

## A RESULT ON THE INCOMPARABILITY OF LINKED PRIME IDEALS

Karl A. Kosler

Received: 16 June 2017; Revised: 05 February 2018; Accepted: 09 March 2018  
Communicated by Abdullah Harmancı

*Dedicated to the memory of Professor John Clark*

**ABSTRACT.** It is shown that linked prime ideals in certain fully semiprimary Noetherian ring are incomparable.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 16D20, 16D25, 16D80, 16P40

**Keywords:** Fully semiprimary, linked prime ideal, Noetherian bimodule

### 1. Introduction

If  $P$  and  $Q$  are prime ideals of a two-sided Noetherian ring  $R$ , then there is a *link* from  $Q$  to  $P$ , denoted  $Q \rightsquigarrow P$ , provided there exists an ideal  $A$  such that  $QP \subseteq A \subseteq Q \cap P$  and  $(Q \cap P)/A$  is torsion-free as a left  $R/Q$ -module and as a right  $R/P$ -module. A long-standing conjecture in noncommutative ring theory is that no link can exist when  $P$  and  $Q$  are comparable. From [3, Theorem 1.8] this is true if  $R$  satisfies the second layer condition. More recently, Vyas in [8] showed that this is true if  $R$  is a Noetherian ring with global dimension 1. In this note, we use a result of Vyas' from [8] to prove that this result holds if  $R$  is a fully semiprimary Noetherian ring lacking certain pairs of annihilator primes. Some examples are given to illustrate that the second layer condition still fails in this case.

A Noetherian bimodule  ${}_S B_R$  is called *right fully semiprimary* or *right FSN* provided every subbimodule  $C$  has a right primary decomposition i.e. there are subbimodules  $C_i$  of  $B$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , such that  $C = C_1 \cap \dots \cap C_n$  and each  $(B/C_i)_R$  has a unique associated prime ideal. The left-hand versions of these terms are similarly defined if  $S$  is a two-sided Noetherian ring. As usual, terms unmodified by 'left' or 'right' are meant to hold on both sides. Thus, 'FSN' means right and left FSN, and  $R$  is (right) FSN if the bimodule  ${}_R R_R$  is (right) FSN.

By [4, Lemma 8.3.6], a Noetherian bimodule  ${}_S B_R$  is right FSN if and only if every biuniform factor  $B/C$  has a unique right associated prime. (A bimodule is called *biuniform* if the intersection of any two nonzero subbimodules is nonzero.)

Furthermore, by [4, Theorem 8.3.9], if  $R$  is a Noetherian ring satisfying the right second layer condition, then  $R$  is right FSN. In fact, from [2, Theorem 3.4], the right second layer condition insures that every Noetherian  $S$ - $R$  bimodule is right FSN. However, the domain in [6, Example 4.3.15] is FSN but fails to satisfy the right or left second layer condition. More examples of these sort of rings appear in [7].

## 2. Notation and definitions

Throughout,  $R$  will always be a Noetherian ring. We will write  $M_R$  to indicate that  $M$  is a right  $R$ -module. Similarly,  ${}_S M$  and  ${}_S M_R$  indicate that  $M$  is a left  $S$ -module and an  $S$ - $R$  bimodule respectively. A Noetherian bimodule is a *nonzero* bimodule  ${}_S M_R$  where both  ${}_S M$  and  $M_R$  are Noetherian. We use  $N \leq M_R$  to indicate that  $N$  is a right  $R$ -submodule of  $M$ . Likewise,  $N \leq {}_S M$  ( $N \leq {}_S M_R$ ) indicates that  $N$  is a left  $S$ -submodule ( $S$ - $R$  subbimodule) of  $M$ . If  $N \leq M_R$  is essential in  $M$ , then we will write  $N \leq_e M$ .

For a nonempty subset  $X$  of a right  $R$ -module  $M$ ,  $r_R(X) = \{r \in R \mid xr = 0 \text{ for all } x \in X\}$ . If  $Y$  is a nonempty subset of  $R$ , then  $\text{ann}_M(Y) = \{m \in M \mid my = 0 \text{ for all } y \in Y\}$ . In case  $X$  is a nonempty subset of a left  $R$ -module, then  $l_R(X) = \{r \in R \mid rx = 0 \text{ for all } x \in X\}$ .

