_e a_{i_2 \dots i_{k+1}} - a_{i_2 \dots i_{k+1}} \sigma^k(b_e)] \\
& - a_{i_1 i_2} \sigma^2 [b_{i_3} \sigma(a_{i_4 \dots i_{k+1}}) + \dots + b_{i_3 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k-2}(a_{i_{k+1}}) + b_e a_{i_3 \dots i_{k+1}} \\
& - a_{i_3 \dots i_{k+1}} \sigma^{k-1}(b_e)] \\
& \vdots \\
& - a_{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}} \sigma^{k-1} [b_{i_k} \sigma(a_{i_{k+1}}) + b_e a_{i_k i_{k+1}} - a_{i_k i_{k+1}} \sigma^2(b_e)] \\
& - a_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^k [b_e a_{i_{k+1}} - a_{i_{k+1}} \sigma(b_e)] \\
= & s_{i_1 \dots i_k} - a_{i_1} \sigma [b_e a_{i_2 \dots i_{k+1}} - a_{i_2 \dots i_{k+1}} \sigma^k(b_e)] \\
& - a_{i_1 i_2} \sigma^2 [b_e a_{i_3 \dots i_{k+1}} - a_{i_3 \dots i_{k+1}} \sigma^{k-1}(b_e)] \\
& - \dots \\
& - a_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^k [b_e a_{i_{k+1}} - a_{i_{k+1}} \sigma(b_e)] \\
& - [a_{i_1} \sigma(b_{i_2 \dots i_k}) + a_{i_1 i_2} \sigma^2(b_{i_3 \dots i_k}) \\
& + \dots \\
& + a_{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}} \sigma^{k-1}(b_{i_k})] \sigma^k(a_{i_{k+1}}) \\
& - [a_{i_1} \sigma(b_{i_2 \dots i_{k-1}}) + a_{i_1 i_2} \sigma^2(b_{i_3 \dots i_{k-1}})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \cdots + a_{i_1 \cdots i_{k-2}} \sigma^{k-2}(b_{i_{k-1}})] \sigma^{k-1}(a_{i_k i_{k+1}}) \\
& - \cdots \\
& - [a_{i_1} \sigma(b_{i_2})] \sigma^2(a_{i_3 \cdots i_{k+1}}) = s_{i_1 \cdots i_k} - I_1 - I_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= s_{i_1 \cdots i_{k-1}} \sigma^k(a_{i_{k+1}}) + s_{i_1 \cdots i_{k-2}} \sigma^{k-1}(a_{i_k i_{k+1}}) + \cdots + s_{i_1} \sigma^2(a_{i_3 \cdots i_{k+1}}) \\
I_2 &= a_{i_1} \sigma[b_e a_{i_2 \cdots i_{k+1}} - a_{i_2 \cdots i_{k+1}} \sigma^k(b_e)] \\
& + a_{i_1 i_2} \sigma^2[b_e a_{i_3 \cdots i_{k+1}} - a_{i_3 \cdots i_{k+1}} \sigma^k(b_e)] \\
& + \cdots \\
& + a_{i_1 \cdots i_k} \sigma^k[b_e a_{i_{k+1}} - a_{i_{k+1}} \sigma(b_e)].
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, it can be verified that

