

FINITE GROUP WHOSE MAXIMAL SUBGROUPS HAVE SMALL CODEGREE SUMS

Shitian Liu

Received: 24 October 2025; Revised: 8 April 2026; Accepted: 11 April 2026

Communicated by Mark L. Lewis

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to give the structure of finite groups whose maximal subgroups H satisfy $T^c(H) < 24$ where $T^c(K)$ is the sum of codegrees of a group K .

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 20C15, 20D06

Keywords: Simple group, maximal subgroup, character codegree, solvable group

1. Introduction

All groups in this note are finite. Let $\text{Irr}(G)$ be the set of all complex irreducible characters of a group G and let $\text{cd}(G) = \{\chi(1) : \chi \in \text{Irr}(G)\}$ be the set of character degrees for a group G . The codegree of a character $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ is defined as

$$\chi^c(1) = |G : \ker \chi| / \chi(1)$$

and denote by

$$\text{cd}_c(G) = \{\chi^c(1) : \chi \in \text{Irr}(G)\}$$

be the character codegree set of a group G (see [12]). Liu and Shang in [11] have determined the groups by using the maximal codegree of a group. Wang et al. [14] have defined average codegree of a group G as

$$\text{acod}(G) = \frac{1}{|\text{Irr}(G)|} \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \chi^c(1)$$

and proved that if G is non-solvable, then $\text{acod}(G) \geq 68/5$ and that if G is non-supersolvable, then $\text{acod}(G) \geq 11/4$. Aziziheris and Eivazzadeh in [1] have shown that for a nonsolvable group G with

$$T^c(G) = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \chi^c(1)$$

which is the sum of irreducible character codegrees of a group G . Lewis and Yan

The project was supported by NSF of China (Grant No: 11871360), by the Research Center of Mathematics and Finance (Grant Nos: SCMF202504, SCMF202509 and SCMF202514), by the Teaching Reform of Sichuan University of Arts and Science (Grant No: 2023JG048T) and by the Science and Technology project of Guangxi (Guike AD21220114) (Grant No: CAMG2022A04).

in [8] have shown that if G is a nonsolvable group, then $T^c(G) \geq 68$, with equality if and only if $G \cong A_5$.

In this paper, the following problem is considered.

Problem: What occurs to the group structure if its maximal subgroups H satisfy $T^c(H) < 24$.

We call a group G a **T^c -group** if for each maximal subgroup M of G , $T^c(M) < 24$.

Let $A \circ B$ denote the central product of two groups A and B and let E_r^n or E_{r^n} be an elementary abelian r -group of order r^n . We will prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a T^c -group. Then G is isomorphic to $\text{PSL}_2(q)$ with $q = 5, 7$ or is solvable, in particular, G is isomorphic to one of the following:*

- (1) E_2^k with $k \leq 4$, C_4 , D_8 , Q_8 , $M_2(2, 1, 1)$, D_{16} , SD_{16} , Q_{16} , $C_2 \times D_8$, $C_2 \times Q_8$, $D_8 \circ C_4 \cong Q_8 \circ C_4$,
- (2) a p -group of order at most p^2 , $p = 3, 5$,
- (3) $C_p \times C_q$ with $p, q \in \{2, 3, 5\}$ and $p \neq q$,
- (4) D_{2p} with $p \in \{3, 5\}$, $C_2 \times S_3$, A_4 , $E_{2^3} : C_3$, $A_4 \times C_2$, $\text{SL}_2(3)$, S_4 or $C_5 : C_4$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some elementary results are given, for example, $T^c(D_{2n})$ and $T^c(E_q : C_p)$ where $E_q : C_p$ is a Frobenius group; in Section 3, we first show the structure of non-solvable T^c -groups and then, that of solvable T^c -groups. The notion and symbols are standard; see [3] and [5].

2. Some needed results

In this section, some basic results are given, for instance $T^c(D_{2n})$ where D_{2n} is a dihedral group of order $2n$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let p be a prime and let $G = E_q : C_p$ be a Frobenius group with kernel E_q and complement C_p , respectively. Then $T^c(G) = 1 + (p-1)p + \frac{(q-1)q}{p}$.*

Proof. We see that $G' = E_q$ and C_p acts fixed-point-freely on E_q , so $\text{cd}(E_q : C_p) = \{1, p\}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} T^c(E_q : C_p) &= 1 + (|G/G'| - 1) \cdot \frac{|G : E_q|}{1} + \frac{|E_q| - 1}{|C_p|} \cdot \frac{|G : 1|}{p} \\ &= 1 + (p-1)p + \frac{(q-1)q}{p}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

Remark 2.2. Note that, if p is not a prime, Proposition 2.1 is not true, for instance $T^c(E_7 : C_6) = 28$ by GAP [2].

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a finite group with $T^c(G) < 24$. Then G is solvable.*

Proof. Assume that the result is not true with minimal order $|G|$, then G is non-solvable but its maximal subgroups are solvable. Thus we can assume that G is a minimal non-abelian simple group and so, for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) \setminus \{1_G\}$, $\ker \chi = \{1\}$, that is, every non-principal irreducible character of G is faithful. Now simplicity of G shows

$$\begin{aligned}
 T^c(G) &= 1 + \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) \setminus \{1_G\}} \chi^c(1) \\
 &= 1 + \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) \setminus \{1_G\}} \frac{|G : \ker \chi|}{\chi(1)} \\
 &= 1 + \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) \setminus \{1_G\}} \frac{|G|}{\chi(1)} \\
 &\geq 1 + |G| \frac{k}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=2}^k x_i^2}{k}}} \quad (\text{let } k = |\text{Irr}(G) - 1_G|) \\
 &= 1 + |G| \frac{k\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{|G| - 1}} \\
 &\geq 1 + \frac{8|G|}{\sqrt{|G| - 1}}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality is obtained by using $\frac{n}{\sum_i \frac{1}{x_i}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{n}}$ and the last inequality is obtained because the minimal order non-abelian simple group is A_5 that has 5 conjugacy classes. We have by hypothesis that

$$24 > 1 + \frac{8|G|}{\sqrt{|G| - 1}} \geq \frac{8(\sqrt{|G| - 1})^2}{\sqrt{|G| - 1}} = 8\sqrt{|G| - 1},$$

so $|G| \leq 10$, a contradiction as a nonsolvable group has order at least 60.

Thus the minimal contra-example does not exist, so G is solvable. $\square$

Note that Lemma 2.3 also can be obtained from [8, Theorem 1.1] which says: a nonsolvable G satisfies $T^c(G) \geq 68$ with equality if and only if $G \cong \text{PSL}_2(5)$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $G = D_{2n}$ be a dihedral group of order $2n$. Assume that the p_i 's, $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$, are odd different primes and the m_i 's, $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$, and r are non-negative integers, then*

$$T^c(G) = \begin{cases} \frac{5}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=1}^s (p_i \frac{p_i^{2m_i} - 1}{p_i + 1} + 1), & \text{if } n = p_1^{m_1} p_2^{m_2} \cdots p_s^{m_s}, \\ \frac{7}{2} + \left(\frac{2^{2r} - 1}{3} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \prod_{i=1}^s (p_i \frac{p_i^{2m_i} - 1}{p_i + 1} + 1), & \text{if } n = 2^r p_1^{m_1} \cdots p_s^{m_s}. \end{cases}$$

in particular, $T^c(G) \geq T^c(D_{2p}) = \frac{5}{2} + \frac{1}{2}[p(p-1) + 1]$ with $p \geq 3$ a prime.