See [1], [2] and [4] for the definition of the second layer condition and its role in the structure of Noetherian rings.

## 3. Preliminaries

In this section, we collect the results that we need to prove the main result of the paper.

A Noetherian bimodule  ${}_S C_R$  is called a *right cell* provided  $r_R(C)$  is a prime ideal,  $C$  is torsion-free as a right  $R/r_R(C)$ -module and for all  $0 \neq C' \leq {}_S C_R$ ,  $C/C'$  is torsion over  $R/r_R(C)$ . A *left cell* is defined likewise and, of course, *cell* means right and left cell.

The next result follows from [2, Proposition 2.1] and its proof.

**Proposition 3.1.** (1) *Every Noetherian bimodule contains a (right) cell.*

(2) *If  ${}_S B_R$  is a biuniform Noetherian bimodule, then the sum of all the (right) cells in  $B$  is the unique largest (right) cell in  $B$ .*

**Definition 3.2.** [2, page 383]. A biuniform bimodule  ${}_S B_R$  is called *right uneven* provided there exists a cell  $C \subset B$  such that  $B/C$  is a cell and the following statements are true:

- (1)  $r_R(B/C) \subset r_R(C)$ .
- (2)  $C \subset \text{ann}_B(Q)$  where  $Q = r_R(B/C)$ .

In this situation, it is easy to see that  $C$  is the unique largest cell in  $B$ .

The first two statements in the next result are parts of [2, Proposition 2.2] and [2, Proposition 2.4] respectively. The third statement is a slight restatement of [2, Theorem 3.2]. The last statement is contained in the proof of [5, Proposition 3.1].

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  ${}_S B_R$  be a biuniform Noetherian bimodule with unique largest cell  $C$  such that  $B/C$  is a cell. Set  $P = r_R(C)$  and  $Q = r_R(B/C)$ .*

- (1)  *$B$  is right uneven if and only if  $P \neq Q$  and  $C$  is not an essential submodule of  $B_R$ .*
- (2) *If  $C \leq_e B_R$ , then  $C = \text{ann}_B(P)$ .*
- (3)  *$B$  is right FSN iff no subfactor bimodule of  $B$  is right uneven.*
- (4) *If  $P$  is a maximal associated prime ideal of  $B_R$  and  $\text{ann}_B(P) \leq_e B_R$ , then any associated prime ideal of  $B/\text{ann}_B(P)$  is linked to  $P$ .*

If  $R$  is a Noetherian prime ring, then the torsion submodule of a right  $R$ -module  $M$  is denoted by  $T(M_R)$  and similarly for left modules. If  $M$  is an  $S$ - $R$  bimodule, then  $T(M_R)$  is a subbimodule of  $M$ . When  $M$  is a Noetherian  $S$ - $R$  bimodule, then  $T(M_R)$  is annihilated by a regular element of  $R$  cf. [2, Lemma 1.1].

The next result is the special case of [8, Corollary 3.8] for a Noetherian ring  $R$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $Q$  be a prime ideal of a Noetherian ring  $R$ . No prime ideal  $P \supset Q$  has a link  $P \rightsquigarrow Q$  iff  $T({}_{R/Q}(Q/Q^2)) \subseteq T((Q/Q^2)_{R/Q})$ .*

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring and let  $Q$  be a prime ideal. Then no prime ideal  $P \supset Q$  has  $P \rightsquigarrow Q$  or  $Q \rightsquigarrow P$  iff  $T({}_{R/Q}(Q/Q^2)) = T((Q/Q^2)_{R/Q})$ .*

**Proof.** Note that  $Q \rightsquigarrow P$  if and only if  $P \rightsquigarrow Q$  in  $R^{op}$ , the opposite ring of  $R$ . It then follows from Proposition 3.4 that no  $P \supset Q$  has  $Q \rightsquigarrow P$  if and only if  $T((Q/Q^2)_{R/Q}) \subseteq T({}_{R/Q}(Q/Q^2))$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Incomparability and $(\dagger)$