$$a_e l_{i_1 \cdots i_k} + l_{i_1 \cdots i_k} \sigma^{k+1}(a_e) = l_{i_1 \cdots i_k} - J_1 - J_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &= a_{i_1} \sigma(l_{i_2 \cdots i_k}) + a_{i_1 i_2} \sigma^2(l_{i_3 \cdots i_k}) + \cdots + a_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{k-1}} \sigma^{k-1}(l_{i_k}) \\
J_2 &= [a_{i_1 \cdots i_k} \sigma^k(b_e) - b_e a_{i_1 \cdots i_k}] \sigma^k(a_{i_{k+1}}) \\
& + [a_{i_1 \cdots i_{k-1}} \sigma^{k-1}(b_e) - b_e a_{i_1 \cdots i_{k-1}}] \sigma^{k-1}(a_{i_k i_{k+1}}) \\
& + \cdots \\
& + [a_{i_1} \sigma(b_e) - b_e a_{i_1}] \sigma(a_{i_2 \cdots i_{k+1}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &= a_{i_1} \sigma(l_{i_2 \cdots i_k}) + a_{i_1 i_2} \sigma^2(l_{i_3 \cdots i_k}) + \cdots + a_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{k-1}} \sigma^{k-1}(l_{i_k}) \\
& = a_{i_1} \sigma[b_{i_2} \sigma(a_{i_3 \cdots i_{k+1}}) + b_{i_2 i_3} \sigma^2(a_{i_4 \cdots i_{k+1}}) + \cdots + b_{i_2 \cdots i_k} \sigma^{k-1}(a_{i_{k+1}})] \\
& + a_{i_1 i_2} \sigma^2[b_{i_3} \sigma(a_{i_4 \cdots i_{k+1}}) + b_{i_3 i_4} \sigma^2(a_{i_5 \cdots i_{k+1}}) + \cdots + b_{i_3 \cdots i_k} \sigma^{k-2}(a_{i_{k+1}})] \\
& + \cdots \\
& + a_{i_1 \cdots i_{k-1}} \sigma^{k-1}[b_{i_k} \sigma(a_{i_{k+1}})]
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= [a_{i_1}\sigma(b_{i_2\dots i_k}) + a_{i_1 i_2}\sigma^2(b_{i_3\dots i_k}) + \dots + a_{i_1\dots i_{k-1}}\sigma^{k-1}(b_{i_k})]\sigma^k(a_{i_{k+1}}) \\
&\quad + [a_{i_1}\sigma(b_{i_2\dots i_{k-1}}) + a_{i_1 i_2}\sigma^2(b_{i_3\dots i_{k-1}}) + \dots \\
&\quad + a_{i_1\dots i_{k-2}}\sigma^{k-2}(b_{i_{k-1}})]\sigma^{k-1}(a_{i_k} a_{i_{k+1}}) \\
&\quad + \dots \\
&\quad [a_{i_1}\sigma(b_{i_2})]\sigma^2(a_{i_3\dots i_{k+1}}) \\
&= s_{i_1\dots i_{k-1}}\sigma^k(a_{i_{k+1}}) + s_{i_1\dots i_{k-2}}\sigma^{k-1}(a_{i_k} i_{k+1}) + \dots + s_{i_1}\sigma^2(a_{i_3\dots i_{k+1}}) \\
&= I_1,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
-I_2 + J_2 &= -a_{i_1}\sigma[b_e a_{i_2\dots i_{k+1}} - a_{i_2\dots i_{k+1}}\sigma^k(b_e)] \\
&\quad - a_{i_1 i_2}\sigma^2[b_e a_{i_3\dots i_{k+1}} - a_{i_3\dots i_{k+1}}\sigma^{k-1}(b_e)] \\
&\quad - \dots \\
&\quad - a_{i_1\dots i_k}\sigma^k[b_e a_{i_{k+1}} - a_{i_{k+1}}\sigma(b_e)] \\
&\quad + [a_{i_1\dots i_k}\sigma^k(b_e) - b_e a_{i_1\dots i_k}]\sigma^k(a_{i_{k+1}}) \\
&\quad + [a_{i_1\dots i_{k-1}}\sigma^{k-1}(b_e) - b_e a_{i_1\dots i_{k-1}}]\sigma^{k-1}(a_{i_k} i_{k+1}) \\
&\quad + \dots \\
&\quad + [a_{i_1}\sigma(b_e) - b_e a_{i_1}]\sigma(a_{i_2\dots i_{k+1}}) \\
&= [a_{i_1}\sigma(a_{i_2\dots i_{k+1}}) + a_{i_1 i_2}\sigma^2(a_{i_3\dots i_{k+1}}) \\
&\quad + \dots \\
&\quad + a_{i_1\dots i_k}\sigma^k(a_{i_{k+1}})]\sigma^{k+1}(b_e) \\