Table 1: Character table of D_{2n} with n odd [6, p. 182]

g_i	1	$a^r (1 \leq r \leq (n-1)/2)$	b
$ C_{D_{2n}}(g_i) $	$2n$	n	2
χ_1	1	1	1
χ_2	1	1	-1
ψ_j	2	$\varepsilon^{jr} + \varepsilon^{-jr}$	0
$(1 \leq j \leq (n-1)/2)$			

In Table 1, $\varepsilon = e^{2\pi i/n}$.

Proof. In light of n , we consider three cases as follows.

(1) Let $n = p^s$ with $p \geq 3$ and $s \geq 1$. By Table 1, $|\ker \chi_1| = |G|$, $|\ker \chi_2| = 1 + 2 \cdot \frac{n-1}{2} = n$. Note that the number of integers from the interval $[1, (p^s - 1)/2]$ that are divisible by p^k , $0 \leq k \leq s$, is equal to $\frac{p^{s-k} - 1}{2}$, so the number of integers j such that $(p^s, j) = p^k$ and $1 \leq j \leq (p^s - 1)/2$ is $\frac{p^{s-k} - p^{s-k-1}}{2}$, and if $(p^s, j) = p^k$, then

$$|\ker \psi_j| = 1 + 2 \frac{p^{s-(s-k)} - 1}{2} = p^k = (p^s, j).$$

Hence we have

$$T^c(G) = 1 + 2 + \sum_{j=1}^{(p^s-1)/2} \frac{2p^s}{2(p^s, j)} = 3 + \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \frac{p^s}{p^k} \frac{p^{s-k} - p^{s-k-1}}{2} = 3 + p \frac{p^{2s} - 1}{2(p+1)}.$$

(2) Let n be an odd number and k be a divisor of n . The number of $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ dividing k with $1 \leq j \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$ is $\frac{\frac{n}{k}-1}{2}$. Assume that $(j, n) = k$. Then the number of integers $r \in [1, \frac{n-1}{2}]$ with $n \mid jr$ is equal to $\frac{k-1}{2}$. So by Table 1, $|\ker \chi_1| = |G|$, $|\ker \chi_2| = n$ and $|\ker \psi_j| = 1 + 2 \cdot \frac{k-1}{2} = k = (n, j)$. This implies that

$$T^c(G) = 1 + 2 + \sum_{j=1}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{2n}{2(n, j)} = 3 + \sum_{j=1}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{n}{(n, j)} = \frac{5}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{n}{(n, j)}.$$

Say $n = p_1^{m_1} p_2^{m_2} \cdots p_s^{m_s}$, where the p_i 's are odd different primes and the m_i 's are positive integers. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T^c(G) &= \frac{5}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{n}{(n, j)} \\ &= \frac{5}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1^{m_1}-1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{p_2^{m_2}-1} \cdots \sum_{j_s=0}^{p_s^{m_s}-1} \frac{p_1^{m_1}}{(p_1^{m_1}, j_1)} \frac{p_2^{m_2}}{(p_2^{m_2}, j_2)} \cdots \frac{p_s^{m_s}}{(p_s^{m_s}, j_s)} \\ &= \frac{5}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=1}^s (p_i \frac{p_i^{2m_i} - 1}{p_i + 1} + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Table 2: Character table of D_{2n} , $n = 2m$ [6, p. 183]

g_i	1	a^m	$a^r (1 \leq r \leq m-1)$	b	ab
$ C_{D_{2n}}(g_i) $	$2n$	$2n$	n	4	4
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	1	1	1	-1	-1
χ_3	1	$(-1)^m$	$(-1)^r$	1	-1
χ_4	1	$(-1)^m$	$(-1)^r$	-1	1
ψ_j	2	$2(-1)^j$	$\varepsilon^{jr} + \varepsilon^{-jr}$	0	0
$(1 \leq j \leq m-1)$					

In Table 2, $\varepsilon = e^{2\pi i/n}$.

(3) Let n be an even number and write $n = 2m$. By Table 2, $|\ker \chi_1| = 2n$, $|\ker \chi_2| = 1 + 1 + (m-1) \cdot 2 = 2m = n$. In view of m , the kernels of χ_3 and χ_4 are determined by both m and r .

If m is odd, then the number of the even integers from the interval $[1, m-1]$ equals to $(m-1)/2$, and so, $|\ker \chi_3| = 1 + \frac{(m-1)}{2} \cdot 2 + m = 2m = n = |\ker \chi_4|$. If m is even, then similarly, $|\ker \chi_3| = 1 + 1 + [(m-1)/2] \cdot 2 + m = 2m = n = |\ker \chi_4|$. This implies that $|\ker \chi_3| = |\ker \chi_4| = n$.

Now we will do with the $\ker \psi_j$ for $j \in [1, m-1]$. Let k be a divisor of $2m$. Then for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$, the number of integers $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ divisible by k is equal to $\frac{m}{k} - 1$ if $k \mid m$ and $\frac{m}{k} - \frac{1}{2}$ if $k \nmid m$. Let $(j, 2m) = k$. If $2 \mid k$, then there are $\frac{k}{2} - 1$ r 's with $r \in [1, m-1]$ and $2m \mid jr$. If $2 \nmid k$, then there are $\frac{k-1}{2}$ r 's with $r \in [1, m-1]$ and $2m \mid jr$. Hence if $2 \mid (2m, j) = k$, then

$$|\ker \psi_j| = 1 + 1 + 2(\frac{k}{2} - 1) = k = (2m, j).$$

If $2 \nmid (2m, j) = k$, then

$$|\ker \psi_j| = 1 + 2\frac{k-1}{2} = k = (2m, j).$$

Therefore,

$$|\ker \psi_j| = (2m, j),$$

and

$$T^c(G) = 1 + \frac{2n}{n} + 2\frac{2n}{n} + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{4m}{2(2m, j)} = 5 + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{2m}{(2m, j)} = \frac{7}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{n}{(n, j)}.$$

Let $n = 2^r p_1^{m_1} \cdots p_s^{m_s}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T^c(G) &= \frac{7}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{n}{(n, j)} \\ &= \frac{7}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j_0=0}^{2^r-1} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1^{m_1}-1} \cdots \sum_{j_s=0}^{p_s^{m_s}-1} \frac{2^r}{(2^r, j_0)} \frac{p_1^{m_1}}{(p_1^{m_1}, j_1)} \cdots \frac{p_s^{m_s}}{(p_s^{m_s}, j_s)} \\ &= \frac{7}{2} + \left(\frac{2^{2r}-1}{3} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \prod_{i=1}^s \left(p_i \frac{p_i^{2m_i}-1}{p_i+1} + 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

The following result will be used frequently.