Let  $R$  and  $S$  be Noetherian rings. A biuniform Noetherian bimodule  ${}_S B_R$  is said to satisfy  $(\dagger)_r$  provided there exists a cell  $C \subset B$  such that  $B/C$  is a cell and

$r_R(B/C) \subset r_R(C)$ . We say that a Noetherian bimodule  ${}_S B_R$  satisfies  $(\dagger)_r$  provided no biuniform subfactor bimodule of  $B$  satisfies  $(\ddagger)_r$ . The left-hand versions  $(\ddagger)_l$  and  $(\dagger)_l$  are defined similarly. Finally,  $B$  satisfies  $(\dagger)$  (resp.  $(\ddagger)$ ) provided it satisfies both  $(\dagger)_r$  and  $(\dagger)_l$  (resp.  $(\ddagger)_r$  and  $(\ddagger)_l$ ). A ring  $R$  satisfies any of these conditions provided the same is true of the bimodule  ${}_R R_R$ .

By Proposition 3.3(3), if  $B$  satisfies  $(\dagger)$ , then  $B$  is FSN. As mentioned earlier, the domain  $R$  in [6, Example 4.3.15] is an FSN ring that does not satisfy the right or left second layer condition. Since the only proper ideals of  $R$  are 0 and a single nonzero prime ideal,  $R$  satisfies  $(\dagger)$ . For the domain  $R$  constructed in [7], if  $C \subset B$  are ideals where both  $C$  and  $B/C$  are cells, then  $(\ddagger)$  fails for  $B$  because the right and left annihilator of  $C$  is 0.  $(\ddagger)$  fails if  $B$  and  $C$  are ideals of  $R/A$ , where  $A$  is an ideal containing  $P_0$  the unique minimal (prime) ideal of  $R$ , since in this case,  $R/A$  is a commutative ring (see Proposition 4.1 below). Thus,  $R$  satisfies  $(\dagger)$ .

We do not know of an example of an FSN ring that does not satisfies  $(\dagger)$ . However, as a trivial consequence of the next result, a ring satisfying the second layer condition satisfies  $(\dagger)$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $R$  and  $S$  be Noetherian rings that satisfy the second layer condition. Then every Noetherian bimodule  ${}_S B_R$  satisfies  $(\dagger)$ .*

**Proof.** It suffices to show that no biuniform Noetherian bimodule satisfies  $(\ddagger)_r$ . Suppose, then, that there exists a biuniform Noetherian bimodule  ${}_S B_R$  with a cell  $C \subset B$  such that  $B/C$  is a cell and  $Q = r_R(B/C) \subset r_R(C) = P$ . By [2, Theorem 3.4], the second layer condition implies that  $B$  is right FSN. By Proposition 3.3(3),  $B$  is not right uneven, and so by Proposition 3.3(1),  $C \leq_e B_R$ . Thus, by Proposition 3.3(2),  $C = \text{ann}_B(P)$ . Since  $C$  is both torsion-free as a right  $R/P$ -module and essential in  $B_R$ ,  $P$  is a maximal associated prime ideal of  $B$ . It follows from Proposition 3.3(4) that  $Q \rightsquigarrow P$  contradicting the incomparability of linked prime ideals of  $R$  from [3, Theorem 1.8]. The corresponding left-hand result follows by symmetry.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** *If  $R$  is a Noetherian ring that satisfies  $(\dagger)$ , then  $R$  is an FSN ring where no two distinct comparable prime ideals are linked.*

**Proof.** Since  $R$  satisfies  $(\dagger)$ , the same is true of all factor rings of  $R$ . Thus, we can proceed by Noetherian induction: Assume that the result fails for  $R$  but holds true for all proper factors of  $R$ . Let  $Q$  and  $P$  be the prime ideals of  $R$  where  $Q \rightsquigarrow P$  and  $P \supset Q$ . From the definition of link, there is an ideal  $A$  with  $QP \subseteq A \subseteq Q \cap P$  such that  $(Q \cap P)/A$  is a torsion-free as a left  $R/Q$ -module and as a right  $R/P$ -module.