&\quad - a_{i_1}\sigma(b_e)\sigma(a_{i_2\dots i_{k+1}}) - a_{i_1 i_2}\sigma^2(b_e)\sigma^2(a_{i_3\dots i_{k+1}}) \\
&\quad - \dots \\
&\quad - a_{i_1\dots i_k}\sigma^k(b_e)\sigma^k(a_{i_{k+1}}) \\
&\quad - b_e[a_{i_1\dots i_k}\sigma^k(a_{i_{k+1}}) + a_{i_1\dots i_{k-1}}\sigma^{k-1}(a_{i_k} i_{k+1})] \\
&\quad + \dots \\
&\quad + a_{i_1}\sigma(a_{i_2\dots i_{k+1}})] \\
&\quad + a_{i_1\dots i_k}\sigma^k(b_e)\sigma^k(a_{i_{k+1}}) + a_{i_1\dots i_{k-1}}\sigma^{k-1}(b_e)\sigma^{k-1}(a_{i_k} i_{k+1}) \\
&\quad + \dots \\
&\quad + a_{i_1}\sigma(b_e)\sigma(a_{i_2\dots i_{k+1}}) \\
&= m_{i_1\dots i_k}\sigma^{k+1}(b_e) - b_e m_{i_1\dots i_k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& a_e(s_{i_1 \dots i_k} - l_{i_1 \dots i_k}) + (s_{i_1 \dots i_k} - l_{i_1 \dots i_k})\sigma^{k+1}(a_e) \\
&= [s_{i_1 \dots i_k}\sigma^{k+1}(a_e) + a_e s_{i_1 \dots i_k}] - [l_{i_1 \dots i_k}\sigma^{k+1}(a_e) + a_e l_{i_1 \dots i_k}] \\
&= (s_{i_1 \dots i_k} - I_2 - I_1) - (l_{i_1 \dots i_k} - J_1 - J_2) \\
&= s_{i_1 \dots i_k} - l_{i_1 \dots i_k} - I_2 + J_2 \\
&= s_{i_1 \dots i_k} - l_{i_1 \dots i_k} + m_{i_1 \dots i_k}\sigma^{k+1}(b_e) - b_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \\
&= s_{i_1 \dots i_k} - l_{i_1 \dots i_k} + m_{i_1 \dots i_k}\sigma^{k+1}(r_e - a_e) - (r_e - a_e)m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \\
&= s_{i_1 \dots i_k} - l_{i_1 \dots i_k} + m_{i_1 \dots i_k}\sigma^{k+1}(r_e) - r_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \quad (\text{by Step 1}). \quad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
& a_e t_{i_1 \dots i_k} + t_{i_1 \dots i_k}\sigma^{k+1}(a_e) = a_e [a_e r_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} - r_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}}\sigma^{k+1}(a_e) + s_{i_1 \dots i_k} - l_{i_1 \dots i_k}] \\
& \quad + [a_e r_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} - r_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}}\sigma^{k+1}(a_e) + s_{i_1 \dots i_k} - l_{i_1 \dots i_k}]\sigma^{k+1}(a_e) \\
&= a_e r_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} - r_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}}\sigma^{k+1}(a_e) + a_e (s_{i_1 \dots i_k} - l_{i_1 \dots i_k}) + (s_{i_1 \dots i_k} - l_{i_1 \dots i_k})\sigma^{k+1}(a_e) \\
&= a_e r_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} - r_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}}\sigma^{k+1}(a_e) + s_{i_1 \dots i_k} - l_{i_1 \dots i_k} + m_{i_1 \dots i_k}\sigma^{k+1}(r_e) - r_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \quad (\text{by 7}) \\
&= t_{i_1 \dots i_k} + m_{i_1 \dots i_k}\sigma^{k+1}(r_e) - r_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k},
\end{aligned}$$