Lemma 2.5. *Let A and B be groups. Then the following hold:*

- (1) $T^c(A) \leq T^c(A \times B)$ with equality if and only if $B = 1$ and $T^c(A \times B) \leq T^c(A)T^c(B)$ with equality if and only if $(|A|, |B|) = 1$.
- (2) If A is normal in B , then $T^c(B/A) \leq T^c(B)$.

Proof. (1) As for each $\chi \in \text{Irr}(A)$, χ can be viewed as $\chi \times 1_B$ where 1_G is the principal character of a group G , so $\chi \times 1_B \in \text{Irr}(A \times B)$. Now the first inequality is gotten and the equality is obtained if $B = 1$. The second inequality is obtained by [9].

(2) It follows from [5, Lemma 2.22], that a character of B/A can be viewed as a character of B , so we get the desired result. $\square$

Remark 2.6. Note in Lemma 2.5, that for a normal subgroup N of a group G , $T^c(N)$ possibly is not less than $T^c(G)$, for instance, by Lemma 2.1, $T^c(E_7 : C_3) = 21$, and $T^c(E_7) = 1 + (7-1) \cdot 7 = 43$, so $T^c(E_7) \not\leq T^c(E_7 : C_3)$, a contradiction.

Lemma 2.7. [9, Lemma 2.4] *Let $G = C_m$ be a cyclic group of order m with $m = p_1^{s_1} p_2^{s_2} \cdots p_t^{s_t}$, $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_t$ and $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_t$ positive integers, then*

$$T^c(G) = \prod_{i=1}^t \frac{p_i^{2s_i+1}+1}{p_i+1}.$$

3. The proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we mainly give the proof of Theorem 1.1. First the structure of non-solvable T^c -groups is given and then that of solvable T^c -groups is determined.

Let $\max G$ be the set of maximal subgroups of a group G subject to the order divisibility.

3.1. Nonsolvable T^c -groups. We deal with nonsolvable T^c -groups by the following two cases: a non-abelian simple group, and a nonsolvable T^c -group.

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a nonabelian simple T^c -group. Then G is isomorphic to $\text{PSL}_2(5)$ or $\text{PSL}_2(7)$.*

Proof. Let $H < G$ be any maximal subgroup of G . Then from Lemma 2.3, H is solvable, and so, G itself is a non-abelian simple group but its subgroups are solvable. Now by [13], the possibilities for G are $\text{PSL}_2(2^p)$ for p a prime; $\text{PSL}_2(3^p)$ for p an odd prime; $\text{PSL}_2(p)$, for p any prime exceeding 3 such that $p^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$; $\text{Sz}(2^p)$ for p an odd prime; $\text{PSL}_3(3)$. Thus the following cases will be done with.

Case 1: $\text{PSL}_2(q)$.

Table 3. $\text{PSL}_2(q)$, $q \geq 5$ [7, p. 191]

	$\max(\text{PSL}_2(q))$	Condition
$\mathcal{C}_1$	$E_q : C_{(q-1)/k}$	$k = \gcd(q-1, 2)$
$\mathcal{C}_2$	$D_{2(q-1)/k}$	$q \notin \{5, 7, 9, 11\}$
$\mathcal{C}_3$	$D_{2(q+1)/k}$	$q \notin \{7, 9\}$
$\mathcal{C}_5$	$\text{PSL}_2(q_0).(k, b)$	$q = q_0^b$, b a prime, $q_0 \neq 2$
$\mathcal{C}_6$	S_4	$q = p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$
	A_4	$q = p \equiv 3, 5, 13, 27, 37 \pmod{40}$
$\mathcal{S}$	A_5	$q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{10}$, $F_q = F_p[\sqrt{5}]$

Let $q = p^m$ with p a prime. Then Table 3 shows $D_{2n} \in \max \text{PSL}_2(q)$ for some integer $n \geq 3$. By Proposition 2.4 and hypothesis,

$$24 > T^c(D_{2n}) \geq \frac{5}{2} + \frac{1}{2}[p(p-1) + 1],$$

and so $p \leq 5$. Thus we have that either $2^m - 1 \leq 5$ when q is even or $\frac{p^m-1}{2} \leq 5$ when q is odd, so

$$q = 4 \text{ if } q \text{ is even or } q = 5, 7, 9, 11 \text{ if } q \text{ is odd.}$$

We know from [2], that A_5 is a maximal subgroup of $\text{PSL}_2(q)$ for $q = 9, 11$ and $T^c(A_5) = 68 \not\leq 24$, and that $E_{13} : C_6 \in \max \text{PSL}_2(13)$, and $T^c(E_{13} : C_6) = 57 > 24$, a contradiction. Now we will do $q = 5, 7$. Since $\max \text{PSL}_2(7) = \{S_4, E_7 : C_3\}$ (see [3, p. 6]), we have $T^c(S_4) = 22 < 24$ and $T^c(E_7 : C_3) = 21 < 24$. So G is isomorphic to $\text{PSL}_2(7)$. It follows from $\text{PSL}_2(5) \cong \text{PSL}_2(4)$, that $\max \text{PSL}_2(5) = \{A_4, D_{10}, S_3\}$,

and that

$$\begin{aligned} T^c(A_4) &= 1 + (3 - 1)3 + \frac{(4 - 1)4}{3} = 11, \\ T^c(D_{10}) &= 1 + (2 - 1)2 + \frac{(5 - 1)5}{2} = 13, \\ T^c(S_3) &= 6, \end{aligned}$$

and so for each maximal subgroup H of A_5 , we get $T^c(H) < 24$. Thus G is isomorphic to A_5 , the desired result.

Case 2: $Sz(2^p)$.

In this case, $D_{2(2^p-1)} \in \max Sz(2^p)$, so by Lemma 2.4, $\frac{5}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(m(m-1)+1) < 24$ and $m \leq 5$. It follows that $2^p - 1 \leq 5$, so $p = 2$, but p is odd, a contradiction.

Case 3: $PSL_3(3)$.

Then by [3, p. 13], $E_{13} : C_3 \in \max PSL_3(3)$ and so, Lemma 2.1 forces

$$T^c(E_{13} : C_3) = 1 + (3 - 1) \cdot 3 + \frac{(13-1) \cdot 13}{3} = 59 \not< 24,$$

a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a nonsolvable T^c -group and let N be the largest solvable normal subgroup of G . Then $G/N \cong PSL_2(q)$, $q = 5, 7$.*

Proof. As G is a nonsolvable T^c -group, by definition, for each maximal subgroup M of G , $T^c(M) < 24$ and so M is solvable by Lemma 2.3. Thus G is a minimal nonsolvable group. Let N be the largest solvable normal subgroup of G . Then G/N is also a T^c -group by Lemma 2.5, and so, by Lemma 3.1, $G/N \cong PSL_2(q)$ for $q = 5$ or 7 . $\square$

Theorem 3.3. *Let G be a nonsolvable T^c -group. Then G is isomorphic to $PSL_2(q)$ with $q = 5, 7$.*

Proof. If G is simple, then by Lemma 3.1, G is isomorphic to $PSL_2(q)$, $q = 5, 7$. In what follows, we assume that G is not a simple group. We see that $|\text{Out}(PSL_2(q))| = 2$ for $q \in \{5, 7\}$ where $\text{Out}(A)$ is the outer-automorphism group of a group A . Let M be a maximal subgroup of G such that $|G : M| = 2$ and M has a normal subgroup N with $M/N \cong PSL_2(q)$, $q = 5$ or 7 . By hypothesis and Lemma 2.5,

$$T^c(PSL_2(q)) < T^c(M/N) \leq T^c(M) < 24.$$

But $T^c(A_5) = 68$, $T^c(PSL_2(7)) = 186$, a contradiction. It follows that $G = M$ and G is not an almost simple group as $PSL_2(q)$ is a maximal subgroup of $PSL_2(q)$. In particular $G/N \cong PSL_2(q)$ with N solvable; see Lemma 3.2.