It follows that  $Q/A \rightsquigarrow P/A$  which is contrary to the induction hypothesis if  $A \neq 0$ . Thus,  $Q^2 = QP = A = 0$ ,  ${}_{R/Q}Q$  is torsion-free and  $Q_{R/P}$  is torsion-free. Note that since  $P \supset Q$ ,  $Q$  is torsion as a *right*  $R/Q$ -module. Also, since  $Q \subseteq l_R(Q)$  and  ${}_{R/Q}Q$  is torsion-free,  $Q = l_R(Q)$ . Similarly,  $P = r_R(Q)$ .

Let  $0 \neq X \subset Q$  be an ideal. As a right  $R/Q$ -module,  $Q/X$  is torsion. Also,  $(Q/X)^2 = 0$ . Then by the induction hypothesis together with Corollary 3.5,  $T({}_{R/Q}(Q/X)) = T((Q/X)_{R/Q}) = Q/X$  whence  $Q$  is a left cell. In particular,  $X \leq_e {}_{R/Q}Q$ . Since  ${}_{R/Q}(Q/X)$  is torsion, there exists a regular element  $c + Q$  of  $R/Q$  with  $cQ \subset X$ . Define a right  $R$ -homomorphism  $\phi : Q \rightarrow X$  via  $\phi(r) = cr$ . Since  ${}_{R/Q}Q$  is torsion-free,  $\phi$  is a monomorphism and so the right  $R$ -modules  $X$  and  $Q$  have equal uniform dimension. It follows that  $X \leq_e Q_{R/P}$ . Thus,  $Q/X$  is torsion as a right  $R/P$ -module. Therefore,  $Q$  is also a right cell.

Suppose then that  $A, B$  are ideals of  $R$  with  $A \cap B = 0$ . Then  $AB = 0$  and so one of  $A$  or  $B$  is contained in  $Q$ . If  $A \subseteq Q$ , then since  $A \leq_e {}_{R/Q}Q$ ,  $Q \cap B = 0$ . Thus,  $BQ = 0$  forcing  $B \subseteq l_R(Q) = Q$  which is impossible. Therefore,  $R$  is biuniform. In particular,  $P$  is biuniform.

Consider the bimodules  $Q \subset P$ . From above,  $Q$  is a cell. Trivially,  $P/Q$  is cell. Finally,  $r_R(P/Q) = Q \subset r_R(Q) = P$ . Thus,  $P$  is an  $R$ - $R$  bimodule that satisfies  $(\ddagger)_r$  contradicting the standing hypothesis.

If  $P \rightsquigarrow Q$  where  $P \supset Q$ , then the symmetric argument yields a contradiction to  $(\ddagger)_l$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to thank the referee for the insightful reviews of two earlier drafts of this paper.

## References

- [1] K. R. Goodearl and R. B. Warfield, Jr., *An Introduction to Noncommutative Noetherian Rings*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 16, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [2] A. V. Jategaonkar, *Noetherian bimodules, primary decomposition and Jacobson's conjecture*, J. Algebra, 71(2) (1981), 379-400.
- [3] A. V. Jategaonkar, *Solvable Lie algebras, polycyclic-by-finite groups, and bi-module Krull dimension*, Comm. Algebra, 10(1) (1982), 19-69.
- [4] A. V. Jategaonkar, *Localization in Noetherian Rings*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 98, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [5] K. A. Kosler, *On symmetric radicals over fully semiprimary Noetherian rings*, J. Algebra Appl., 2(3) (2003), 351-364.

- [6] J. C. McConnell and J. C. Robson, *Noncommutative Noetherian Rings*, Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York), A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1987.
- [7] T. Stafford, *On the ideals of a Noetherian ring*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 289(1) (1985), 381-392.
- [8] R. Vyas, *A homological reformulation of the link condition*, *J. Algebra*, 394 (2013), 223-244.

**Karl A. Kosler**

Department of Mathematics  
University of Wisconsin-Waukesha  
1500 University Drive, Waukesha  
Wisconsin 53188-2799  
e-mail: karl.kosler@uwc.edu