proving step 2.

**Step 3.**

$$a_e t_{i_1 \dots i_k}\sigma^{k+1}(a_e) = a_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k}\sigma^{k+1}(r_e) - r_e a_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k}.$$

**Proof of Step 3.** Multiplying the equality in step 2 by  $a_e$  from the left, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& a_e t_{i_1 \dots i_k} + a_e t_{i_1 \dots i_k}\sigma^{k+1}(a_e) \\
&= a_e t_{i_1 \dots i_k} + a_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k}\sigma^{k+1}(r_e) - r_e a_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, Step 3 follows.

For each integer  $i \geq 0$ , let

$$c_i = a_e r_e^i, \quad b_i = \sigma^{k+1}(c_i) = \sigma^{k+1}(a_e r_e^i). \quad (8)$$

**Step 4.** Choose

$$a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} = - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} c_i (t_{i_1 \dots i_k} b + m_{i_1 \dots i_k}) b^i + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a^i (a t_{i_1 \dots i_k} - m_{i_1 \dots i_k}) b_i + m_{i_1 \dots i_k},$$

where

$$a = w^{-1} r_e^{p-1}, \quad b = \sigma^{k+1}(a) = \sigma^{k+1}(w^{-1} r_e^{p-1}). \quad (9)$$

Then

$$a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} = a_e a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} + a_{i_1} \sigma(a_{i_2 \dots i_{k+1}}) + \dots + a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} \sigma^{k+1}(a_e),$$

that is

$$a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} = a_e a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} + a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} \sigma^{k+1}(a_e) + m_{i_1 \dots i_k}.$$

**Proof of Step 4.** Notice that the following hold:

$$c_0 = a_e, \quad b_0 = \sigma^{k+1}(a_e) \quad (\text{by 8}), \quad (10)$$

$$c_m = a_e r_e^m = 0 \quad (\text{by 3}), \quad (11)$$

$$b_m = \sigma^{k+1}(a_e r_e^m) = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$c_0 a = a_e w^{-1} r_e^{p-1} = a_e r_e^{p-1} w^{-1} = 0 \quad (\text{by 3}), \quad (13)$$

$$b b_0 = \sigma^{k+1}(a) \sigma^{k+1}(c_0) = \sigma^{k+1}(a c_0) = \sigma^{k+1}(c_0 a) = 0, \quad (14)$$

$$b_0 b_i = b_i b_0 = b_i, \quad (15)$$

$$c_0 c_i = c_i c_0 = c_i. \quad (16)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} \sigma^{k+1}(a_e) &= a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} b_0 \\ &= - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} c_i (t_{i_1 \dots i_k} b + m_{i_1 \dots i_k}) b^i b_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a^i (a_{i_1 \dots i_k} - m_{i_1 \dots i_k}) b_i b_0 + m_{i_1 \dots i_k} b_0 \\ &= -c_0 (t_{i_1 \dots i_k} b + m_{i_1 \dots i_k}) b_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a^i (a_{i_1 \dots i_k} - m_{i_1 \dots i_k}) b_i + m_{i_1 \dots i_k} b_0 \quad (\text{by 14}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a^i (a_{i_1 \dots i_k} - m_{i_1 \dots i_k}) b_i - c_0 t_{i_1 \dots i_k} b b_0 - c_0 m_{i_1 \dots i_k} b_0 + m_{i_1 \dots i_k} b_0 \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a^i (a_{i_1 \dots i_k} - m_{i_1 \dots i_k}) b_i - a_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k+1}(a_e) + m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k+1}(a_e) \quad (\text{by 14}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a^i (a_{i_1 \dots i_k} - m_{i_1 \dots i_k}) b_i. \quad (\text{by Claim 1}) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $c_0 = a_e$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a_e a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} &= - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} c_0 c_i (t_{i_1 \dots i_k} b + m_{i_1 \dots i_k}) b^i + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} c_0 a^i (a_{t_{i_1 \dots i_k}} - m_{i_1 \dots i_k}) b_i + c_0 m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \\
&= - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} c_i (t_{i_1 \dots i_k} b + m_{i_1 \dots i_k}) b^i + c_0 (a_{t_{i_1 \dots i_k}} - m_{i_1 \dots i_k}) b_0 + c_0 m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \text{ (by 13)} \\
&= - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} c_i (t_{i_1 \dots i_k} b + m_{i_1 \dots i_k}) b^i + c_0 a_{t_{i_1 \dots i_k}} b_0 - c_0 m_{i_1 \dots i_k} b_0 + c_0 m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \\
&= - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} c_i (b + m_{i_1 \dots i_k}) b^i - a_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^2(a_e) + a_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \text{ (by 13, 10)} \\
&= - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} c_i (t_{i_1 \dots i_k} b + m_{i_1 \dots i_k}) b^i. \text{ (by Step 1)}
\end{aligned}$$