As G is not an almost simple group, G is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SL}_2(q)N$. If $|N|$ is odd, then $G \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(q) \times N$ since $\gcd(|Z(\mathrm{SL}_2(q))|, |N|) = 1$. Note that $|Z(\mathrm{SL}_2(q))| = 2$, so $\mathrm{SL}_2(q)$ is a subgroup of G . Obviously, there is a maximal subgroup M with $\mathrm{SL}_2(q) \times K = M$ with $|N : K|$ a prime. We see by [2], that

$$\mathrm{T}^c(\mathrm{SL}_2(q)) = \begin{cases} 228, & \text{if } q = 5, \\ 508, & \text{if } q = 7. \end{cases}$$

Then hypothesis shows $\mathrm{T}^c(\mathrm{SL}_2(q)) \leq \mathrm{T}^c(M) < 24$, a contradiction. Thus $|N| = 2^a m$ with $a > 1$ and $(m, 2) = 1$, and $G \cong \mathrm{SL}(2, q) \circ N$. Let M be a maximal subgroup of G with $\mathrm{SL}(2, q) \leq M$ and let $Z = Z(\mathrm{SL}(2, q))$. Then $M/Z \cong \mathrm{SL}(2, q)/Z \times LZ/Z$ with L a maximal subgroup of N , so by Lemma 2.5, $\mathrm{T}^c(\mathrm{SL}(2, q)/Z) < \mathrm{T}^c(M/Z) \leq \mathrm{T}^c(M) < 24$, a contradiction as $\mathrm{SL}(2, q)/Z \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$. Now we have $|N| = 2$ and so $G \cong \mathrm{SL}(2, q)$. Note that $\mathrm{SL}_2(3)$ and $C_2 \times E_7 : C_3$ are maximal subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_2(5)$ and $\mathrm{SL}_2(7)$, respectively. By [2], $\mathrm{T}^c(\mathrm{SL}_2(3)) = 47$, and $\mathrm{T}^c(C_2 \times E_7 : C_3) = 63$, a contradiction to the hypothesis.

This completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 3.4. *Let G be a T^c -group such that G has no subgroup isomorphic to S_3 . Then G is solvable.*

Proof. Assume the result is not true, then G is a nonsolvable T^c -group. Now by Theorem 3.3, G is isomorphic to $\mathrm{PSL}_2(5)$ or $\mathrm{PSL}_2(7)$. But by [3], $\mathrm{PSL}_2(5)$ has a subgroup S_3 and $\mathrm{PSL}_2(7)$ has a subgroup S_4 in which S_3 is contained, a contradiction. $\square$

Note that, if the common divisors of any two different codegrees of a group G is not divisible by 4, then G is solvable [10].

3.2. Solvable T^c -groups. In this section, we will first show the structure of nilpotent T^c -groups by using Lemma 2.5 and then give that of non-nilpotent T^c -groups by using the properties of $C_G(N)$ and finally the proof of the main theorem is shown.

Theorem 3.5. *Let G be a nilpotent T^c -group. Then one of the following holds:*

- (1) G is isomorphic to E_2^k with $k \leq 4$, C_4 , D_8 , Q_8 , $M_2(2, 1, 1)$, D_{16} , SD_{16} , Q_{16} , $C_2 \times D_8$, $C_2 \times Q_8$, $D_8 \circ C_4 \cong Q_8 \circ C_4$;
- (2) G is a group of prime order;
- (3) G is a p -group of order p^2 , $p = 3, 5$;
- (4) G is isomorphic to $C_p \times C_q$ with $p, q \in \{2, 3, 5\}$ and $p \neq q$.

Proof. Let p_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ be different prime divisors of $|G|$. Then say $G = P_1 \times P_2 \times \dots \times P_s$ where the P_i 's are Sylow p_i -subgroups of G . Let M be a maximal subgroup of G .

Case 1: $s = 1$. If $M = 1$, G is a group of prime order. Thus we assume that M is non-trivial. Let $\Phi(A)$ be the Frattini subgroup of a group A . Note that $M/\Phi(M)$ is an elementary abelian p -group of order p^k , so $T^c(M) \geq T^c(M/\Phi(M)) = p(p^k - 1) + 1 \geq p(p - 1) + 1$ as a character of $M/\Phi(M)$ can be viewed as a character of M . Hypothesis implies $p(p - 1) + 1 < 24$, so $p \leq 5$. Let $|M| = p^n$.

Let G be a **5-group**. Then for $a \geq 2$, $5^a \notin \text{cd}_c(M)$ as $5^a < T^c(M) \not\leq 24$, and so, $\text{cd}_c(M) = \{1, 5\}$, and M is an elementary abelian 5-group. If $n \geq 2$, then $121 = 1 + (5^2 - 1) \cdot 5 \leq T^c(M) \leq 24$, a contradiction. Therefore $n = 1$ and G is a 5-group of order at most 5^2 .

Assume that G is a **3-group**. If 3^a for some $a \geq 3$, belongs to $\text{cd}_c(M)$, then by hypothesis, $27 \leq 3^a < T^c(M) < 24$, a contradiction. Thus $\text{cd}_c(M) = \{1, 3\}$ or $\text{cd}_c(M) = \{1, 3, 3^2\}$. If $\text{cd}_c(M) = \{1, 3\}$, then M is an elementary abelian 3-group. If $n \geq 2$, then $25 = 1 + (3^2 - 1) \cdot 3 \leq 1 + (3^n - 1)3 \leq T^c(M) < 24$, a contradiction. Thus $n = 1$ and G is of order 9. If $\text{cd}_c(M) = \{1, 3, 9\}$, then $T^c(M) = 1 + 3m + 9l < 24$ for some $m, l \geq 1$, i.e., $m + 3l \leq \lceil \frac{23}{3} \rceil = 7$. Note that $m, l \geq 1$, so $(m, l) \in \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), (3, 1), (4, 1)\}$. If $l = 1$, then M has only one codegree 9, and so M has only one character corresponding to such codegree. Thus the character with codegree 9 is faithful and $|M| \leq 3^2(3^2 - 1) = 72$. Recall that M is a 3-group, so $|M|$ is equal to 27 and if M is nonabelian, then by [2], M has the form 3^{1+2} , an extraspecial 3-group and $T^c(M) = 1 + 8 \cdot 3 + 9 \cdot 2 = 53 < 24$, a contradiction. If M is abelian, then $M \cong C_9 \times C_3$ or C_{27} . If $M \cong C_{27}$, then $27 \in \text{cd}_c(M)$, so $27 < T^c(M) < 24$, a contradiction. If $M \cong C_9 \times C_3$, then by [2], $T^c(M) = 1 + 3m + 9l < 24$, i.e., $m + 3l \leq 7$. Observe that M is abelian, so m and n are all > 1 . It follows that the inequality $m + 3n \leq 7$ has no solution in $\mathbf{N}$, the natural number set. Similarly, if $m = 1$, then we also have $|G| \leq 3(3 - 1)$, but $3^2 \mid |G|$, a contradiction.

Now we will do with the case: G is a **2-group**. We claim that $2^a \notin \text{cd}_c(M)$ with $a \geq 3$. In fact, if not, then $1 + 2m + 4n + 8p < T^c(M) < 24$ with m, n, p positive integers, i.e., $m + 2n + 4p < \lceil \frac{23}{2} \rceil = 11$. It follows that $p = 1, 2$. Let $p = 1$, then in M , there is a faithful character χ with codegree 8, so $|M| < 8 \cdot (8 - 1) = 56$. Now $|M| \in \{32, 16, 8\}$. Let $|M| = 8$. Since $8 \in \text{cd}_c(M)$, we obtain $M \cong C_8$, and so by Lemma 2.7, $T^c(C_8) = \frac{2^{2 \cdot 3 + 1} + 1}{2 + 1} = 43 \not\leq 24$, a contradiction. If $|M| = 16$, then since M has a faithful character with codegree 8, $2 \in \text{cd}(M)$ and the nilpotence of M

shows that $2^2 \mid |M/M'|$, and so M has 4 linear characters and now, $1 + m + n > 4$ and $m + 2n \leq 6$. It follows that $(m, n) = (1, 2)$ or $(m, n) = (2, 1)$, so in both cases, there is a faithful character χ with codegree 2 or 4, so $|G| < 2$ or $|G| < 12$. Order consideration rules out. Now consider $|M| = 32$. Similarly, we obtain $4 \in \text{cd}(M)$ as the existence of faithful character with codegree 8, and because of the nilpotence of M , $4^2 \mid |M/M'|$, so M has 16 linear characters, but $16 < m + n < m + 2n \leq 6$, a contradiction. **Let $p = 2$.** Then $3 > m + 2n > 2$, we have no solution in natural number set $\mathbf{N}$, a contradiction. **This proves the claim.** Thus $\text{cd}_c(M) = \{1, 2\}$, or $\{1, 2, 4\}$.

Let $\text{cd}_c(M) = \{1, 2, 4\}$. Then by [4, Theorem A], M is isomorphic to $C_4 \times C_2$, Q_8 or D_8 . If $M \cong C_4 \times C_2$, then G is isomorphic to $C_4 \times C_4$, $C_4 \times E_2^2$, $D_8 \times C_2$ or $Q_8 \times C_2$. If G has a maximal subgroup $M \cong D_8$ or Q_8 , then by [15, Theorem 2.4.1(C)], G is isomorphic to

$$D_{16}, SD_{16}, Q_{16}, C_2 \times D_8, C_2 \times Q_8, D_8 \circ C_4 \cong Q_8 \circ C_4.$$

Let $\text{cd}_c(M) = \{1, 2\}$. Then $M \cong E_2^k$ for certain integer $k \geq 1$. If $k \geq 4$, then

$$31 = 1 + (2^4 - 1) \cdot 2 \leq 1 + (2^k - 1) \cdot 2 = T^c(M) < 24,$$

a contradiction. If $k \leq 3$, then $24 > T^c(M) = 1 + (2^k - 1) \cdot 2 \geq 1 + (2^3 - 1) \cdot 2 = 15$, and $M \cong E_2^k$ with $k = 1, 2, 3$. It follows from [15, Theorem 2.4.1(B)] and [2], that G is isomorphic to E_2^l with $l = 1, 2, 3, 4$, C_4 or $M_2(2, 1, 1)$.

Case 2: $s = 2$. Then $G \cong P_1 \times P_2$. From the proof of Case 1, we have that

$$(p_1, p_2) = (2, 3), (2, 5) \text{ or } (3, 5)$$

and that, if $(p_1, p_2) = (2, 3)$ or $(2, 5)$, then $|P_1| \leq 8$ and $|P_2| = p$ with $p = 3, 5$ and that, if $(p_1, p_2) = (3, 5)$, then $|P_1| = p_1$, and $|P_2| = p_2$.

Let $(p_1, p_2) = (2, 3)$ or $(2, 5)$. Then $M \cong N \times P_2$ or P_1 where the N is a maximal subgroup of P_1 and $|P_1| \leq 8$ (See **Case 1**). Note that $|P_2| = 3$ or 5 , so we need to consider only $p_1 = 2$. If $|P_1| = 8$, then $P_1 \cong C_8$, $C_4 \times C_2$, E_2^3 , Q_8 or D_8 ; see [15, Example 2.1.7] for instance. We know from Lemmas 2.7 and 2.5(1), that $T^c(C_8) = 43$, $T^c(C_4 \times C_3) = 77$ and $T^c(C_4 \times C_5) = 231$, $T^c(E_2^2 \times C_3) = 49$ and $T^c(E_2^2 \times C_5) = 107$, so we from Lemma 2.5, have that for any $M \in \max P_1$, $T^c(M) \not\leq 24$ when $|P_1| = 8$, a contradiction. If $|P_1| = 4$, then $P_1 \cong C_4$ or E_2^2 and so, $M \cong C_2 \times C_3$ or $M \cong C_2 \times C_5$. Note from Lemma 2.5, that, $T^c(C_2 \times C_3) = 21 < 24$, $T^c(C_2 \times C_5) = 63 \not\leq 24$ and so the nilpotence of G forces that $G \cong C_4 \times C_3$ or $E_2^2 \times C_3$. If $|P_1| = 2$, then $G \cong C_2 \times C_3$ or $G \cong C_2 \times C_5$.

Let $(p_1, p_2) = (3, 5)$. Then using Lemma 2.5, $T^c(C_3 \times C_5) = 147$, but $T^c(C_3) = 1 + (3 - 1) \cdot 3 = 7 < 24$, $T^c(C_5) = 21 < 24$, so $G \cong C_3 \times C_5$.