And hence

$$\begin{aligned}
a_e a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} + a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} \sigma^2(a_e) + m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \\
&= - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} c_i (t_{i_1 \dots i_k} b + m_{i_1 \dots i_k}) b^i + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a^i (a_{t_{i_1 \dots i_k}} - m_{i_1 \dots i_k}) b_i + m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \\
&= a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

**Step 5.** Choose

$$b_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} = r_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} - a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_e b_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} + a_{i_1} \sigma(b_{i_2 \dots i_{k+1}}) + \dots + a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} \sigma^{k+1}(b_e) \\
&= b_e a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} + b_{i_1} \sigma(a_{i_2 \dots i_{k+1}}) + \dots + b_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} \sigma^{k+1}(a_e).
\end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} \sigma^{k+1}(b_e) + a_e b_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} + s_{i_1 \dots i_k} \\
&= b_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} \sigma^{k+1}(a_e) + b_e a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} + l_{i_1 \dots i_k}.
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

**Proof of Step 5.** Equation (17) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} \sigma^{k+1}(r_e - a_e) + a_e (r_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} - a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}}) + s_{i_1 \dots i_k} \\
&= (r_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} - a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}}) \sigma^{k+1}(a_e) + (r_e - a_e) a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} + l_{i_1 \dots i_k},
\end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned}
&r_e a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} - a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} \sigma^{k+1}(r_e) \\
&= a_e r_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} - r_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} \sigma^{k+1}(a_e) + s_{i_1 \dots i_k} - l_{i_1 \dots i_k} = t_{i_1 \dots i_k}.
\end{aligned}$$

So it suffices to show that

$$r_e a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} - a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} \sigma^{k+1}(r_e) = t_{i_1 \dots i_k}. \quad (18)$$

Because

$$r_e c_i = r_e a_e r_e^i = a_e r_e^{i+1} = c_{i+1}, \quad (19)$$

we can deduce (20)-(24):

$$b_i \sigma^{k+1}(r_e) = \sigma^{k+1}(a_e r_e^i) \sigma^{k+1}(r_e) = \sigma^{k+1}(a_e r_e^{i+1}) = b_{i+1}, \quad (20)$$

$$r_e a = r_e w^{-1} r_e^{p-1} = w^{-1} r_e^p = 1 - a_e, \quad (\text{by 2}) \quad (21)$$

$$b \sigma^{k+1}(r_e) = \sigma^{k+1}(a r_e) = \sigma^{k+1}(r_e a) = 1 - \sigma^{k+1}(a_e), \quad (22)$$

$$r_e a^2 = (1 - a_e) a = a - a_e a = a - c_0 a = a, \quad (\text{by 13}) \quad (23)$$