Case 3: $s \geq 3$. Then for each $i > 1$, $|P_i| = p_i$ by Case 1. Let L be a maximal subgroup of P_1 . Note that $2 \leq p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_t$, and

$$T^c(C_{p_2} \times C_{p_3}) \leq T^c(L \times C_{p_2} \times C_{p_3} \times \cdots \times C_{p_s}) = T^c(M) < 24$$

by hypothesis and Lemma 2.5(1). But we see from Lemmas 2.5(1) and 2.7, that

$$\begin{aligned} T^c(C_{p_2} \times C_{p_3}) &= (1 + p_2(p_2 - 1))(1 + p_3(p_3 - 1)) \\ &= 1 + p_2 p_3 (p_2 - 1)(p_3 - 1) + p_2(p_2 - 1) + p_3(p_3 - 1) \\ &> 1 + p_2^2(p_2 - 1)^2 + 2p_2(p_2 - 1) \\ &= (1 + p_2(p_2 - 1))^2 \geq (1 + 3 \cdot (3 - 1))^2 = 49, \end{aligned}$$

so, $49 \leq T^c(M) < 24$, a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 3.6. *Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of a non-nilpotent solvable T^c -group G . Then the order of N is bounded, in particular, $|N| \leq 8$ when $p = 2$, and otherwise $|N| = p$ when $p = 3, 5, 7$.*

Proof. The two facts that the minimality of N and the solvability of G imply that N is an elementary abelian p -group for certain prime p . As G is non-nilpotent, we have $N < G$ and in particular, N is contained in some maximal subgroup M of G . Assume that $|N| = p^n$ for certain integer $n \geq 1$.

Case 1: N is a maximal subgroup. Then $N = M$ and $T^c(N) < 24$. If $p \geq 7$, then by Lemmas 2.5 and 2.7,

$$\begin{aligned} 43 &= 1 + 7 \cdot (7 - 1) \leq T^c(C_p) \leq T^c(C_p \times C_p \cdots \times C_p) \\ &= T^c(N) < 24, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. It follows that the possibilities for p are 2, 3, and 5.

If $p = 2$, then $1 + 2 \cdot (2^n - 1) = T^c(E_{2^n}) = T^c(N) < 24$, so $n \leq 3$; if $p = 3$, then $1 + 3 \cdot (3^n - 1) = T^c(E_{3^n}) = T^c(N) < 24$, so $n = 1$; if $p = 5$, then $1 + 5 \cdot (5^n - 1) = T^c(E_{5^n}) = T^c(N) < 24$, so $n = 1$. It follows that $|N|$ is bounded, the desired result.

Case 2: N is not a maximal subgroup of G . Then $N < M$. If M is nilpotent, then by Case 1, we have the result. Thus now we think that M is non-nilpotent. In order to show the bound of N , we think that it is maximal in M and so, M/N is of prime order and by hypothesis, $T^c(M/N) \leq 24$. If $M/N \cong C_q$ with $q \geq 7$, a prime, then $T^c(M/N) = 1 + q(q - 1) < 24$ shows $q \leq 5$, a contradiction. Hence $q = |M/N|$ equals to 2, 3, or 5. By NC theorem, $M/C_M(N)$ is isomorphic to a

subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(p)$. Observe that N is maximal in M and M is non-nilpotent, so $C_M(N) = N$. Thus M is a Frobenius group.

Subcase 1: $p = 2$. Then $q = 3$ or 5 and so, $M/C_M(N) = M/N \cong C_3$ or $M/C_M(N) = M/N \cong C_5$. Then $24 > T^c(M) \geq 1 + q|M| + 2q$, i.e.,

$$(2^{n-1} + 1)q \leq 11.$$

It follows from nonnilpotence of M that $|N| \geq 4$, and so, $(q, n) = (3, 2)$.

Subcase 2: $p = 3$. Then $q = 2, 5$ and so, $M/N \cong C_2$ or $M/N \cong C_5$. Hence $24 > T^c(M) = 1 + q|N| + 3q$ and so, $(q, n) = (2, 2)$ or $(2, 1)$. If $n = 2$, then $M \cong E_3^2 : C_2$ and $T^c(M) = 1 + 3 \cdot 2 + 2 \cdot 3^2 > 24$, a contradiction. Thus $n = 1$ is possible.

Subcase 3: $p = 5$. Then similarly we obtain $q = 2, 3$ and, $24 > T^c(M) = 1 + q|N| + 5q$. It means $(q, n) = (2, 1)$.

Subcase 4: $p \geq 7$. Then $q = 2, 3, 5$ and so, by Lemma 2.1,

$$T^c(M) = 1 + q(q-1) + \frac{p^n-1}{q}p^n < 24.$$

It follows that $p = 7$, $q = 3$, and $n = 1$.

It follows from above four subcases, that the result is true. $\square$

Theorem 3.7. *Let G be a non-nilpotent solvable T^c -group. Then G is isomorphic to D_{2p} with $p \in \{3, 5\}$, $C_2 \times S_3$, A_4 , $E_{23} : C_3$, $A_4 \times C_2$, $\text{SL}_2(3)$, S_4 or $C_5 : C_4$.*

Let p be a prime divisor of a positive integer n , then denote by n_p , the p -part of n , i.e., $n_p \mid n$ but $pn_p \nmid n$.

Proof. Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G and M be a maximal subgroup of G . Then the solvability of G shows that N is an elementary abelian p -group and $N < G$ (otherwise G is nilpotent). If $N \leq M$, then by hypothesis, $T^c(M/N) \leq T^c(M) < 24$. We know that N is nilpotent, so by Lemma 3.6,

$$|N| \begin{cases} \leq 8, & \text{if } p = 2, \\ = p, & \text{if } p \in \{3, 5, 7\}. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

If $p \in \{3, 5, 7\}$, then by NC theorem, $\frac{G}{C_G(N)}$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(N) \cong C_{p-1}$ where $\text{Aut}(A)$ denotes the automorphism group of a group A .

Case 1: p is odd.

Then $p = 3, 5$, or 7 and N is a Sylow p -subgroup of G with order p . If $G = N_G(N) = C_G(N)$, then $N \leq Z(C_G(N))$, so G has a normal p -complement K , in particular, $G = N \times K$ since $N \trianglelefteq G$. As G is non-nilpotent, K is non-nilpotent, in particular, K is non-abelian and so, by Lemma 3.6,

$$|K| \mid 8p_1p_2 \text{ with } p_1, p_2 \in \{3, 5, 7\} \setminus \{p\}.$$

It follows that G has a maximal subgroup $L := C_p \times M$ where M is a maximal subgroup of K . If $|M| = 2$, then $T^c(L) = (1 + p(p-1))(1+2) < 24$ and so, $p = 3$; if $|M| = 4$, then

$$\begin{cases} T^c(L) = (1 + p(p-1))(1 + 2(2^2 - 1)) < 24, & \text{if } M \cong E_{2^2}, \\ T^c(L) = (1 + p(p-1)) \cdot \frac{2^5+1}{2+1} < 24, & \text{if } M \cong C_{2^2}, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

but the equation (2) has no solution since $p \geq 3$; if $|M| = 3, 5$, or 7 , then by Lemma 2.5,

$$T^c(L) = T^c(C_p \times C_{p_i}) = (1+p(p-1))(1+p_i(p_i-1)) \geq (1+3(3-1))(1+5(5-1)) > 24,$$

a contradiction. It also means that $p_i \nmid |M|$, and so, K must be a 2-group. Thus G is nilpotent, a contradiction.

Thus in what follows, we assume that $C_G(N) < G$ and three subcases will be considered.