$$b^2 \sigma^{k+1}(r_e) = \sigma^{k+1}(a^2 r_e) = \sigma^{k+1}(a) = b. \quad (24)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & r_e a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} - a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} \sigma^{k+1}(r_e) \\ &= - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} r_e c_i (t_{i_1 \dots i_k} b + m_{i_1 \dots i_k}) b^i \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} r_e a^i (a t_{i_1 \dots i_k} - m_{i_1 \dots i_k}) b_i + r_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} c_i (t_{i_1 \dots i_k} b + m_{i_1 \dots i_k}) b^i \sigma^{k+1}(r_e) \\ & \quad - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a^i (a t_{i_1 \dots i_k} - m_{i_1 \dots i_k}) b_i \sigma^{k+1}(r_e) - m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k+1}(r_e) \\ &= - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} c_{i+1} (t_{i_1 \dots i_k} b + m_{i_1 \dots i_k}) b^i \\ & \quad + r_e (a t_{i_1 \dots i_k} - m_{i_1 \dots i_k}) b_0 + (1 - a_e) (a t_{i_1 \dots i_k} - m_{i_1 \dots i_k}) b_1 \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} a^{i-1} (a t_{i_1 \dots i_k} - m_{i_1 \dots i_k}) b_i + r_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \\ & \quad + c_0 (t_{i_1 \dots i_k} b + m_{i_1 \dots i_k}) \sigma^{k+1}(r_e) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + c_1(t_{i_1 \dots i_k} b + m_{i_1 \dots i_k})(1 - \sigma^{k+1}(a_e)) \\
& + \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} c_i(t_{i_1 \dots i_k} b + m_{i_1 \dots i_k})b^{i-1} \\
& - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a^i(a t_{i_1 \dots i_k} - m_{i_1 \dots i_k})b_{i+1} - m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k+1}(r_e) \quad (\text{by 19-24}) \\
= & -c_1(t_{i_1 \dots i_k} b + m_{i_1 \dots i_k}) - c_m(t_{i_1 \dots i_k} b + m_{i_1 \dots i_k})b^{m-1} \\
& - (a t_{i_1 \dots i_k} - m_{i_1 \dots i_k})b_1 - a^{m-1}(a t_{i_1 \dots i_k} - m_{i_1 \dots i_k})b_m \\
& + r_e(a t_{i_1 \dots i_k} - m_{i_1 \dots i_k})b_0 + (1 - a_e)(a t_{i_1 \dots i_k} - m_{i_1 \dots i_k})b_1 \\
& + c_0(t_{i_1 \dots i_k} b + m_{i_1 \dots i_k})\sigma^{k+1}(r_e) \\
& + c_1(t_{i_1 \dots i_k} b + m_{i_1 \dots i_k})(1 - \sigma^{k+1}(a_e)) \\
& + r_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k} - m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k+1}(r_e) \\
= & -c_1(t_{i_1 \dots i_k} b + m_{i_1 \dots i_k})\sigma^{k+1}(a_e) \\
& - a_e(a t_{i_1 \dots i_k} - m_{i_1 \dots i_k})b_1 + r_e(a t_{i_1 \dots i_k} - m_{i_1 \dots i_k})b_0 \\
& + c_0(t_{i_1 \dots i_k} b + m_{i_1 \dots i_k})\sigma^{k+1}(r_e) \\
& + r_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k} - m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k+1}(r_e) \\
= & -c_1 t_{i_1 \dots i_k} b \sigma^{k+1}(a_e) - a_e a t_{i_1 \dots i_k} b_1 \\
& + r_e a t_{i_1 \dots i_k} b_0 + c_0 t_{i_1 \dots i_k} b \sigma^{k+1}(r_e) - c_1 m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k+1}(a_e) \\
& + a_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k} b_1 - r_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k} b_0 + c_0 m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k+1}(r_e) \\
& + r_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k} - m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k+1}(r_e) \\
= & (1 - a_e)t_{i_1 \dots i_k} b_0 + c_0 t_{i_1 \dots i_k} [1 - \sigma^{k+1}(a_e)] - r_e a_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k+1}(a_e) \\
& + a_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k+1}(a_e) \sigma^{k+1}(r_e) - r_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k+1}(a_e) \\
& + a_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k+1}(r_e) + r_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k} - m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k+1}(r_e) \quad (\text{by 13, 14, 21, 22}) \\
= & t_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k+1}(a_e) + a_e t_{i_1 \dots i_k} - 2a_e t_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k+1}(a_e) - r_e a_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k} + a_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k+1}(r_e) \\
& - r_e a_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k} + a_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k+1}(r_e) \\
& + r_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k} - m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k+1}(r_e) \quad (\text{by Step 1}) \\
= & t_{i_1 \dots i_k} - 2a_e t_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k+1}(a_e) - 2r_e a_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k} + 2a_e m_{i_1 \dots i_k} \sigma^{k+1}(r_e) \quad (\text{by step 2}) \\
= & t_{i_1 \dots i_k}, \quad (\text{by step 3})
\end{aligned}$$

verifying step 5. Thus, by step 4 and step 5,  $a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{k+1}}$  and  $u_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{k+1}}$  satisfy 4, 5 and 6. Now the proof is complete by the induction principle.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *If  $R$  is a strongly  $\pi$ -regular ring, then  $R[M; \sigma]$  is a strongly clean ring.*

**Corollary 2.4.** *If  $R$  is a strongly  $\pi$ -regular ring with an endomorphism  $\sigma$ , then the rings  $S(R, n, \sigma)$ ,  $A(R, n, \sigma)$ ,  $B(R, n, \sigma)$  and  $T(R, n, \sigma)$  are strongly clean.*