Subcase 1a: $p = 7$. Then because of the non-nilpotence of G , we obtain that $G/C_G(N) \cong C_2$ or C_3 or C_6 .

Let $G/C_G(N) \cong C_2$, then $M = C_G(N)$. If $C_G(N) = N = M$, we rule out this case as $T^c(M) = T^c(N) = T^c(C_7) = 43$. Hence $M = C_G(N) > N$. Let L be a maximal subgroup of G such that $H > N$ be a maximal subgroup of M and $L > C_2$. Observe that $H > N$, so we suppose that H/N is a prime, in particular $H/N = 2$ or 3 . It follows that L is of order 28 or 42 and so by [2], L isomorphic to $D_{14} \times C_2$, $C_{14} \times C_2$, $D_{14} \times C_3$, $C_2 \times (C_7 : C_3)$, $C_7 : C_6$ or C_{42} , a contradiction since in all these cases, $T^c(L) > 24$. Similarly we can exclude the case: $G/C_G(N) \cong C_3$.

Let $G/C_G(N) \cong C_6$. Let $C_G(N) = M > N$. Let $L \in \max G$ such that $N \leq L$ and $C_6 < L$, hence $L = HC_6$ where $H \geq N$ is some maximal subgroup of M . By the similar arguments as Subcases 1a, we have $T^c(L) = T^c(HC_6) > 24$, a contradiction. If $C_G(N) = M = N$, then as G is non-nilpotent, G is isomorphic to $C_7 : C_6$. Then $D_{14} \in \max G$ and by Lemma 2.4, $T^c(D_{14}) = 24$, a contradiction. Let $C_G(N) < M < G$. Then we also can show that $N = C_G(N)$. Thus $|M/N| = 2$ or 3 . Non-nilpotence of G implies that $G \cong C_7 : C_6$ and we rule out similarly.

Subcase 1b: $p = 5$. Then $G/C_G(N) \cong C_2$ or C_4 .

• If $G/C_G(N) \cong C_2$, then $M = C_G(N)$. If $N = C_G(N)$, then $G \cong C_5 : C_2$, the desired result. Now we let $C_G(N) > N$, then by (1), we think that $|M/N| = |C_G(N)/N| = 2$ or 3 . It follows that $M \cong C_5 : C_2$, $C_5 \times C_2$ or $C_5 \times C_3$. If $M \cong C_5 \times C_3$ or $C_5 \times C_2$, then by Lemma 2.5, $T^c(M) > 24$, a contradiction. If $M \cong C_5 : C_2$, then $G \cong C_5 : C_4$ by hypothesis.

• Let $G/C_G(N) \cong C_4$. If $C_G(N) < M$, then we have similarly that $C_G(N) = N$ and $|M/N| = 2$. Thus $|G| = 20$ and the non-nilpotence of G forces that G is isomorphic to D_{20} , $C_2 \times D_{10}$, or $C_5 : C_4$. If $G \cong D_{20}$, $C_2 \times D_{10}$, then G has a maximal subgroup C_{10} but $T^c(C_{10}) = T^c(C_2)T^c(C_5) > 24$, a contradiction. If $G \cong C_5 : C_4$, then $\max G = \{D_{10}, C_5\}$, and $T^c(M) < 24$ for all $M \in \max G$, so G is a T^c -group.

Subcase 1c: $p = 3$. Then $G/C_G(N) \cong C_2$ and $M \cong C_G(N)$. If $C_G(N) > N$, then by Subcase 1a above, we can suppose $|C_G(N)/N| = 2$. It follows that G is isomorphic to $C_2 \times S_3$. Now we remain to consider the case $C_G(N) = N$. Observe that N is a 3-group and $|N| = 3$, then $|G| = 6$ and $G \cong C_3 : C_2 \cong S_3$.

Case 2: $p = 2$. Then by (1), $|N| \leq 8$. And from Theorem 3.5, we have $|G|_2 \leq 8$. If $|G|_2 = |N|$, $G = N_G(N) = C_G(N)$, then G has normal 2-complement K , and so $G = N \times K$. Note that K is of odd order and K is non-abelian, in particular, by Lemma 3.6, $K \cong C_7 : C_3$. It follows that $L \cong N \times C_7 \in \max G$, but $T^c(L) > 24$ by Lemmas 2.5 and 2.7. We will consider three subcases under the condition: $G > C_G(N)$.

Subcase 2a: $|N| = 8$. Then N is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G by (1), and $N \cong E_{2^3}$ as N is elementary abelian. We see that $G/C_G(N)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{GL}(3, 2)$ and that $K := G/C_G(N)$ is of odd order, so $|K|$ is of order 3, or 7 and $M = C_G(N)$. If $M > N$, then M/N is of odd order and so, $|M/N| = 3$ (if $|M/N| = 7$, we have $43 = T^c(M/N) \leq T^c(M) < 24$; if $|M/N| = 5$, then by Sylow's theorem, $M \cong N \times C_5$ and so by Lemma 2.5, $T^c(M) > 24$). Thus $|M| = 24$ and $M \cong E_{2^3} \times C_3$ or $E_{2^3} : C_3$. Observe that G/M is of odd order, then G/M has order 3 or 5, and so G has a maximal subgroup L isomorphic to E_{3^2} or $C_5 \times C_3$, but $T^c(L) > 24$, a contradiction. Hence $M = N$. Note that G is non-nilpotent and solvable, so G is probably isomorphic to $E_2^3 : C_3$. As A_4 is a maximal subgroup of $E_2^3 : C_3$ and $T^c(A_4) = 11$, we get $G \cong E_2^3 : C_3$, the wanted result.

Subcase 2b: $|N| = 4$, then $N \cong E_{2^2}$ and so, by NC theorem, $G/C_G(N)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(2)$ and $N \leq C_G(N)$.

If $|G|_2 = |N| = 4$, then $G/C_G(N) \cong C_3$, and so, $M = C_G(N)$. Let $H \geq N$ be a maximal subgroup of M . Then H/N has odd order, so we assume that H/N is of order 3. Then the non-nilpotence of G implies that $G \cong A_4 \times C_3$, but $E_{3^2} \in \max G$ and $T^c(E_{3^2}) > 24$, a contradiction. Hence $C_G(N) = N$ and $G \cong A_4$, the desired result.

Now let $|G|_2 = 8$. Then $G/C_G(N) \cong C_3$ or C_2 .

Let $G/C_G(N) \cong C_3$. Then $M = C_G(N)$ has a Sylow 2-subgroup P of the form E_{2^3} , $C_4 \times C_2$, Q_8 , or D_8 and $P \leq M$.

- If $P = M$, then G is isomorphic to $E_{2^3} : C_3$, $Q_8 : C_3$, or $C_2 \times A_4$. If $G \cong Q_8 : C_3$, then $M := C_4 \times C_3 \in \max G$ and $T^c(M) > 24$, a contradiction. If G is isomorphic to $E_{2^3} : C_3$, then $\max G = \{E_{2^3}, A_4\}$. We know that $T^c(E_{2^3}) = 15$, and $T^c(A_4) = 11$, so $G \cong E_{2^3} : C_3$, the desired result. If $G \cong C_2 \times A_4$, then $\max G = \{A_4, E_{2^3}, C_6\}$ implies that $G \cong C_2 \times A_4$ is a T^c -group.