**Corollary 2.5.** *If  $R$  is a strongly  $\pi$ -regular ring with an endomorphism  $\sigma$ , then the ring  $R[x; \sigma]/(x^n)$  is a strongly clean ring.*

**Remark 2.6.** *By [5], a ring  $R$  is said to satisfy the condition (\*) if for each  $a \in R$ , either  $a$  or  $1 - a$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular. By [5, Remark 2.5], there exists a ring  $R$  which is not strongly  $\pi$ -regular, but it satisfies (\*).*

**Example 2.7.** *The condition (\*) is sufficient for  $R[M; \sigma]$  to be strongly clean, but it is not necessary. Let  $R = T(2, \mathbb{Z}_{(2)})$  and let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \in R$ . It is easy to see that neither  $A$  nor  $I - A$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular. But*

$$R[M, \sigma] \simeq \frac{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[X]}{(X^2)}[M; \sigma]$$

*is strongly clean. Because  $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$  is local, by [12],  $R$  is also a local ring. Thus by [8],  $R[M; \sigma]$  is a local ring.*

## References

- [1] P. Ara, *Strongly  $\pi$ -regular rings have stable range one*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 124(11) (1996), 3293–3298.
- [2] G. Azumaya, *Strongly  $\pi$ -regular rings*, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. I, 13 (1954), 34–39.
- [3] G. F. Birkenmeier, J.-Y. Kim and J. K. Park, *A connection between weak regularity and the simplicity of prime factor rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 122(1) (1994), 53–58.
- [4] W. D. Burgess and P. Menal, *On strongly  $\pi$ -regular rings and homomorphisms into them*, Comm. Algebra, 16(8) (1988), 1701–1725.
- [5] J. Chen and Y. Zhou, *Strongly clean power series rings*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc., 50(1) (2007), 73–85.
- [6] J. Chen, X. Yang and Y. Zhou, *On strongly clean matrix and triangular matrix rings*, Comm. Algebra, 34(10) (2006), 3659–3674.
- [7] F. Dischinger, *Sur les anneaux fortement  $\pi$ -réguliers*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. A-B, 283(8) (1976), 571–573.

- [8] M. Habibi and A. Moussavi, *Annihilator properties of skew monoid rings*, Comm. Algebra, 42(2) (2014), 842–852.
- [9] Y. Hirano, *Some studies on strongly  $\pi$ -regular rings*, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 20(2) (1978), 141–149.
- [10] I. Kaplansky, *Topological representations of algebras II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 68 (1950), 62–75.
- [11] T.-K. Lee and Y. Zhou, *Armendariz and reduced rings*, Comm. Algebra, 32(6) (2004), 2287–2299.
- [12] A. R. Nasr-Isfahani and A. Moussavi, *On a quotient of polynomial rings*, Comm. Algebra, 38(2) (2010), 567–575.
- [13] W. K. Nicholson, *Lifting idempotents and exchange rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 229 (1977), 269–278.
- [14] W. K. Nicholson, *Strongly clean rings and Fitting's lemma*, Comm. Algebra, 27(8) (1999), 3583–3592.
- [15] L. H. Rowen, *Finitely presented modules over semiperfect rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 97(1) (1986), 1–7.
- [16] L. H. Rowen, *Examples of semiperfect rings*, Israel J. Math., 65(3) (1989), 273–283.
- [17] Z. Wang and J. Chen, *On two open problems about strongly clean rings*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 70(2) (2004), 279–282.
- [18] R. B. Warfield, Jr., *Exchange rings and decompositions of modules*, Math. Ann., 199 (1972), 31–36.

**A. Karimi Mansoub** (Corresponding Author) and **A. Moussavi**

Department of Pure Mathematics

Faculty of Mathematical Sciences

Tarbiat Modares University

P.O. Box 14115-134, Tehran, Iran

e-mails: arezoukarimimansoub@gmail.com (A. K. Mansoub)

moussavi.a@modares.ac.ir (A. Moussavi)

**M. Habibi**

Department of Mathematics

Tafresh University

P.O. Box 39518-79611, Tafresh, Iran

e-mail: mhabibi@tafreshu.ac.ir