- If $P < M$, then $|M : P| = 3$, or 5 and so $M \cong P \times C_3$, $P \times C_5$ or $P : C_3$. If $M \cong P \times C_3$, $P \times C_5$, then Lemma 2.5 shows that $T^c(M) > 24$, a contradiction. If $M \cong P : C_3$ where $P = E_{2^3}$, Q_8 , or $C_4 \times C_2$, then by [2], we get that $T^c(C_2 \times A_4) = 33$ and $T^c(S_4) = 22$. It follows that $M \cong Q : C_3 \cong S_4$ and $G \cong C_3 \times S_4$ as $\text{Aut}(S_4) = S_4$ and the Schur multiplier of S_4 is trivial. Thus $E_{3^2} \in \max G$, but $T^c(E_{3^2}) > 24$, a contradiction.

Now let $G/C_G(N) \cong C_2$, then $M = C_G(N)$, and $N \leq C_G(N)$. By hypothesis, we can assume that M/N is of odd order, so $M/N \cong C_3$ or C_5 . It means that $M \cong A_4$ or $E_{2^2} \times C_5$. If $M \cong A_4$, then $G \cong C_2 \times A_4$ or S_4 . As $G \cong C_2 \times A_4$ is considered above, we need to deal with $G \cong S_4$. Since $\max S_4 = \{A_4, S_3, D_8\}$ and $T^c(M) < 24$ for $M \in \max S_4$, we get $G \cong S_4$, the desired result. If $M \cong E_{2^2} \times C_5$, then $T^c(M) > 24$, a contradiction.

Subcase 2c: $|N| = 2$. Then $G = N_G(N) = C_G(N)$, and so, $N \leq Z(G)$. Obviously, the Sylow 2-subgroup P of G is of order ≤ 8 and $C_G(N) \geq N$.

If N is a Sylow subgroup, then we rule out as above arguments.

If $|P| = 4$, then $P \cong E_{2^2}$ or C_4 . Let $M \in \max G$ with $N \leq M$ and $P \leq M$. If $P < M$, then by Sylow's theorem, $[M : P] = 3$, and so, $M \cong A_4$, $C_2 \times S_3$, $E_{2^2} \times C_3$, or $C_4 \times C_3$. If $M \cong C_4 \times C_3$ or $E_{2^2} \times C_3$, we have $T^c(M) > 24$. If $M \cong A_4$, or $C_2 \times S_3$, then $[G : M] = 3$. Now G has a maximal subgroup L isomorphic to E_{3^2} , C_9 or $C_3 \times S_3$, but $T^c(L) > 24$, a contradiction.

Let $|P| = 8$. Let M be a maximal subgroup with $P \leq M$. If $P < M$, then Sylow's theorem shows that the cardinality of $M : P$ is 3 and so, M is isomorphic to $\text{SL}_2(3)$, $C_2 \times A_4$, $D_8 \times C_3$, $Q_8 \times C_3$, or S_4 . If M is isomorphic to $\text{SL}_2(3)$, $D_8 \times C_3$, $Q_8 \times C_3$, then by Lemma 2.5 and [2], $T^c(M) > 24$, a contradiction. If $M \cong C_2 \times A_4$ or S_4 , then $[G : M] = 3$ as $|M|_2 = |G|_2$ and Sylow's theorem. It means that G is isomorphic to $C_6 \times A_4$, $S_3 \times A_4$, $C_3 \times S_4$ as $\text{Aut}(S_4) = S_4$ and the Schur multiplier of S_4 is trivial. Thus G has a maximal subgroup K of the form: $C_6 \times C_3$, $S_3 \times C_3$, $C_3 \times A_4$, but by [2], $T^c(K) > 24$, a contradiction. Therefore $M = P$, and $[G : M] = 3$. Since G is non-nilpotent, we get that G is isomorphic

to $\mathrm{SL}_2(3)$, or $C_2 \times A_4$. Observe that $\max \mathrm{SL}_2(3) = \{Q_8, S_3\}$ and $T^c(M) < 24$ for $M \in \max \mathrm{SL}_2(3)$. Thus $G \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(3)$ or $C_2 \times A_4$, the desired result. $\square$

Now we will prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. It follows from Theorems 3.3, 3.5 and 3.7. $\square$

Acknowledgement. The author thanks the editor and reviewers for their comments, without their help, he cannot complete the proof.

Disclosure statement. The author reports that there are no competing interests to declare.

References

- [1] K. Aziziheris and M. Eivazzadeh, *On the second minimum average character degree of finite nonsolvable groups*, J. Algebra, 685 (2026), 26-45.
- [2] T. Breuer, The GAP Character Table Library, Version 1.2.1. May 2012, GAP package, <http://www.math.rwth-aachen.de/homes/Thomas.Breuer/ctbllib>.
- [3] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker and R. A. Wilson, ATLAS of finite groups, Maximal subgroups and ordinary characters for simple groups, With computational assistance from J. G. Thackray, Oxford University Press, Eynsham, 1985.
- [4] S. Croome and M. L. Lewis, *Character codegrees of maximal class p -groups*, Canad. Math. Bull., 63(2) (2020), 328-334.
- [5] I. M. Isaacs, Character Theory of Finite Groups, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1994.
- [6] G. James and M. Liebeck, Representations and Characters of Groups, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2001.
- [7] P. B. Kleidman, Subgroup Structure of Some Finite Simple Groups, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, Thesis (Ph.D.)—Trinity College, Cambridge, 1987.
- [8] M. L. Lewis and Q. Yan, *A note on the codegree of finite groups*, Algebr. Represent. Theory, 27(5) (2024), 1799-1804.
- [9] M. L. Lewis and Q. Yan, *On the sum of character codegrees of finite groups*, Monatsh. Math., 206(1) (2025), 143-160.
- [10] S. Liu, *On solvability of finite groups for codegrees*, to appear in Southeast Asian Bull. Math.
- [11] Y. Liu and T. Shang, *On the largest character codegree of a finite group*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci., 134(2) (2024), 22 (6 pp).

- [12] G. Qian, Y. Wang and H. Wei, *Co-degrees of irreducible characters in finite groups*, J. Algebra, 312(2) (2007), 946-955.
- [13] J. G. Thompson, *Nonsolvable finite groups all of whose local subgroups are solvable*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 74 (1968), 383-437.
- [14] Z. Wang, G. Qian, H. Lv and G. Chen, *On the average codegree of a finite group*, J. Algebra Appl., 23(5) (2024), 2450102 (9 pp).
- [15] Q. H. Zhang and L. J. An, *Construction of Finite p -Groups (I)* (in Chinese), Science Press, Beijing, 2017.

Shitian Liu

School of Mathematics

Sichuan University of Arts and Science

Dazhou Sichuan, 635000, P. R. China

Center for Applied Mathematics of Guangxi (Guangxi Normal University)

Guilin Guangxi, 541001, P. R. China

email: s.t.liu@yandex.com